

EDITED BY  
GAJANAN M. SABNIS

# GREEN BUILDING WITH CONCRETE

Sustainable Design  
and Construction



CRC Press  
Taylor & Francis Group

EDITED BY  
GAJANAN M. SABNIS

# GREEN BUILDING WITH CONCRETE

Sustainable Design  
and Construction



CRC Press

Taylor & Francis Group

Boca Raton London New York

---

CRC Press is an imprint of the  
Taylor & Francis Group, an **informa** business

CRC Press  
Taylor & Francis Group  
6000 Broken Sound Parkway NW, Suite 300  
Boca Raton, FL 33487-2742

© 2012 by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC  
CRC Press is an imprint of Taylor & Francis Group, an Informa business

No claim to original U.S. Government works  
Version Date: 20110610

International Standard Book Number-13: 978-1-4398-1297-6 (eBook - PDF)

This book contains information obtained from authentic and highly regarded sources. Reasonable efforts have been made to publish reliable data and information, but the author and publisher cannot assume responsibility for the validity of all materials or the consequences of their use. The authors and publishers have attempted to trace the copyright holders of all material reproduced in this publication and apologize to copyright holders if permission to publish in this form has not been obtained. If any copyright material has not been acknowledged please write and let us know so we may rectify in any future reprint.

Except as permitted under U.S. Copyright Law, no part of this book may be reprinted, reproduced, transmitted, or utilized in any form by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying, microfilming, and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publishers.

For permission to photocopy or use material electronically from this work, please access [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com) (<http://www.copyright.com/>) or contact the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, 978-750-8400. CCC is a not-for-profit organization that provides licenses and registration for a variety of users. For organizations that have been granted a photocopy license by the CCC, a separate system of payment has been arranged.

**Trademark Notice:** Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

**Visit the Taylor & Francis Web site at**  
**<http://www.taylorandfrancis.com>**

**and the CRC Press Web site at**  
**<http://www.crcpress.com>**

# *Dedication*

---

*To my family:*

*Sharda*

*Rahul and Ann*

*Madhavi and Chaitanya*



---

# Contents

|                                                                                          |      |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Preface.....                                                                             | vii  |
| Acknowledgments.....                                                                     | xi   |
| About the Editor.....                                                                    | xiii |
| Contributors .....                                                                       | xv   |
| <br>                                                                                     |      |
| <b>Chapter 1</b> Introduction .....                                                      | 1    |
| <i>Gajanan M. Sabnis</i>                                                                 |      |
| <br>                                                                                     |      |
| <b>Chapter 2</b> Sustainability in the Cement Industries and Chemical<br>Admixtures..... | 19   |
| <i>Thomas B. Carter</i>                                                                  |      |
| <br>                                                                                     |      |
| <b>Chapter 3</b> The Principles of Sustainable Building Design .....                     | 37   |
| <i>N. Subramanian</i>                                                                    |      |
| <br>                                                                                     |      |
| <b>Chapter 4</b> Sustainability through Thermal Mass of Concrete .....                   | 89   |
| <i>William Juhl</i>                                                                      |      |
| <br>                                                                                     |      |
| <b>Chapter 5</b> Concrete Pavements and Sustainability .....                             | 109  |
| <i>Thomas J. Van Dam and Peter Taylor</i>                                                |      |
| <br>                                                                                     |      |
| <b>Chapter 6</b> Roller Compacted Concrete Pavements: A Sustainable<br>Alternative.....  | 129  |
| <i>Chetan Hazaree</i>                                                                    |      |
| <br>                                                                                     |      |
| <b>Chapter 7</b> Pervious Concrete for Sustainable Development .....                     | 151  |
| <i>Karthik H. Obla and Gajanan Sabnis</i>                                                |      |
| <br>                                                                                     |      |
| <b>Chapter 8</b> Heat Island Effects .....                                               | 175  |
| <i>Pushpa Devanathan and Kolialum Devanathan</i>                                         |      |
| <br>                                                                                     |      |
| <b>Chapter 9</b> Future Sustainable City: The Case of Masdar City.....                   | 227  |
| <i>Gajanan M. Sabnis</i>                                                                 |      |

|                   |                                                                |     |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| <b>Chapter 10</b> | Sustainability and Rehabilitation of Concrete Structures ..... | 241 |
|                   | <i>Gopal Rai</i>                                               |     |
| <b>Chapter 11</b> | Global Sustainability and Concrete.....                        | 275 |
|                   | <i>Edward J. Martin</i>                                        |     |

---

# Preface

This book provides the most recent information about concrete's history in the green building movement, state-of-the-art methodologies, and best practices. It will appeal to several major audiences. It may be considered as a textbook for use in university courses and industry education, as a handbook for use by building owners wanting to use concrete to assist in obtaining green certification, as a reference for industry professionals seeking an overview of the subject of concrete and green buildings, and as a guide to professionals in the building materials/products industries. The concept of green buildings is in the process of emerging from a decade-long effort to define its exact meaning. There have been research, white papers, articles, and seminars on the role of concrete in the green building effort. To date there has never been a book organized to provide an overview of all of the available information.

The history of cement manufacturing and the use of concrete are discussed to provide a context for today's current practices. Continuing pressures on the construction industry to reduce waste have resulted in an increase in the amount of concrete that is recycled or reused. Refurbishing or reusing structures is the least-waste option. This book outlines the variety of ways that concrete is easily and affordably reused. Work is under way within the precast industry with the aim of making it possible to lease concrete products so that they can be returned and/or reused.

The newly emerging green building delivery system now differs sharply from conventional building delivery systems. The result of this evolution has been new development and building delivery systems that emphasize a far wider collaboration among all parties to the construction process, including owners, developers, architects, engineers, constructors, facility managers, real estate professionals, and materials/product manufacturers. New quality-control systems with unique requirements are one of the outcomes of the green building process and this book will inform the reader about these requirements and the appropriate use of concrete products.

For example, LEED\* buildings must have a building commissioning component, a construction waste management system must be in place, erosion/sediment control plans must be provided and enforced, and stringent construction process requirements must be followed to ensure excellent indoor air quality for the completed building. Concrete plays a role in each of these important green building components. The USGBC's LEED green building assessment standard will be referenced often and covered in detail because it is the key to green building delivery in the United States and is also being adopted in many other countries. Environmental life cycle assessment (LCA) methods conducted in accordance with ISO 14040† are described regarding their role as important emerging green building tools. This book will highlight research on the economic analysis, in particular the application

---

\* US Green Building Council, Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design.

† International Organization for Standardization. Environmental Management—Life Cycle assessment—Principles and Framework.

of life cycle costing, to provide a full picture of the economic benefits of concrete for a green building.

As one examines the changes and growth in infrastructure taking place around the globe, a book of this type should be based not only on the experiences in the United States and Canada, but also on experience gained in Japan, Southeast Asia, and other parts of the world. With this thought, the editor looked beyond the original idea of a North American focus to find contributors from around the world. These contributions are valuable because they not only bring the international flavor, but also truly embrace the concept of global sustainability. It must be affirmed that the idea of sustainability has taken on much more meaning in Southeast Asia, where countries have seriously considered this concept for centuries, compared to the few decades it has been considered in the developed world.

This book was originally written as a textbook for university classes and for the concrete industry continuing education courses taught by the National Ready Mixed Concrete Association (NRMCA) in the new course: Green Building with Concrete. This course will be available to concrete industry members all over the nation through the NRMCA's extensive network of certified instructors. NRMCA also plans on partnering with state affiliates to deliver this course with member instructors who have gone through their extensive "train the trainer" program. This book will be an instrumental part of this special certification. The American Concrete Institute and the Portland Cement Association are both developing similar efforts. As the book took shape, the focus changed and became more global, as did the contributions. The book thus became a handbook providing diverse viewpoints from various international experts more closely matching the global nature of the sustainability movement.

This book will find its way as a textbook for courses emerging at universities on topics related to sustainable construction. California State University, Chico, offers a course\* for which this book will serve as the primary textbook. The course is part of the larger concrete industry management (CIM) program, which is a relatively new 4-year degree program dedicated to meeting the employment needs of the concrete industry in the United States. Currently, four CIM programs are taught in universities in Tennessee, New Jersey, and Arizona. It is expected that they will all eventually add concrete sustainability courses.

In addition, this book serves as a tutorial for owners and developers who procure commercial and institutional buildings, including healthcare corporations, universities, school boards, manufacturers, high-technology firms, and many more entities that are recognizing the value of shifting to green building procurement and learning how to use versatile and available concrete to better meet their goals. Many green building and other green activist groups will find this book very informative and useful. These include those interested in land development, urban sprawl, brownfield recovery, and many other problems connected to industrial activity and the built environment.

*Environmental Building News*, *Worldwatch* magazine, and publications by organizations such as the American Institute of Architects (AIA) and the Urban Land Institute would be pertinent outlets for information about this book. National

---

\* CIMIT 363. Sustainability in the built environment: The role of concrete.

agencies such as the US Environmental Protection Agency, the US Department of Energy, the US Department of Defense, the US Department of Interior, and the General Services Administration are conducting research into green buildings, promoting green buildings, and/or procuring green buildings. As a consequence, the many managers and technical staff engaged in these activities are a potential audience for this book. Additionally, the equivalents of these agencies at the state and local government levels throughout the country should have significant interest in this book because many of these organizations are procuring green buildings and writing laws and ordinances supporting the procurement of green buildings.

The book covers topics ranging from cement manufacturing to the design of concrete systems and other related topics, including rehabilitation of concrete, as they relate to sustainability. The original focus was on North American practice, but, as discussed, it was determined that the inclusion of global expertise and efforts adds substantially to the value of the text.

Following the Introduction, Chapter 2 deals with cement and its production from the sustainability perspective toward the future including an Appendix that deals with admixtures, which have become an integral part of concrete. Chapter 3 is concerned with the design practice in concrete structures independent of their origin. Chapter 4 discusses the importance of concrete's thermal mass and how using special concrete can enhance overall sustainability. Chapter 5 deals with another major application of concrete in the pavements, including new development in pavements using roller compacted concrete, the subject of Chapter 6. Chapter 7 addresses surface runoff through the application of pervious concrete for sidewalks and parking areas, where water percolation prevents flooding and maintains the level of water in soil to conserve the balance of nature. Chapter 8 focuses on how concrete applications in large metro cities can be used to mitigate the urban heat island effects. Chapter 9 uses the major case study to discuss the application of sustainability in the various applications presented in earlier chapters. Chapter 10 discusses rehabilitation and the use of 3R principles, namely reuse, recycle, and renewal, so that the balance is maintained by providing insight in sustainability and rehabilitation. Finally, Chapter 11 concludes with a global look at the sustainability.

It should be mentioned with some pride that this book is unique in some respects. With the contributions from India, it shows the global relevance of sustainability, indicating that good practice is not just relevant to North America or Western Europe, but also to a large country like India, where the need is even greater. Finally, the contributors have collected a large number of references in electronic form to share with the readers. More than 500 references, which add much value to the book, are available at <http://www.crcpress.com/product/isbn/9781439812969>. I hope that readers will acknowledge the use of these references through proper citation in their future work.

**Gajanan M. Sabnis**



---

# Acknowledgments

I acknowledge Kristin Cooper-Carter of Calera Corporation (formerly at California State University at Chico), who initiated this project, got me involved, and made me complete it in the best way I could; I have very special thanks for her. She will always be special to me for this sustainability project and any further contributions that may come out of it.

I cannot overlook Dr. Kenneth Derucher, professor of civil engineering and former dean at California State University at Chico, who introduced me to Kristin and proved to be the link after 25 years of our personal friendship when he was at the University of Maryland. Unfortunately, he could not contribute to this text, but I still owe him a debt of gratitude for this project.

Thanks are due to Taylor & Francis Publishers, mainly Joseph Clements, Jill Jurgensen, and Marsha Hecht, for their patience with all of us, but particularly with me in spite of delays due to unforeseen events beyond my control that at times slowed me down.

Finally, no matter whom one acknowledges, the family is always there to support, cooperate, and withstand the hardship that goes with book projects. I have been in such situations too many times! I sincerely thank all my family for their usual support—in particular my wife Sharda, for being at my side all the time; I say again, “Thank you!”

**Gajanan M. Sabnis**



---

# About the Editor

**Gajanan M. Sabnis, PhD, PE**, is emeritus professor at Howard University in Washington, DC, and presently an international consultant in project management and related fields. With his diverse cultural background and experience in the United States and India, he spends considerable time in India to assist infrastructure projects there. Large infrastructure development is taking place in India and the Middle East, and he finds it rewarding to provide such advisory service. He is a strong proponent of sustainability in civil engineering—particularly in the cement and concrete industry. He participated in the initial development of the policy on sustainability in ASCE and has built his own home in Silver Spring, Maryland, as an experiment in the principles of sustainable construction.



---

# Contributors

**Thomas Carter**

Calera Corporation  
Arlington, Virginia

**Kolialum Devanathan**

UGL Services-Equis Operations  
Bangalore, India

**Pushpa Devanathan**

Department of Architecture  
BMS College of Engineering  
Bangalore, India

**Chetan Hazaree**

Hindustan Construction Company Ltd.  
Mumbai, India

**William Juhl**

Amvic Pacific, Inc.  
Nevada City, California

**Edward J. Martin**

Brevard College  
Cocoa, Florida

**Karthik H. Obla**

National Ready Mixed Concrete  
Association (NRMCA)  
Silver Spring, Maryland

**Gopal Rai**

R&M International  
Mumbai, India

**N. Subramanian**

Consulting Engineer  
Gaithersburg, Maryland

**Peter Taylor**

National Concrete Pavement  
Technology Center  
Iowa State University  
Ames, Iowa

**Thomas J. Van Dam**

CTL Group  
Skokie, Illinois

---

# 1 Introduction

*Gajanan M. Sabnis*

## CONTENTS

|         |                                                                     |    |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1.1     | Background.....                                                     | 2  |
| 1.2     | Introduction .....                                                  | 2  |
| 1.3     | Overview of the Sustainability Movement .....                       | 3  |
| 1.3.1   | Environmental Sustainability .....                                  | 3  |
| 1.3.2   | Historical Command-and-Control Regulation .....                     | 3  |
| 1.3.2.1 | Beyond Compliance .....                                             | 4  |
| 1.3.2.2 | Social Sustainability .....                                         | 4  |
| 1.3.2.3 | Occupational Health and Safety .....                                | 4  |
| 1.3.2.4 | Community Health and Safety .....                                   | 4  |
| 1.3.2.5 | Customer Health and Safety .....                                    | 5  |
| 1.3.2.6 | Community Involvement .....                                         | 5  |
| 1.3.3   | Economic Sustainability.....                                        | 5  |
| 1.3.3.1 | Cost Savings.....                                                   | 5  |
| 1.3.3.2 | Stakeholder Satisfaction.....                                       | 5  |
| 1.4     | Sustainability and Civil Engineering.....                           | 6  |
| 1.5     | Some Myths about Sustainability .....                               | 6  |
| 1.5.1   | Sustainability Is a New Phenomenon .....                            | 6  |
| 1.5.2   | Sustainability Is an Intrinsic Part of Our Educational System.....  | 7  |
| 1.5.3   | Sustainable Structures Cost More .....                              | 7  |
| 1.5.4   | Products That Claim to Be “Green” Are Sustainable .....             | 8  |
| 1.5.5   | Government and the Public Do Little to Enhance Sustainability ..... | 8  |
| 1.6     | Life-Cycle Impact: Creating a Sustainable Product .....             | 9  |
| 1.7     | Environmental Concerns .....                                        | 10 |
| 1.7.1   | CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions and Global Change .....                   | 10 |
| 1.7.2   | Surface Runoff.....                                                 | 11 |
| 1.7.3   | Urban Heat.....                                                     | 11 |
| 1.7.4   | Health Concerns .....                                               | 12 |
| 1.7.5   | Concrete Handling/Safety Precautions.....                           | 12 |
| 1.7.6   | Concrete Repair .....                                               | 12 |
| 1.7.7   | Energy Efficiency .....                                             | 13 |
| 1.7.8   | Fire Safety and Quality of Life.....                                | 13 |
| 1.8     | Ten Qualifications of Concrete for Sustainability .....             | 15 |
|         | References.....                                                     | 16 |
|         | Additional Reading .....                                            | 17 |

## 1.1 BACKGROUND

The advances of sustainable construction and the green building movement of the past decade have spurred a detailed examination of building materials and practices worldwide. The Portland cement and concrete industries have an invaluable role to play in achieving the goals of reducing society's environmental footprints on the earth and in the atmosphere. Cement and concrete sustainability are measured in many ways. They are measured upstream during the manufacturing process and downstream in how construction projects are built and operated.

This book offers insight into new legal, technological, and social developments guiding the introduction of green buildings and their effects on the construction industry. This includes an in-depth evaluation of carbon dioxide and other emissions associated with the manufacture of cement, the attributes that concrete has to offer the green building movement, and the effect that emerging life-cycle analysis has on concrete's role in this important revolution in the building industry. The chapters to follow explore the benefits of thermal mass, increased water supply, and improving water quality; reducing urban heat island effects; reducing construction waste and the use of supplementary cementitious materials to gain a better understanding of how concrete can contribute to sustainable construction; leadership in energy and environmental design (LEED); and the green building movement in general. This book outlines clearly how to make the most of concrete in sustainable design, with an emphasis on environmental impact and occupational and consumer health and safety.

## 1.2 INTRODUCTION

Sustainability, in general, was given a political definition by the United Nations as follows: "Development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs—by attempting to balance social, economic and environmental effects."

One can start with this definition and work on its applicability in any field. One can hardly argue with this definition. In Section 1.3, this definition is discussed in detail. A later section covers civil engineering aspects of this definition in light of the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) and the policies approved by it. Various organizations, such as the American Concrete Institute (ACI), Portland Cement Association (PCA), Concrete Reinforcing Steel Institute (CRSI), and Precast/Prestressed Concrete Institute (PCI), have also adopted a unified approach by establishing a coalition to pursue sustainability in the context of concrete. The Concrete Joint Sustainability Initiative is a coalition of industry associations representing companies who make or maintain concrete structures. The main goal is to educate the members of organizations at large and their clients about use of concrete in sustainable development.

Contributions of concrete to sustainability come from its components, such as cement, aggregate, and even water, and their impact on its properties during the life of the produced structure. Concrete should be desirably strong and durable and preferably immune to any environmental factors causing its damage or deterioration. It has the ability to withstand temperature to insulate the interior, not to mention its

ability to provide sound insulation in many cases, which makes it an ideal construction material anywhere the ingredients are available in the world. This raises various key issues for its sustainability, which are presented here as the main backbone for the rest of this book. They can be termed “ten commandments” to maximize concrete’s sustainability. Effectively leveraged, reinforced concrete can contribute a great deal to creating sustainable buildings, bridges, and other infrastructures necessary for a successful future of any country.<sup>1</sup>

### 1.3 OVERVIEW OF THE SUSTAINABILITY MOVEMENT\*

The goal of sustainability is to leave the world a better place for future generations. Companies are learning that they are more profitable and more sustainable if they think in terms of a triple bottom line: *economic, social, and environmental or profits; people; and the planet*. This approach enables business development to meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. This section provides the critical review of various historical approaches to environmental regulation and the emerging principles of sustainability.

#### 1.3.1 ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY

Sustainability starts with environmental performance. While they are only one of three foundations of sustainability, environmental concerns typically get the most attention. In fact, the concepts of sustainable development and sustainability were born of environmentalism. In 1987, the United Nations World Commission on Environment and Development presented a document, commonly called the Brundtland Report, to the UN General Assembly. This report addressed concerns for historical development paths, which led to depleted natural resources, including clean air and water. It called for future economic development that could be sustained without depleting natural resources or harming the environment. The report famously defined sustainable development as “development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.”<sup>1</sup>

The Brundtland Report was primarily concerned with global equity and the sustainable economic growth of developing nations. This concept spread through the 1990s and was expanded to the broader concept of sustainability, which can guide the philosophies and actions of individual countries, communities, industries, companies, facilities, and people, regardless of their development status.

#### 1.3.2 HISTORICAL COMMAND-AND-CONTROL REGULATION

Before Brundtland, environmentalism relied on an adversarial system in which government entities required industrial facilities, automobiles, and communities to utilize processes or technological controls to limit air emissions, solid waste, and water discharges. This command-and-control approach sought to filter or capture pollution rather than limiting its creation. In many countries, including most in North America

---

\* This section was contributed by Thomas B. Carter, also the author of Chapter 2.

and Europe, this approach was effective in removing most pollution from the most significant sources. For example, requiring US cement plants to install particulate matter controls reduced those emissions by more than 99%.

Most observers agree that the command-and-control approach was appropriate in the first stage of the environmental movement. From the industrial revolution of the nineteenth century until the advent of environmental awareness in the mid-twentieth century, most industries and individuals envisioned natural resources, fresh air, and clean water as limitless. By the time that humankind realized that this was not the case, dramatic steps were necessary. In the early 1970s many governments established environmental ministries, agencies, and laws. In the last three and one-half decades, industrialized countries achieved significant gains in reducing air emissions and water discharges. The initial challenge of sustainable development was to ensure that developing countries learned the lessons of the past and developed in a smarter and more environmentally aware manner.

Now that old facilities generally include emissions controls—at least in developed countries—the challenge is to ensure that new facilities are designed to reduce the need for such controls. Sustainability looks beyond command-and-control measures to ensure that future development and industrialization are conducted wisely.

### **1.3.2.1 Beyond Compliance**

One element of sustainability is that environmental policy—whether at the government or corporate level—should not be based on the rigid structure of legal requirements. Rather, these decisions should consider the long-term welfare of the people with a stake in a company or a country. As this broader approach has gathered momentum, industry and government are increasingly turning to voluntary measures to minimize environmental impacts. Often these measures are accompanied by market-based mechanisms for ensuring that overall emissions of a given pollutant are kept to a sustainable level.

### **1.3.2.2 Social Sustainability**

Gaining less attention than environmental concerns but equally important are the social impacts of sustainability. Sustainable industries must strive to maximize positive effects on society through education, employment, economic welfare, stakeholder empowerment, and other factors. Negative social impacts should be minimized. Social sustainability can be seen as a series of concentric circles expanding around a company of other entities.

### **1.3.2.3 Occupational Health and Safety**

At the center of sustainable industries and companies are individual employees. The health, safety, and welfare of employees and the communities in which they live and work are essential components of economic, environmental, and societal factors by which sustainability decisions are weighed.

### **1.3.2.4 Community Health and Safety**

Controlling emissions, waste, and discharges is essentially a community health and safety program—and, in the case of greenhouse gases or other pollutants with broader

consequences, a global health and safety program. Therefore, the environmental sustainability steps discussed before are directly relevant to community concerns.

Other community health and safety issues include safe quarry operations and safe driving and rail operation guidelines. Less direct but equally important contributions include sponsorship of local youth athletic programs and health-care benefits for employees and their families. Finally, the very act of employing community members contributes to their ability to obtain good nutrition and medical care and to their general physical and mental health. All of these are factors by which the cement industry creates sustainable communities.

#### **1.3.2.5 Customer Health and Safety**

The next ring of social sustainability includes the customers and users of cement. One form of outreach to this group is sharing of information on the contents of the product. For example, in the United States, manufacturers provide their customers with a material safety data sheet (MSDS) with detailed information on any health or safety concerns associated with ingredients in cement.

#### **1.3.2.6 Community Involvement**

A mark of social sustainability that extends beyond health and safety is stakeholder involvement. Many companies have established community involvement committees to give their neighbors a voice in major decisions that might affect the community. An informed and participatory community can make the right decisions to become a sustainable community.

### **1.3.3 ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY**

The final leg is economic sustainability. For a company to remain a valuable member of the community in which it operates and to contribute to environmental and social sustainability, it must remain in business. This requires operating at a long-term profit.

#### **1.3.3.1 Cost Savings**

Many of the measures taken to achieve environmental and social sustainability directly benefit the financial bottom line and therefore contribute to economic sustainability. Air emissions, solid waste, and water discharges are the results of inefficiencies in an industrial system. An ideal system would produce no waste or by-products. While generally unattainable, this is still the ultimate goal of a sustainability program. Enhancing energy efficiency, minimizing waste, and utilizing industrial by-products as fuels or raw materials improve both profits and the environment.

#### **1.3.3.2 Stakeholder Satisfaction**

Another means by which a company can ensure financial sustainability is to maximize the satisfaction of its employees, customers, communities, shareholders, and other stakeholders. Taking care of employees and being a good community member are two ways to achieve stakeholder satisfaction. Having a strong record of striving toward environmental and social sustainability is increasingly

important in attracting and pleasing customers, communities, shareholders, and other stakeholders.

## 1.4 SUSTAINABILITY AND CIVIL ENGINEERING

Civil engineers looked at sustainability as a policy and principle of practice. For the first time after years of debate, as a professional body, ASCE has revised its Code of Ethics to make the principles of sustainable development part of the canon of civil engineering practices and introduced it as a policy statement that is continuously updated.

The concept of sustainability deals primarily with sustainable development as defined by the ASCE in 1996: “Sustainable Development is the challenge of meeting human needs for natural resources, industrial products, energy, food, transportation, shelter, and effective waste management while conserving and protecting environmental quality and the natural resource base essential for future development.”<sup>2</sup>

Sustainability in the developed as well as in the developing world requires scientific and technical innovations to create designs that enable the earth and its inhabitants to prosper and therefore should be considered a universal phenomenon.

ASCE encourages the use of *life-cycle cost analysis (LCCA) principles* in the design process to evaluate the total cost of projects. The analysis should include planning, design, construction, operation, maintenance, regulatory, environmental, safety, and other costs reasonably anticipated during the life of the project, whether borne by the project owner or those otherwise affected. When the cost of a project is estimated only for design and construction, the long-term costs associated with maintenance, operation, and retiring of a project are overlooked. One of the most significant elements for the planning and designing of facilities is the determination of the total effect of life-cycle costs on a project. The rationale in the use of LCCA is to raise the awareness of owners, clients, and the public of the total costs of projects and promote quality and comprehensive engineering solutions. Short-term design cost savings that lead to high future costs will be exposed as a result of the analysis.<sup>3</sup>

## 1.5 SOME MYTHS ABOUT SUSTAINABILITY<sup>4</sup>

The sustainability and green building movements have given rise to more discussion on the topic and created some critical issues, which may or may not be significant. This section takes a critical, hard look at some myths about sustainability and concrete from a global perspective.

### 1.5.1 SUSTAINABILITY IS A NEW PHENOMENON

Sustainability as introduced now in our life may be new, but it was always part of our engineering works. Thus, if the structure is designed well and the constructors (both engineers and builder) follow certain engineering guidelines, it will be sustainable. Sustainability has moved into our lives and will remain with us. Professionally speaking, ASCE was the first to adopt sustainability as a policy in 1996 to provide civil engineering principles for the profession to follow. In 2010, the US GSA established the position of chief greening officer, who is responsible for pursuing innovative

and sustainable practices within his or her domain of buildings. On the other hand, it has been shown that it does not cost more to convince clients to embrace Green Principles via sustainable construction. Later, the American Institute of Architects (AIA) also adopted similar principles. The US Green Building Council (USGBC) has also made an impact on the profession, showing the very high rate of growth in all aspects of the green movement and sustainability.

In today's society, the idea of sustainability is possibly more prevalent than at any other point and time. Across our everyday lives we encounter the practice of sustainability from renewable energy resources to curbside recycling programs. As our demand for products, infrastructure, and lifestyle amenities grows, so should our awareness that we live in a fragile balance between meeting these needs and meeting them in a way that has minimal impact on the environment and does not jeopardize our own public health and safety.<sup>5</sup> Civil engineers of the present and future will play a vital role in sustainable development in meeting the needs of the public. Their design and building of infrastructures support our society.

### **1.5.2 SUSTAINABILITY IS AN INTRINSIC PART OF OUR EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM**

Future generations must become aware of sustainability as early as possible in their lives since they will be faced with the problems when they become part of the profession. Earlier in education, teachers should make them aware of following the "3 Rs" (renew, restore, reuse). Recent events such as the bridge collapse in Minneapolis, the ever-growing demand for transportation infrastructure, a continuing increase in population, and concerns of global warming have made educating new engineers on the concept of sustainable development ever more important. Today's civil engineering student is exposed to the need for sustainable development from many directions.

The university professor should incorporate sustainable development into the curriculum to make it consistent with the times. The institution and individual faculty member must ensure that students are being educated on practicing sustainable development. On the other hand, students have an ethical responsibility to take the principle of sustainable development and carry it on into their professional careers. This will happen only with a clear understanding of the importance of sustainable development and its application in their future jobs.<sup>5</sup>

Only a handful of firms use the sustainable solution on the entire project from concept to reality (completion). Sustainability will change with the times and with constantly advancing practices and use of new and better products and technology applications. Construction professionals need to make an effort to learn about new opportunities for making their products more sustainable.

### **1.5.3 SUSTAINABLE STRUCTURES COST MORE**

A 1996 residential project<sup>6</sup> with several sustainable elements showed that the cost was only marginally higher and was economically based on the life-cycle cost analysis. This was before sustainability became a buzzword. The project used as many features as possible, including the use of recycled materials, insulated concrete,

geothermal energy, and green materials. The efficient use of energy saved money toward the cost of installing better heating and cooling systems. Many other projects can be cited with similar results. In some projects, sustainable floor products that cost less than conventional ones are sometimes used. We can use the LEED certification to make ourselves feel good without spending a great deal of money.

#### **1.5.4 PRODUCTS THAT CLAIM TO BE “GREEN” ARE SUSTAINABLE**

Since following the green wave has rapidly become “the thing to do,” many companies are claiming to have green products. Although various standards for green do exist, gaps and conflicts make it tricky to monitor the efficiency and effectiveness of the products and systems. Consumers must be careful when purchasing products claiming to be sustainable. For example, there are many product options for carpet backing, acoustical ceiling tile, and other finishes made with postconsumer recycled content, but this does not mean that, once in a house, they save energy. Recent research has revealed that many products which have received the Energy Star seal did not really live up to their hype. Why? The US Environmental Protection Agency, which oversees the program, was overloaded with products to review and became inefficient.

#### **1.5.5 GOVERNMENT AND THE PUBLIC DO LITTLE TO ENHANCE SUSTAINABILITY**

Within the borders of the United States, development projects that are undertaken by government agencies are held under a microscope to the practice of sustainable development. Any time a government agency puts a project out for bid, the requirements of that project are in line with the practice of sustainable development. Projects above a certain value are also evaluated for LCA as a mandatory evaluation mode to make the structure a real sustainable product.

The US Department of State sponsors sustainable development partnerships that reach not only across this country<sup>7</sup> but also beyond our borders. These partnerships with foreign countries allow them to look at the project from a global concern, with information and technology to provide poverty reduction programs such as universal primary education, access to clean water and sanitary systems, access to energy services, reducing the spread of infectious diseases, reducing hunger and promoting agricultural and rural development, conservation and environmental stewardship, and protecting marine and freshwater resources. These partnerships provide a two-way exchange of information between the two countries to enhance the health, safety, and well-being of their citizens in addition to giving them a greater awareness of environmental impacts with the information and technology.

Civil engineers have a very unique duty to society and a responsibility to advance the infrastructures of the society in a way that not only meets the demand needs but also does so in a socially responsible manner. Professionally, there must be a proper push forward to meet the needs of an ever-growing and ever-changing society. This will lead to a way to design and practice within the civil engineering field in a manner that is always ethical and true to the practice of sustainable development.

## 1.6 LIFE-CYCLE IMPACT: CREATING A SUSTAINABLE PRODUCT\*

A sustainable industry should be marked by a minimal environmental impact not only during the manufacturing process, but also during the entire life cycle of the product. Cement and concrete products fare very well in life-cycle analyses. Concrete is highly durable, and concrete buildings and pavements are more energy efficient than those made with competing products.

Durability is a key attribute. A longer lasting product will be manufactured and applied fewer times. Concrete will not rust, rot, or burn and requires less energy and fewer resources over time to repair or replace it. Concrete builds durable, long-lasting structures including sidewalks, building foundations, and envelopes, as well as roadways and bridges. Incorporating the most widely used building material in the world, concrete structures have withstood the test of time for more than 2,000 years. Because of its longevity, concrete can be a viable solution for environmentally responsible design.

Concrete also creates more energy-efficient structures than other building materials do. Homes and buildings constructed from insulated concrete walls are not subject to large daily temperature fluctuations. This means home or building owners can lower heating and cooling bills up to 25% and that occupants within these structures are more comfortable. Also, heating, ventilating, and air conditioning can be designed with smaller capacity equipment.

Additionally, concrete minimizes the effects that produce urban heat islands. Studies have shown that urban environments have higher temperatures in areas where there are few trees and a multitude of paved surfaces and buildings. This additional heat causes air-conditioning systems to work harder, which uses more energy (up to 18% more) and promotes the formation of smog. Light-colored concrete absorbs less heat and reflects more light than dark-colored materials, thereby reducing heat gain. Light-colored pavements also require less site lighting to provide safe nighttime illumination levels on parking lots, driveways, or sidewalks.

Several studies have shown that vehicles get better gas mileage traveling on concrete pavements than on other materials. Concrete's rigid surface creates less drag, particularly in hot weather, when asphalt becomes softer.

Another factor in examining life-cycle greenhouse gas impacts of concrete is its ability to reabsorb significant levels of carbon dioxide over its lifetime. This carbonation process actually reverses the chemical calcination process that takes place during cement manufacturing. While other building and paving materials can release greenhouse gases and other pollutants over their lifetime or at destruction, concrete actually serves as a carbon sink.

These end-use energy savings are a crucial portion of PCA's greenhouse gas reduction program. Although the energy savings experienced by users of concrete do not count toward the industry's goal of reducing carbon dioxide from the manufacturing process, they could offset greenhouse gas emissions from the manufacture of the

---

\* This section was contributed by Prof. Dr. Siti Hamisah Tapsir, Technical University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur.

product. In other words, if concrete is utilized in a way to enhance its energy efficiency, the industry could become a net sink of carbon dioxide as opposed to a source.

Concrete can also play a role in enhancing water quality and quantity. Pervious concrete surfaces enable storm water to pass through the pavement and its base. These innovative surfaces have a number of environmental benefits. Traditional impervious pavements collect storm water from a large surface and concentrate it into run-off points, resulting in flooding risks. Pervious pavements recharge the aquifer by allowing rainwater to soak into the ground where it falls, as it would in non-paved areas. Rather than washing the residues of vehicle and other emissions into local waterways, pervious pavements provide an initial filter of these pollutants before they enter the ground, where they can be further filtered. Pervious concrete provides a cleansing and durable access point for storm water to recharge the aquifer, while competing oil-based and chemically sealed materials can add to water pollution and softer materials can get compressed, reducing their pervious characteristics.

Recycling also factors into cement's life-cycle analysis during the cement manufacturing process and in the production, use, and disposal of concrete. Many wastes and industrial by-products such as fly ash that would otherwise clog landfills serve as an excellent additive to concrete mixes. These by-products also reduce reliance on raw materials. For example, in 2001, the concrete industry used 11,400,000 metric tons of fly ash—a by-product of coal combustion at electric power utility plants.

Finally, when a concrete structure has served its purpose, it can be recycled as aggregate in new concrete paving or backfill, or as road base. Even the reinforcing steel in concrete (which often is made from recycled steel) can be recycled and reused. Concrete is easy to use and can be readily recycled. Delivered and prepared for each specific project, concrete typically produces very little waste.

## 1.7 ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS

Discussion of sustainability in the context of engineering, especially for cement and concrete, leads to a number of environmental concerns. Although several of these are treated in detail in later chapters, initial comments are in order here.

Concrete has been used for hundreds of years in all parts of the world and has provided mankind with the safest and most durable and sustainable building material. It provides superior fire resistance, gains strength over time, and has an extremely long service life. Concrete is the most widely used construction material in the world, with annual consumption estimated at between 20 and 30 billion tonnes. Concrete construction minimizes the long-term costs of a building or of infrastructure projects for reconstruction. Its ingredients are cement and readily available natural materials: water, aggregate (sand and gravel or crushed stone). Concrete does not require any CO<sub>2</sub>-absorbing trees to be cut down. The land required to extract the materials needed to make concrete is only a fraction of that used to harvest forests for lumber.

### 1.7.1 CO<sub>2</sub> EMISSIONS AND GLOBAL CHANGE

The cement industry is one of two primary producers of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), creating up to 5% of worldwide man-made emissions of this gas, of which 50% is from

the chemical process and 40% from burning fuel.<sup>8</sup> The embodied carbon dioxide ( $\text{ECO}_2$ ) of 1 tonne of concrete varies with mix design and is in the range of 75–175 kg  $\text{CO}_2$ /tonne concrete.<sup>9</sup> The  $\text{CO}_2$  emission from the concrete production is directly proportional to the cement content used in the concrete mix. Indeed, 900 kg of  $\text{CO}_2$  are emitted for the fabrication of every ton of cement.<sup>10</sup> Cement manufacture contributes greenhouse gases directly through the production of carbon dioxide when calcium carbonate is thermally decomposed, producing lime and carbon dioxide,<sup>11</sup> as well as through the use of energy, particularly from the combustion of fossil fuels. However, some companies have recognized the problem and are envisaging solutions to counter their  $\text{CO}_2$  emissions. The principle of carbon capture and storage consists of directly capturing the  $\text{CO}_2$  at the outlet of the cement kiln in order to transport it and to store it in an adequate and deep geological formation. Chapter 2 deals in depth with these many issues.

### 1.7.2 SURFACE RUNOFF

Surface runoff (when water runs off impervious surfaces, such as nonporous concrete) can cause heavy soil erosion. Urban runoff tends to pick up gasoline, motor oil, heavy metals, trash, and other pollutants from sidewalks, roadways, and parking lots.<sup>11,12</sup> The impervious cover in a typical city sewer system prevents groundwater percolation five times that of a typical woodland of the same size.<sup>13</sup> A 2008 report by the United States National Research Council identified urban runoff as a leading source of water quality problems.<sup>14</sup> This problem needs to consider the possibilities using a recently developed pervious concrete. This aspect is considered in detail in Chapter 7.

### 1.7.3 URBAN HEAT

Both concrete and asphalt contribute to the urban heat island effect. The use of light-colored concrete is effective in reflecting up to 50% more light than asphalt, thus reducing ambient temperature.<sup>15</sup> A low albedo value, characteristic of black asphalt, absorbs a large percentage of solar heat and contributes to the warming of cities. By paving with light-colored concrete, in addition to replacing asphalt with light-colored concrete, communities can lower their average temperature.<sup>16</sup>

Pavements comprise approximately 30%–40% of the surface area<sup>15</sup> and thus directly impact the temperature of the city, as demonstrated by the urban heat island effect. In addition to decreasing the overall temperature of parking lots and large paved areas by paving with light-colored concrete, there are supplemental benefits, such as 10%–30% improved nighttime visibility.<sup>15</sup> There is a high potential to save energy within the area. With lower temperatures, the demand for air conditioning decreases and consequently saves vast amounts of energy.

Pavement technology is rapidly evolving and is applied especially in developing countries. Developed countries that have focused on developing road infrastructure have gained substantial experience that can be shared with developing countries in order to offer global sustainability benefits. Chapters 5 and 6 discuss pavement applications with concrete that have credentials to offer multitudes of sustainability

benefits. The concrete material and construction techniques discussed in these chapters include the classical one with roller compacted concrete. These material and construction techniques offer cement savings, can accommodate a range of aggregates, require less mechanization, reduce the overall cost substantially, and have better durability.

Atlanta and New York City can be cited for their efforts to mitigate the heat-island effect. City officials noted that when heat-reflecting concrete was used, the average city temperature decreased by 6°F.<sup>17</sup> The Design Trust for Public Space in New York City found that, by slightly raising the albedo value in the city, beneficial effects such as energy savings could be achieved. This could be accomplished by replacing the black asphalt with light-colored concrete. In winter, this may be a disadvantage because ice will form more easily and remain longer on the light-colored surfaces, which will be colder due to less energy absorbed from the small amounts of sun in winter.<sup>16</sup> More details on the subject are covered in Chapter 8.

#### **1.7.4 HEALTH CONCERNS**

The presence of some substances in concrete, including useful and unwanted additives, has raised some health concerns. Natural radioactive elements (K<sup>19</sup>, uranium [U], and thorium [Th]) can be present in various concentrations in concrete dwellings, depending on the source of the raw materials used.<sup>18</sup> Toxic substances may also be added to the mixture for making concrete by unscrupulous makers. Dust from rubble or broken concrete upon demolition or crumbling may cause serious health concerns depending also on what was incorporated in the concrete. Global concerns on these issues are considered in detail in Chapter 11.

#### **1.7.5 CONCRETE HANDLING/SAFETY PRECAUTIONS**

Handling of wet concrete must always be done with proper protective equipment. Contact with wet concrete can cause skin burns due to the caustic nature of the mixture of cement and water. Water may seep through the concrete, often in cracks, having dissolved components of cement stone. Osteoporosis of concrete often occurs in parking garages as road salt comes off cars to the concrete floor as a saline solution in the winter.

#### **1.7.6 CONCRETE REPAIR**

Concrete repair applies to both concrete structures and pavements. Concrete pavement preservation (CPP) and concrete pavement restoration (CPR) are techniques used to manage the rate of pavement deterioration on concrete streets, highways and airports. Without changing concrete grade, this nonoverlay method is used to repair isolated areas of distress. CPP and CPR techniques include slab stabilization, full- and partial-depth repair, dowel bar retrofit, cross stitching longitudinal cracks or joints, diamond grinding, and joint and crack resealing. CPR methods, developed over the last 40 years, are utilized in lieu of short-lived asphalt overlays and bituminous patches to repair roads. These methods are often less expensive

than an asphalt overlay; they last three times longer and provide a greener solution.<sup>19</sup> CPR techniques can be used to address specific problems or bring a pavement back to its original quality. When repairing a road, design data, construction data, traffic data, environmental data, previous CPR activities, and pavement condition must all be taken into account. CPR methods extend pavement life beyond 15 years.

Concrete structures need to be looked at from a sustainability perspective for preservation and also for extending their lives. Damage is a cumulative phenomenon and affects the structure over a long period. Depending on the national security perspective, such structures need to be monitored for strength and durability.

### **1.7.7 ENERGY EFFICIENCY<sup>20</sup>**

Energy requirements for transportation of concrete are low because concrete is produced locally from local resources, typically manufactured within 100 km of the job site. Once in place, concrete offers significant energy efficiency over the lifetime of a building.<sup>21</sup> Concrete walls leak air far less than those made of wood frames. Air leakage accounts for a large percentage of energy loss from a home. The thermal mass properties of concrete increase the efficiency of both residential and commercial buildings. By storing and releasing the energy needed for heating or cooling, concrete's thermal mass delivers year-round benefits by reducing temperature swings inside and minimizing heating and cooling costs. While insulation reduces energy loss through the building envelope, thermal mass uses walls to store and release energy. Modern concrete wall systems use both insulation and thermal mass to create an energy-efficient building. Insulating concrete forms (ICFs) are hollow blocks or panels made of insulating foam forms that are stacked to create any shape of the walls of a building and then filled with reinforced concrete to create the structure. This energy aspect is discussed in detail in Chapter 4.

### **1.7.8 FIRE SAFETY AND QUALITY OF LIFE<sup>20</sup>**

Concrete buildings are more resistant to fire than those constructed using wood or steel frames. Since concrete does not burn and stops fire from spreading, it offers total fire protection for occupants and their property. Concrete reduces the risk of structural collapse and is an effective fire shield, providing safe means of escape for occupants and protection for firefighters. Furthermore, it does not produce any smoke or toxic gases and does not drip molten particles, which can spread fire. Neither heat and flames nor the water used to extinguish a fire seriously affects the structure of concrete walls and floors, making repairs after a fire a relatively simple task.

A limited study conducted in Sweden by Olle Lundberg on the cost of fire damage associated with larger fires in multiunit buildings and based on statistics from the insurance association in Sweden (Försäkrings Förbundet) had some interesting conclusions. The study covered 125 fires over a 10-year period between 1995 and 2004. Only 10% of the fires were in multifamily homes; however, 56% of the fires were major. The results showed the following:

- The average insurance payout per fire per unit in wood-frame buildings was around five times that of fires in concrete buildings (approximately \$60,000 compared with \$12,000).
- A major fire is more than 11 times more likely to develop in a wood-frame house than in one built using concrete.
- Among the burned houses, 50% of those made with wood had to be demolished, whereas only 9% of the concrete ones were beyond repair.
- The fire spread to neighboring buildings in only 3 of the 55 fires in concrete houses.
- Of those 55 fires, 45 were in attics and roofing.

Options for noncombustible construction include floors, ceilings, and roofs made of cast-in-place and hollow-core precast concrete. For walls, concrete masonry technology and ICFs are additional options. Insulating concrete forms are hollow blocks or panels made of fireproof insulating foam that are stacked to form the shape of the walls of a building and then filled with reinforced concrete to create the structure.

“Fire-wall” tests, in which ICF walls were subjected to a continuous gas flame with a temperature of more than 1000°C for as long as 4 hours, showed no significant breaks in the concrete layer or dangerous transmission of heat. In comparison, wood frame walls normally collapse in an hour or less under these conditions. Concrete provides stable compartments in large industrial and multistory buildings, so a fire starting in one section does not spread to others. Thus, concrete provides an excellent material for building construction and offers the best possible protection and safety in fires:

- It does not burn or add to fire load and has high resistance to fire, preventing it from spreading and thus reducing resulting environmental pollution.
- It does not produce any smoke or toxic gases or drip molten particles; it resists extreme fire conditions, making it ideal for storage facilities with high fire loads.
- It reduces the risk of structural collapse and thus provides a safe means of escape for occupants and access for firefighters because it is an effective fire shield.
- It is not affected by the water used to put out a fire.
- It is easy to repair after a fire and thus helps residents and businesses recover sooner.
- It provides complete fire protection, so there is normally no need for additional measures.

In addition, concrete provides the best resistance of any building material to high winds, hurricanes, and tornadoes due to its stiffness, which results in minimal horizontal movement. When properly designed for ductility, it also provides superior resistance to seismic events. It does not rust, rot, or sustain growth of mold and stands up well to the freeze–thaw cycle. As a result of all these benefits, insurance for concrete homes is often 15%–25% lower than for comparable wood-frame homes.

Because concrete buildings also have excellent indoor air quality with no off-gassing, toxicity, or release of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), they are generally healthier to live in than those made of wood or steel. It is practically inert and waterproof, so concrete does not need volatile organic-based preservatives, special coatings, or sealers. Concrete can be easily cleaned with organic, nontoxic substances. Its sound insulating properties make buildings and homes a quiet and comfortable living environment. After accounting for sound passing through windows, a concrete home is about two-thirds quieter than a comparable wood-frame home.<sup>22</sup>

Due to the extended life of concrete structures, their impacts on the environment are negligible. Once built, they require minimal maintenance and result in very little social disruption. Concrete reduces construction waste because it is used only on an as-required basis, thereby minimizing the waste put into landfills.

## 1.8 TEN QUALIFICATIONS OF CONCRETE FOR SUSTAINABILITY<sup>1</sup>

This chapter appropriately concludes with a summary of concrete, which is an excellent and globally available construction material, and its 10 best qualities as a sustainable material. The CRSI<sup>1</sup> describes these qualities in a different form; they are appropriately modified.

*Long service life.* Reinforced concrete's durability ensures that the structure will retain its structural and aesthetic capabilities for many years. The carbon footprint of a structure is minimized when the need to replace it is eliminated completely.

*Safety.* Reinforced concrete structures can withstand natural disasters, including hurricanes, tornadoes, earthquakes, and floods. This resistance minimizes the need for replacement or repair.

*Energy efficiency.* Reinforced concrete's inherent thermal mass absorbs heat during the day and releases it at night, reducing HVAC costs and enhancing energy efficiency.

*Lower maintenance.* Reinforced concrete provides long-term durability and therefore minimizes the need for extensive maintenance when compared with other materials of construction. Because cast-in-place reinforced concrete offers a monolithic approach to design, few or no joints or connections need to be maintained.

*Reduced waste.* Concrete components typically are cast as specified, with little excess produced. Waste accrued through cutouts, change orders, etc. can be recycled.

*Minimized harvesting impact.* Concrete producers can replace significant amounts of cement in their mixtures with industrial by-products such as silica fume and blast-furnace slag. Their use in concrete removes them from landfills and minimizes cement use while, in many cases, producing an even more durable concrete.

*Minimized transportation cost.* Virtually all of reinforced concrete components can be made locally anywhere in the world. This turns out to be the key element in reducing emissions due to transportation. Through the

utilization of local materials, the impacts of transportation are minimized. Concrete components typically are cast as specified, with little excess produced. Waste accrued through cutouts, change orders, etc. can be recycled and in turn result in reduced waste.

*Design flexibility.* Reinforced concrete offers flexibility to design dramatic architectural shapes with long-span capability that can deliver open interior layouts, creating flexibility in designing spaces and providing the ability to install equipment quickly. Design efficiency also often reduces floor heights. In turn, concrete framing systems offer substantially lower floor-to-floor heights, creating energy-efficient designs that may be able to add revenue-generating floors to the building while meeting zoning restrictions on height.

*Improved indoor air quality.* Concrete contains no VOCs and this improves indoor air quality. It does not support mold growth because it is inorganic. The monolithic nature reduces hidden spaces where insects, rodents, and biological hazards can accumulate and infiltrate into occupied spaces. The impervious barrier provided by reinforced concrete helps keep the outdoors outside and lets the interior environment be controlled by the HVAC systems.

*Aesthetics and other significant social benefits.* Reinforced concrete can be cast in almost any surface finish or shape. This provides the designer with unlimited flexibility with color, shape, and texture. In addition, concrete provides high fire resistance and excellent sound insulation. It thus creates safe, secure, comfortable designs. Combined with its ability to provide high wind resistance and indoor comfort, reinforced concrete can help boost productivity and worker satisfaction and offer a higher quality, “greener” way of life.

## REFERENCES

1. <http://www.crsi.org/index.cfm/sustainability/sustainability>
2. [www.asce.org](http://www.asce.org)
3. [www.asce.org](http://www.asce.org) (ASCE Policy Statement 451).
4. [http://www.businessweek.com/managing/content/jun2010/ca20100614\\_910533.htm](http://www.businessweek.com/managing/content/jun2010/ca20100614_910533.htm)
5. Rodgers, J. D. 2009. Sustainability and Civil Engineering. Ohio Valley Regional Student Conference. [http://digitalcommons.wku.edu/civ\\_engin\\_stu\\_res/2](http://digitalcommons.wku.edu/civ_engin_stu_res/2)
6. Sabnis, G. M., Sabnis, S. G., and Martin, E. J. 2008. Green house: Vol. 1: Energy-efficient home. Washington, DC: Drylonso Publications.
7. [www.sdp.gov](http://www.sdp.gov)
8. <http://www.sustainableconcrete.org.uk/main.asp?page=0>
9. Mahasanen, N., S. Smith, K. Humphreys, and Y. Kaya. 2003. The cement industry and global climate change: Current and potential future cement industry CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies—6th International Conference. Oxford: Pergamon Press, pp. 995–1000. ISBN 9780080442761. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B873D-4P9MYFN-BK/2/c58323fdf4cbc244856fe80c96447f44> (retrieved April 9, 2008).
10. EIA. 2006. Emissions of greenhouse gases report. 20116. Carbon dioxide emissions. <http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/ggrpt/carbon.html>

11. Water Environment Federation, Alexandria, VA, and American Society of Civil Engineers, Reston, VA. 1998. Urban runoff quality management. *WEF manual of practice*, no. 23; *ASCE manual and report on engineering practice*, no. 87. ISBN 1-57278-039-8. Chapter 1.
12. Burton, G. A., Jr., and R. Pitt. 2001. *Stormwater effects handbook: A toolbox for watershed managers, scientists, and engineers*. New York: CRC/Lewis Publishers. ISBN 0-87371-924-7 ([http://unix.eng.ua.edu/~rpitt/Publications/BooksandReports/Stormwater%20Effects%20Handbook%20by%20%20Burton%20and%20Pitt%20book/MainEDFS\\_Book.html](http://unix.eng.ua.edu/~rpitt/Publications/BooksandReports/Stormwater%20Effects%20Handbook%20by%20%20Burton%20and%20Pitt%20book/MainEDFS_Book.html)), Chapter 2.
13. US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2003. Protecting water quality from urban runoff. Document no. EPA 841-F-03-003, Washington, DC.
14. National Research Council. 2008. Urban stormwater management in the United States, 18–20, Washington, DC.
15. Gore, A., and A. Steffen. 2008. *World changing: A user's guide for the 21st century*. New York: Abrams, 258 pp.
16. Concrete facts. Pacific Southwest Concrete Alliance. [http://www.concreteresources.net/categories/4F26A962-D021-233F-FCC5EF707CBD860A/fun\\_facts.html](http://www.concreteresources.net/categories/4F26A962-D021-233F-FCC5EF707CBD860A/fun_facts.html) (retrieved February 6, 2009).
17. <http://jeq.sci journals.org/cgi/reprint/31/3/718.pdf>
18. [http://www.luminultra.com/dmdocuments/Product%20Validation%20-%20Cement\\_Concrete%20Admixtures%20QGOM.pdf](http://www.luminultra.com/dmdocuments/Product%20Validation%20-%20Cement_Concrete%20Admixtures%20QGOM.pdf)
19. <http://rerowland.com/K40.html>
20. <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Concrete>
21. Pentalla, V. 1997. Concrete and sustainable development. *ACI Materials Journal* September–October, title no. 94-M48. American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI.
22. Gajda, J. 2001. Energy use of single family houses with various exterior walls. Construction Technology Laboratories Inc., Skokie, IL.

## ADDITIONAL READING

- Carter, T. B. 2001. Sustainability and cement: Making it cleanly and using it greenly. PCA, paper no. 228. Washington, DC.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2007. Sustainability and cement: Making it cleanly and using it greenly. Paper no. 228. Air and Waste Management Association, Pittsburgh, PA.
- Portland Cement Association. 2004. Plans for future generations. Cement manufacturing sustainability program. [http://www.cement.org/concretethinking/pdf\\_files/SP401.PDF](http://www.cement.org/concretethinking/pdf_files/SP401.PDF)
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2006. Report on sustainable manufacturing. Cement manufacturing sustainability program. <http://www.cement.org/smreport06/>
- World Business Council for Sustainable Development. Cement sustainability initiative. <http://www.wbcsdcement.org/>
- World Commission on Environment and Development. Development and international economic cooperation: Environment. Presented to the United Nations General Assembly, A/42/427, August 4, 1987.



---

# 2 Sustainability in the Cement Industries and Chemical Admixtures

*Thomas B. Carter*

## CONTENTS

|      |                                                                    |    |
|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 2.1  | Preview .....                                                      | 19 |
| 2.2  | Introduction .....                                                 | 20 |
| 2.3  | Environmental Sustainability .....                                 | 21 |
| 2.4  | PCA Cement Manufacturing Sustainability Program .....              | 24 |
| 2.5  | WBCSD Cement Sustainability Initiative .....                       | 25 |
| 2.6  | Social Sustainability .....                                        | 26 |
| 2.7  | Economic Sustainability .....                                      | 26 |
| 2.8  | The Future of Concrete .....                                       | 27 |
| 2.9  | The Role of Cement and Concrete in Climate Change .....            | 27 |
| 2.10 | Concluding Remarks .....                                           | 29 |
|      | References .....                                                   | 29 |
|      | Appendix: Chemical Admixtures and Sustainability .....             | 30 |
|      | A.1 Role of Chemical Admixtures: Types and Functions .....         | 30 |
|      | A.2 Environmental Impact of Concrete Admixtures .....              | 30 |
|      | A.3 Economic Impact of Chemical Admixtures .....                   | 31 |
|      | A.4 Challenges in Chemical Admixture Technology and Concrete ..... | 33 |
|      | Appendix References .....                                          | 35 |

## 2.1 PREVIEW

Cement manufacturing is a complex and massive industrial process undertaken by large organizations. Portland cement in turn comprises the key ingredient of concrete, which is the most widely manufactured material on earth. In order to maximize sustainability, measures must be taken in all stages—from the manufacture and shipment of cement to the blending and application of concrete to reduce energy use, capture and utilize emissions from cement manufacturing and other processes, and build energy-efficient and long-lasting structures.

Although over the past few decades, much research effort has been invested in the science and technology of concrete, the basic ingredients of concrete have remained more or less the same. The exception, however, is the rediscoveries of supplementary cementitious materials. One ingredient, chemical admixtures, which may not

be an essential component of a concrete system, has gained a rather pivotal and quite an influential profile in concrete making. Although the chemical admixtures are used routinely in concrete, lack of adequate technical know-how about them has prevented their judicious selection and better acceptance by the concrete industry. However, some of the encouragement that comes is from one or all of the following points; the chemical admixtures are those that are

- Knowingly or otherwise considered during the design stage
- Widely specified in most construction specifications
- Readily accepted by ready-mix concrete manufacturers and construction contractors

Built into this body of knowledge is the ignorance about the sustainability benefits of various chemical admixtures routinely used in construction practices. A related discussion is presented in Appendix A, with emphasis on regularly used chemical admixtures.

## 2.2 INTRODUCTION

Cement manufacturing is a large industrial process that requires significant inputs of raw materials and energy. Yet, the primary fate of cement is to serve as a key ingredient in concrete, which can be a highly sustainable building and paving material. Cement plants have historically emitted significant quantities of particulate matter, nitrogen oxides, and other gaseous and solid wastes. Some plants have also produced discharges of process water and storm water. In the past few decades, however, cement manufacturing companies around the world have worked hard to reduce the environmental impact of this process.

While some of the improvements have been driven by legal requirements, many industry programs look beyond simply regulatory compliance or even the broader issue of environmental performance. The new focus is on sustainability, a holistic perspective that encompasses environmental impact, raw material use, community involvement, worker and customer health and safety, energy use, and economic performance.

The global cement manufacturing industry has embraced sustainability and the triple bottom line: economic, social, and environmental. Two major examples of sustainability programs are the Cement Sustainability Initiative, a global effort under the auspices of the World Business Council for Sustainable Development, and the Portland Cement Association's Cement Manufacturing Sustainability Program, which involves facilities in the United States and Canada.

The concrete industry has launched a parallel sustainability program, primarily in the United States through the National Ready Mixed Concrete Association (NRMCA). The focus of this program is on applications of the product, where the greatest gains in energy efficiency and emission reduction can be realized. However, the program also involves reducing the adverse impacts of concrete production and distribution.

Sustainability also examines the impacts of a product throughout its lifetime. Life-cycle analysis assesses not just the environmental impact of manufacturing a

product, but also its use and disposal. Concrete performs well under life-cycle analysis. While the initial manufacturing impact—particularly that associated with the cement component—might be greater than those of competing materials, concrete has a long life span and can enhance the energy efficiency of buildings and pavements over that entire duration. This chapter will examine the principles of sustainability, with emphasis on the applicability to concrete and its ingredients.

## 2.3 ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY

The worldwide cement and concrete industries have adopted sustainability as a guiding principle. This commitment is evident in the industries' significant steps to reduce levels of air emissions, solid waste, and water discharges.

The global cement industry has been a shining case study for the effectiveness of voluntary measures. One example is the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol developed in a cooperative effort by the World Resources Institute and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD), with active participation from the Portland Cement Association (PCA) and cement companies around the world. The protocol provides a consistent means of measuring carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and other GHG emissions from cement plants.

With a globally recognized and utilized measurement tool, the cement industry established voluntary CO<sub>2</sub> reduction goals. For example, PCA member companies committed to reduce their total CO<sub>2</sub> intensity by 10% between 1990 and 2020. Inspired by this pledge, many individual companies have set even more ambitious targets, whether unilaterally or through programs such as the World Wildlife Fund's "Climate Savers" or the US Environmental Protection Agency's "Climate Leaders."

---

### Cement Case Study: India\*

China is now the world's largest cement manufacturer, and India and other growing countries are rapidly increasing their production. Of course, these production increases also represent emission increases. The Indian cement industry is the second largest in the world with the installed capacity of more than 220 million tonnes of cement in 2009. The industry is highly energy efficient; more than 95% of cement production comes from dry process technology. India has some of the world's best performing plants in terms of lowest heat and power consumption. A general profile on the technological status of the industry is shown in Table 2.1.

Driven by the urge to reduce cost in order to remain competitive in the market, the cement industry in India has focused on reducing energy costs from the current levels of 50%–60% of a plant's operating costs. Energy savings, of course, translate to GHG emission reductions.

The industry-wide exercise on profiling CO<sub>2</sub> emission was initiated by the Indian Ministry of Environment and Forests, and its second report was published

---

\* This case study was authored by B. K. Modi of UltraTech Cement Limited, Mumbai, India.

TABLE 2.1  
Technological Status of the Indian Cement Industry<sup>a</sup>

|                                         | Minivertical<br>Shaft Kiln | Minirotary<br>Kiln | Wet<br>Process | Semidry   | Dry          | Grinding<br>Units      |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|------------------------|
| Number of plants                        | 193                        | 17                 | 26             | 4         | 107          | 29                     |
| Total capacity (million tonnes)         | 1.51                       | 3.11               | 5.71           | 1.80      | 146.56       | 20.3                   |
| Percentage of total capacity            | 0.84                       | 1.73               | 3.18           | 1.00      | 81.87        | 11.34                  |
| Average kiln capacity (tonnes per day)  | 30–75                      | 200–800            | 150–900        | 600–1,300 | 2,400–10,000 | 600–2,500 <sup>b</sup> |
| Fuel consumption (kcal/kg of clinker)   | 850–1,000                  | 900–1,000          | 1,200–1,400    | 900–1,000 | 670–775      | —                      |
| Power consumption (kWh/tonne of cement) | 110–125                    | 110–125            | 115–130        | 110–125   | 85–92        | 35–45 <sup>b</sup>     |

Source: India: Greenhouse gas emissions 2007. May 2010, Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India.


<sup>a</sup> As of December 2007.

<sup>b</sup> Grinding capacity.

in 2010. The report accounts for emission through 2007, during which the industry emitted a total of 129.92 million tonnes. That year, 56% of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions were from calcination and 44% from the combustion of fossil fuels.

India's largest cement producers are members of the WBCSD Cement Sustainability Initiative (CSI). They report their CO<sub>2</sub> emissions using CSI's CO<sub>2</sub> protocol. These members comprise roughly 45% of India's cement production. Many of them have pledged CO<sub>2</sub> emission intensity reduction in the short to long term ranging from 0.5% to 1% per annum. The CO<sub>2</sub> emission from some of the best plants in India is of the order of 0.5 tonne/tonne basis of cement. The efforts are mainly focused in the following directions:

- Use of alternate raw materials. The industry consumes around 30 million tonnes of fly ash and 8 million tonnes of slag annually to produce blended cement. In the process, it has cut CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by over 30% during the past decade.



- Technological upgrading. Cutting energy consumption is at the core of the Indian cement industry's sustainability efforts. Energy-efficiency technologies include six-stage preheaters with precalciners, roller presses and closed-circuit grinding mills, waste heat recovery from flue gases, and the use of advanced control systems.
- Alternative fuels. During the last 5 years, the industry has advanced its utilization of waste fuels such as industrial wastes, municipal waste, and biomass as substitutes for fossil fuels. Some of the individual plants now consume more than 10% waste fuels based on total heat value. The industry has been working jointly with regulatory authorities in conducting the trials and formulating the relevant policies.
- Regulatory requirements. Recently, the government of India has tapped the cement and other energy-consuming industries for a

focused energy reduction initiative. A regulatory body, the Bureau of Energy Efficiency, has been established to regulate energy consumption in these industries. The bureau is in the process of implementing a program called “Perform, Achieve and Trade” (PAT), in which each plant will be given a challenging energy consumption target and non-performers will be required to pay penalties or buy energy certificates from performers.

The regulators have also announced a renewable power obligation requiring captive power generators and power distributors to derive a portion of their power from renewable sources. This renewable energy standard will scale up to 15% by 2020. Incidentally, the cement industry in India relies primarily on captive power for 70%–80% of its energy needs. Thus, the industry will be able to cut its emissions further by switching over to renewable power.<sup>1</sup>

---

## 2.4 PCA CEMENT MANUFACTURING SUSTAINABILITY PROGRAM

The Portland Cement Association’s cement manufacturing sustainability (CMS) program has established additional voluntary industry goals as well. The environmental performance measures translate the CMS program principles into action. A long-term reduction target is identified for each key performance measure and then progress toward that target is measured against a baseline. So far these goals address cement kiln dust, environmental management systems, and energy efficiency. The mission of the CMS Program is<sup>2</sup>

To meet the rising demand for Portland cement through environmentally and socially responsible business practices that use state-of-the-art technologies to continuously minimize emissions, promote a safe workplace, improve energy efficiency and conserve natural resources, and cost effectively produce a high-quality product.

The program seeks to accomplish this mission by making sustainability an integral part of the industry’s approach to business. It balances society’s need for cement products with stewardship of the air, land, and water; conservation of energy and natural resources; and maintenance of safe work places and communities. The centerpiece of the program is a voluntary code of conduct—a set of principles, performance measures, and a reporting protocol designed to guide decision making, business practices, and operating performance in a sustainable fashion. These principles have been adopted by the PCA board of directors:

- I. The safety and health of our employees, our neighbors, and our customers is our first consideration in the production and distribution of a quality product.
- II. We will continue to implement effective controls, which reduce or eliminate the release of pollutants to the air, to the land, and/or to the water.

- III. We will actively seek ways to manage wastes in a responsible and environmentally sound manner.
- IV. We will pursue effective improvements in energy efficiency and promote the conservation of resources.
- V. We will seek ways to utilize recyclable wastes beneficially and safely as raw materials, fuels, and product components as part of our overall commitment to waste minimization and recycling.
- VI. We will continue to conduct mining operations in a responsible and environmentally sound manner.
- VII. We will participate with lawmakers, regulators, and other interested parties in the development of rational and effective health, safety, and environmental laws and regulations.<sup>2</sup>

Another important aspect of PCA's sustainability effort is an annual awards program. Each year, this program recognizes manufacturers throughout North America that achieve superior environmental performance. The awards—judged by an independent group of individuals from within other environmental organizations, federal agencies, media, and other industry sectors—address the following categories:

- Environmental performance
- Land stewardship
- Outreach
- Innovation
- Energy efficiency
- Overall environmental excellence

The CMS has encouraged US cement manufacturers to give environmental and societal interests the same weight as economic interests. The health, safety, and welfare of employees and the communities in which they live and work are increasingly recognized as essential components of cost-effective manufacturing, distribution, and use, and they are integral to all business decisions.<sup>3</sup>

## 2.5 WBCSD CEMENT SUSTAINABILITY INITIATIVE

The Cement Sustainability Initiative (CSI) was created by most of the world's major cement manufacturers under the auspices of the World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD). According to the CSI website, the objectives of the program are to

- Explore what sustainable development means for the cement industry
- Identify and facilitate actions that companies can take as a group and individually to accelerate the move toward sustainable development
- Provide a framework through which other cement companies can participate
- Provide a framework for working with external stakeholders

After a 3-year period of data gathering, stakeholder involvement, and analysis, CSI released an “Agenda for Action” in 2002. The plan for the following 5 years includes task forces and projects addressing:

- Climate protection and CO<sub>2</sub> management
- Responsible use of fuel and materials
- Employee health and safety
- Emission monitoring and reporting
- Local impacts on land and communities
- Reporting and communication

A progress report on these activities was released in 2007. The website also includes case studies and the results of a series of stakeholder dialogues in developed and developing countries.<sup>4</sup>

## 2.6 SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY

The US cement industry places a high value on health and safety. PCA’s code of conduct (described earlier) includes a commitment to health and safety. PCA’s occupational health and safety (OHS) program sets high standards for health and safety and recognizes companies with strong safety records, as well as those that install innovative technologies to create a safer workplace.

The first of two PCA awards programs recognizes specific innovations and actions that enhance a facility’s safety culture and infrastructure. The other highlights outstanding safety records in the following categories:

- One year without a lost-time accident
- Five or more years without a lost-time accident
- One million hours without a lost-time accident

In addition, PCA has prepared a series of safety information advisories (SIAs) addressing health and safety topic areas of importance to cement manufacturers. The intent of the SIAs is to provide both corporate- and plant-level health and safety professionals with tools to enhance existing programs.

Cement-industry associations in other parts of the world also stress occupational health and safety. But health and safety concerns extend beyond the industry’s own employees to the community and beyond.

Both NRMCA and PCA have also provided health and safety guidance to users of concrete. PCA has issued a pocket brochure titled “Working Safely with Concrete” to ensure that concrete workers use proper personal protective equipment and take other steps to safeguard their well-being.

## 2.7 ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY

Many of the measures taken to achieve environmental and social sustainability directly benefit the financial bottom line and therefore contribute to economic sustainability.

Air emissions, solid waste, and water discharges are the results of inefficiencies in an industrial system. Greater energy efficiency, for example, improves both profits and the environment. Minimizing the wasting of cement kiln dust is another example.

A third example is the use of alternative fuels and raw materials. Using by-products of other industries saves the cost and environmental impact of mining or otherwise obtaining virgin raw materials. In many cases, cement plants are paid to utilize these materials. The most commonly used alternative raw materials include blast furnace and iron slag, fly ash, bottom ash, copper slag, foundry sand, mill scale, sandblast grit, synthetic gypsum, and waste glass. Commonly used alternative fuels include scrap tires, non-recyclable plastics, waste oil, solvents, and other used and waste materials.

## 2.8 THE FUTURE OF CONCRETE

Cement manufacturers around the world have taken great strides toward achieving well-balanced sustainability. While many industrialized countries strive to improve their environmental and social performance, there are other countries in which this is still not the case. India is an example of a country still grappling with significant development challenges, yet one in which the cement industry is highly efficient and marked by many sustainable characteristics. Other developing countries should learn the lessons of the industrialized world and look to India as a model.

Even in the United States, Europe, and other countries with decades of government involvement in environmental improvement, the old command-and-control systems still dominate many facets of regulation. Further shifts in policy are necessary to support the holistic approach to controls that characterize an enlightened sustainability approach.

In addition, more research could further improve the sustainability of the cement industry. These efforts should include research into how to improve the manufacturing process and how to develop buildings and pavements that enhance user energy efficiency.

The world also needs to learn more about the effective use of cement and concrete to make stronger, more durable, more energy-efficient structures. While such education can come from research into new techniques, simply spreading readily available knowledge could create great energy savings and therefore emission reductions. Greater and smarter use of concrete can offset the impact of cement manufacturing and help create a cleaner, safer, healthier planet—the ultimate objective of sustainability.

## 2.9 THE ROLE OF CEMENT AND CONCRETE IN CLIMATE CHANGE

Perhaps the most significant environmental issue facing the world today is the buildup of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere and the associated threat of global climate change. The cement and concrete industries have key roles in this issue, but on opposite sides of the coin. Cement manufacturing is a significant source of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, the primary GHG of concern. Yet concrete can reduce energy use—and thus associated GHG emissions—over its lifetime and can even absorb carbon dioxide directly into the product.

Cement manufacturing accounts for roughly 5% of global anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions. The making of cement emits  $\text{CO}_2$  in two ways. Calcination emissions are the result of the conversion of calcium carbonate ( $\text{CaCO}_3$ ) to calcium oxide ( $\text{CaO}$ ), which is the essential ingredient of cement. A simple mass balance reveals that this transformation liberates a molecule of  $\text{CO}_2$ . The calcination process requires extreme heat, up to 2700°F, requiring combustion. Most cement plants use coal to fire their kilns. As a general rule, cement manufacturing produces 1 ton of  $\text{CO}_2$  for each ton of product. These emissions are generally split fairly evenly between calcination and combustion emissions, although more efficient plants have a lower proportion of combustion emissions.

The cement industry is striving to reduce its  $\text{CO}_2$  emissions in several ways. Combustion emissions can be reduced by maximizing the efficiency of the manufacturing process. Modern kilns introduce a dry raw material mix to precalciners that utilizes waste heat to shorten the kiln time and dramatically reduce combustion needs. This is in contrast to the traditional process, in which water added to the raw materials to assist with blending had to be evaporated in the kiln. Cement plants can also reduce electricity use, a significant source of indirect greenhouse gas emissions resulting from fossil fuel combustion at the power plant providing the electricity.

Reducing calcination emissions is more vexing since they are inherent in the creation of  $\text{CaO}$ . One means of doing so is to make cement with a lower proportion of clinker, the intermediary product that results from the calcination process in the kiln. Product standards allow some diminution of clinker content in Portland cement, but there are limits to this solution.

Separation of the carbon dioxide from the kiln's waste stream is another possibility, though it is currently limited by the availability and cost of separation technologies. Gas separation technologies—such as the use of amine solutions—are expensive and energy intensive, potentially countering some or all of their benefits. These technologies also require a solution as to how to dispose of the resulting  $\text{CO}_2$  gas without risking its ultimate release into the atmosphere.

New technologies that would permanently sequester  $\text{CO}_2$ , along with other pollutants, into a mineral form hold potentially greater promise. In this case, the resulting mineral product could potentially serve as an aggregate or cementitious material in concrete. If proven, these carbonate mineral sequestration technologies could enable cement manufacturers to capture their emissions and produce carbon-neutral cement and aggregate products to introduce into their distribution streams.

Concrete represents the other side of the climate change coin. As described earlier, concrete can create highly energy-efficient buildings and pavements. This can dramatically reduce overall greenhouse gas emissions as the users of these structures expend less energy on fuel and electricity over the course of their long lifetimes. In addition, carbonation can result in reabsorption of as much as half of the calcination emissions associated with the cement portion of concrete.

Cement is typically 10%–12% of concrete, with the bulk made up of sand and gravel (fine and coarse aggregates) and water. This reduces the carbon footprint by an order of magnitude compared to the cement itself. Obviously, any reductions to cement's carbon footprint also reduce that of concrete. The concrete industry also has the option of using a lower cement proportion, replacing it with supplementary

cementitious materials such as fly ash and steel slag. The development of synthetic materials—either cementitious or aggregate—from carbonate mineral sequestration would hold great promise for concrete. If these materials were derived from sequestering emissions at a cement plant, they could result in carbon-neutral concrete, and if they were derived at a power plant or other CO<sub>2</sub> emission source, they could result in carbon-negative concrete.

## 2.10 CONCLUDING REMARKS

With currently available technology, the application of concrete over its lifetime most enables the manufacturing emissions of the cement component to be negated. Because of concrete's greater thermal mass, concrete buildings provide natural insulation that can save energy associated with heating and cooling. Depending on location, concrete buildings use an estimated 44% less energy to heat and 32% less energy to cool than structures built with other materials. Concrete structures are also longer lasting and more durable and storm resistant, thus saving the energy associated with rebuilding.

Concrete pavements have lower rolling resistance, resulting in better gas mileage for vehicles. Several studies have indicated that trucks operating on concrete highways use between 0.8% and 6.9% less fuel, with higher differentials in warmer weather. These same principles would apply to automobile fuel efficiency, but at lower rates due to the automobile's lighter weight. Since vehicle emissions represent roughly one-third of anthropogenic GHG emissions in the United States, a reduction of several percentage points would have significant impact on atmospheric concentrations.

Concrete as a pavement application uses far less energy for placement than other pavement materials do. Moreover, due to concrete's long life span, the energy used for repairs, maintenance, and replacement is drastically reduced, as well as the associated emissions.

Perhaps the most significant emission savings associated with concrete applications, however, is a function of its enhanced reflectivity in the context of urban heat islands. Since concrete is naturally lighter colored than other paving materials, it reflects light and reduces heat retention.

Studies conducted by the EPA Cool Communities program have found that replacing dark with light-paved surfaces can reduce urban summer temperatures by around 7°, dramatically reducing energy needs for every home and office building in the world's cities. These same properties can also help cities save on the cost of keeping street lights lit at night.

## REFERENCES

1. Portland Cement Association. 2004. *Plans for future generations*. Cement Manufacturing Sustainability Program; [http://www.cement.org/concretethinking/pdf\\_files/SP401.PDF](http://www.cement.org/concretethinking/pdf_files/SP401.PDF)
2. Portland Cement Association. 2006. *Report on sustainable manufacturing*. Cement Manufacturing Sustainability Program; <http://www.cement.org/smreport06/>
3. World Business Council for Sustainable Development. Cement sustainability initiative; <http://www.wbcsdcement.org/>

4. Carter, T. B. 2007. Sustainability and cement: Making it cleanly and using it greenly. Paper 228, Air and Waste Management Association, June 2007.
5. Ministry of Environment & Forests (MOEF), Government of India; [http://www.moef.nic.in/downloads/public-information/Report\\_INCCA.pdf](http://www.moef.nic.in/downloads/public-information/Report_INCCA.pdf)

## APPENDIX: CHEMICAL ADMIXTURES AND SUSTAINABILITY\*

### A.1 ROLE OF CHEMICAL ADMIXTURES: TYPES AND FUNCTIONS

Unlike supplementary cementitious materials, chemical admixtures are nonpozzolanic, mostly organic, and physiochemical in their actions and are normally supplied as water-based solutions and suspensions, in powder form, and as dispersions and emulsions. These are mostly and conventionally added in amounts less than 5% by weight, but have a profound impact on the performance of fresh and hardened properties of concrete. In the past, chemical admixtures were made from industrial by-products; the contemporary trend is shifting toward making chemical admixtures from synthetic polymers especially produced for the concrete industry.<sup>1</sup>

There are many types of chemical admixtures readily available in the market. With a rapidly evolving chemical admixture technology, it is difficult to offer a comprehensive classification system to cover all. Dodson's classification<sup>2</sup> of chemical admixtures based on the physiochemical mechanism that affects the fresh and hardened properties of concrete is quite simple and complete. He classifies the chemical admixtures into four categories:

- Admixtures that disperse the cement particles in aqueous phase
- Admixtures that alter the normal rate of hydration of cement phases, especially tricalcium silicate ( $C_3S$ )
- Admixtures that interact with the by-products of hydrating cement
- Admixtures that react neither with cement nor its hydrating by-products

Physically, the usage of admixtures alters the water demand, rheology of fresh concrete (stability, compactability, and mobility), quality and quantity of air, rate of cement hydration and resulting pore structure, and hence the consequent and associated properties. A detailed discussion is considered to be beyond the scope of the current discussion.


### A.2 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF CONCRETE ADMIXTURES

Figure A.1 shows the ecoprofile for superplasticizers in a concrete life cycle. This ecoprofile includes processes shown within the dotted line. Various aspects involved during procurement of raw materials, their processing, and production of final chemical admixtures are shown synoptically in this figure.

The environmental impact of chemical admixtures can be categorized based on the consumption of natural raw materials, consumption of energy, emissions, toxicity

---

\* This discussion is contributed by Dr. Chetan Hazaree, who is also the author of Chapter 6 of this book. His contribution is gratefully acknowledged.



**FIGURE A.1** Ecoprofile of superplasticizers in concrete life cycle. (From European Federation of Concrete Admixture Associations (EFCA). 2006. [www.admixtures.org.uk](http://www.admixtures.org.uk).)

potential, risk potential, and land use. Table A.1 shows the ecoprofile details of superplasticizers, giving an account of the raw materials/ingredients and their carbon footprints.

The impact of use of chemical admixtures on waste generation can be considered in many ways. Figure A.2 shows one such analysis. It can be seen that the effect of the admixture is always small, but beneficial in reducing the total value of most impact categories, for which the contribution from the admixture is less than 1%. The typical and largest exception is the chemical waste from superplasticizer production of about 8%. However, as previously noted, the actual value of this waste is very small because the whole concrete production process produces little chemical waste.<sup>3</sup>


**A.3 ECONOMIC IMPACT OF CHEMICAL ADMIXTURES**

Although individual benefits of each of the admixtures can be listed in terms of their materials’ cost saving, process cost saving, and long-term durability, the key property to appreciate is the low carbon footprint that admixtures offer to concrete. The quantity of admixture added is much less than the benefits that it offers in terms of enhancing the sustainability of concrete.

Admixtures are mainly organic chemicals and therefore have inherently highly embedded carbon dioxide (ECO<sub>2</sub>) content; the amount depends on admixture type (typically, 80 kg/tonne for retarders, 220 kg/tonne for plasticizers, and 760 kg/tonne for superplasticizers). However, the quantity of admixture added to concrete is small—rarely more than 0.3% on concrete weight and more typically less than half this quantity. Thus, according to the ISO 14000 series of standards and Building

**TABLE A.1**  
**Ecoprofile for 1 kg Superplasticizers**  
**with 30%–45% Solids**

| <b>Raw Materials: Input</b> | <b>Unit</b> | <b>Value</b> |
|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Coal, brown                 | Gram        | 82           |
| Coal, hard                  | Gram        | 51           |
| Crude oil                   | Gram        | 160          |
| Natural gas                 | Cubic meter | 0.22         |
| <b>Emissions to Air</b>     |             |              |
| CO <sub>2</sub>             | Kilogram    | 0.72         |
| CO                          | Gram        | 0.55         |
| NO <sub>x</sub>             | Gram        | 1.8          |
| SO <sub>x</sub>             | Gram        | 3.6          |
| N <sub>2</sub> O            | Gram        | 0.067        |
| Methane                     | Gram        | 1.2          |
| Butane                      | Milligram   | 11           |
| Pentane                     | Milligram   | 14           |
| Methanol                    | Milligram   | 60           |
| Ethene                      | Milligram   | 8.9          |
| Benzene                     | Milligram   | 7.4          |
| Nonmethane VOC              | Gram        | 0.29         |
| PAH                         | Microgram   | 39           |
| Acetic acid                 | Milligram   | 63           |
| Ammonia                     | Gram        | 2.1          |
| Arsenic (As)                | Microgram   | 58           |
| Chromium VI (Cr)            | Microgram   | 16           |
| Mercury (Hg)                | Microgram   | 94           |
| Nickel (Ni)                 | Milligram   | 0.46         |
| Vanadium (V)                | Milligram   | 1.2          |
| Dioxins                     | Nanogram    | 43           |
| CFC-10                      | Microgram   | 2.0          |
| CFC-114                     | Microgram   | 1.8          |
| Halon-1211                  | Microgram   | 4.1          |
| Halon-1301                  | Microgram   | 5.0          |
| <b>Emissions to Water</b>   |             |              |
| Chemical oxygen demand      | Gram        | 2.6          |
| PAHs                        | Microgram   | 67           |
| Oils, unspecified           | Gram        | 0.63         |
| Barite                      | Milligram   | 51           |
| Nickel (Ni)                 | Milligram   | 3.9          |
| <b>Emissions to Soil</b>    |             |              |
| Chromium VI (Cr)            | Milligram   | 0.22         |
| Oils, unspecified           | Gram        | 0.66         |



**FIGURE A.2** Relative impact of using chemical admixtures on the environmental impact. Figures are compared to control concrete, which was taken as 100%. R: percentage contribution to each of the impact categories. (From Dransfield, J. 2006. Cement Admixtures Association [online]. [www.admixtures.org.uk](http://www.admixtures.org.uk).)

Research Establishment (BRE) guidance, the contribution to embedded carbon from admixtures at less than 1% is too small to be significant and can be ignored when calculating the  $ECO_2$  of the concrete. Against this, the environmental benefits from admixture use can be significant because they allow other high-carbon components of concrete to be reduced without affecting the concrete properties. Based on published  $ECO_2$  figures for other concrete constituents, it is estimated that current admixture use already saves about 600,000 tonnes of  $ECO_2$  per annum and this could be significantly increased by further mix optimization.<sup>4</sup>

**A.4 CHALLENGES IN CHEMICAL ADMIXTURE TECHNOLOGY AND CONCRETE**

The growing challenges to concrete and concreting practice translate to concrete science and chemical admixture technology as well. A few are listed here:

- Understanding of mechanisms through which chemical admixtures interact with binder systems, including the rate of their consumption and what happens to the residual admixture
- Compatibility of chemical admixtures with different cements and binder systems
- Mutual compatibility of two or more chemical admixtures when used in a concrete mixture
- Pushing the lower limit of water/binder ratio for producing workable concrete
- Tailoring chemical admixtures to act synergistically with the concrete placing techniques
- Reducing human involvement in concrete making and concreting

As stated before, concrete is used in a wide range of applications, from housing to various infrastructures. The challenges for concrete as a material and in concreting methodology are ever expanding. The admixtures for regular concrete pavement construction are routinely used retarders and air-entraining agents. On the other hand, for self-consolidating concrete, the routinely used admixtures are high-range water reducers, powerful workability retaining agents, rheology modifiers, and air-entraining agents. Each of these concrete systems poses different types of challenges that cannot be regularly met with already existing families of products. In large infrastructure construction projects, it is customary to tailor admixtures according to the project requirements.

Housing needs are increasing in developing countries like India. Multistory buildings are increasing in megacities. These demand high-strength and high-performance concrete that often requires detailed infestation of concrete's performance characteristics to fulfill the material constants taken into account during the design stage. Pumping of concretes to increasing heights presents challenges to concrete mixture proportioning—not just for retaining the required workability but also for volumetric stability during setting and hardening.

Shrinking project times have forced engineers to think the “lean” route. This means not only the concrete cost but also the cycle time for producing or fabricating a product or structure has to be reduced. Another example is that of reducing the prestressing time of concrete for bridge girders from 7 to 3 days, implying that the strength is to be achieved in 3 days instead of 7 days.

Depletion of natural resources for concrete making is posing another challenge. The admixture chemistry suitable for making new concrete from virgin materials might not be suitable for concretes incorporating recycled concrete aggregates. Achieving the right properties for fresh and hardened concrete while ensuring adequate durability is another challenge.

In addition, these changes in the cementitious materials are also leading to complications in terms of admixture usage. The use of fly ash, slag, and silica fume is widely accepted in practice. Moreover, the trend is also increasing in terms of using ternary and quaternary blends of binders for enhancing the overall performance of concrete. Research is also under way for finding alternatives to ordinary Portland cement.

In summary, the chemical admixtures have become an essential component of modern concrete due to their ability to satisfy enhanced material requirements and

for better concrete and cementing. The use of combinations of supplementary cementitious materials in conjunction with the ordinary Portland cement is posing challenges in terms of tailoring the chemistries to alter the concrete behavior adequately. The search for higher strength and higher performance is driving the development of newer combinations of materials. All these factors combined together are leading to the development of newer and more powerful admixtures.

## APPENDIX REFERENCES

1. Aitcin, P. C. 2008. Binders for durable and sustainable concrete. London: Taylor & Francis, 500 pp.
2. Dodson, V. H. 1990. Concrete admixtures. VNR structural engineering series. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 211 pp.
3. Dransfield, J. 2006. Cement Admixtures Association [online]. [www.admixtures.org.uk](http://www.admixtures.org.uk)
4. Dransfield, J. 2006. Environmental impact of admixture use [online]. Cement Admixtures Association. [www.admixtures.org.uk](http://www.admixtures.org.uk)
5. EFCA (European Federation of Concrete Admixture Associations). 2006. [www.admixtures.org.uk](http://www.admixtures.org.uk)



---

# 3 The Principles of Sustainable Building Design

*N. Subramanian*

## CONTENTS

|         |                                            |    |
|---------|--------------------------------------------|----|
| 3.1     | Introduction .....                         | 38 |
| 3.1.1   | Definition of Sustainability.....          | 39 |
| 3.2     | Environmental Threats .....                | 39 |
| 3.2.1   | Population Growth.....                     | 39 |
| 3.2.1.1 | Some Interesting Statistics.....           | 41 |
| 3.2.2   | Urbanization .....                         | 41 |
| 3.2.3   | Energy Use and Global Warming.....         | 42 |
| 3.2.4   | Water Shortage and Scarcity .....          | 47 |
| 3.2.5   | Waste Management.....                      | 50 |
| 3.3     | Carbon Dioxide Reduction .....             | 53 |
| 3.3.1   | “Green” Cements .....                      | 58 |
| 3.3.2   | Geopolymer Concrete.....                   | 59 |
| 3.3.3   | Photocatalytic Concrete.....               | 59 |
| 3.4     | Sustainable Development.....               | 60 |
| 3.5     | Green Building Rating Systems .....        | 62 |
| 3.5.1   | LEED-NC .....                              | 63 |
| 3.5.2   | LEED-ND.....                               | 66 |
| 3.5.3   | GBI Green Globes™ .....                    | 67 |
| 3.5.4   | Energy Star® .....                         | 68 |
| 3.5.5   | GBTool.....                                | 69 |
| 3.6     | Building Codes and Green Development ..... | 70 |
| 3.6.1   | Energy Codes.....                          | 71 |
| 3.6.2   | Energy Codes and Concrete .....            | 71 |
| 3.6.3   | Other Sustainability Standards.....        | 72 |
| 3.6.3.1 | ASTM .....                                 | 72 |
| 3.6.3.2 | ACI.....                                   | 72 |
| 3.6.3.3 | ISO .....                                  | 73 |
| 3.6.4   | Green Building Rating Systems .....        | 74 |
| 3.6.5   | The Future .....                           | 74 |
| 3.7     | Brownfield Redevelopment.....              | 75 |
| 3.8     | Green Highways.....                        | 77 |

|       |                                                               |    |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 3.9   | Case Studies.....                                             | 80 |
| 3.9.1 | Symphony Tower, Atlanta.....                                  | 80 |
| 3.9.2 | Sohrabji Godrej Green Business Center, Hyderabad, India ..... | 81 |
| 3.9.3 | The Pearl River Tower, China .....                            | 82 |
| 3.10  | Summary .....                                                 | 84 |
|       | References.....                                               | 84 |
|       | Additional Reading .....                                      | 87 |

### 3.1 INTRODUCTION

Our planet earth is in peril due to severe climatic changes. A growing population coupled with urbanization has resulted in unprecedented problems for our cities. Unless we take urgent measures, these problems will result in catastrophic consequences. The increase in population with ever-increasing demands for energy has resulted in energy crises all over the world. The current use of fossil fuels, which may be depleted in another 40–50 years, has resulted in the release of huge amounts of greenhouse gases, especially carbon dioxide, which is harmful to the environment. The unmindful use of resources has also resulted in huge amounts of waste products and we have developed only a few reliable and safe methods to dispose of or recycle them. The landfills in many countries are overflowing, resulting in many pollution problems.

Water, which is considered plentiful, is also becoming scarce due to climatic changes, and many regions in the world are fighting for their share of water resources. This is compounded by the unmindful paving of roads, platforms, and areas around buildings by impermeable pavements, resulting in runoff and flooding of precious rainwater. Though strict rainwater harvesting measures have been implemented by a few governments, these measures have some drawbacks. Pervious concrete pavements offer an attractive solution to water runoff and associated water pollution problems.

The built environment contributes significantly to global raw materials use, energy use, solid-waste generation, and greenhouse gas emissions. Hence, to promote design and construction practices, which reduce the negative environmental impacts of buildings and improve occupant health and well-being, the US Green Building Council (a nonprofit coalition of building industry leaders based in Washington, DC) developed the leadership in energy and environmental design (LEED) green building rating system. In the United States and in a number of other countries around the world, LEED certification is the recognized standard for measuring building sustainability.

In addition, every major city within the United States is burdened by abandoned manufacturing facilities and industrial sites, known as *brownfields*. These brownfields threaten the environment around them, and the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has developed programs to promote restoration and reuse of such contaminated lands. Recently the EPA, along with the Federal Highway Administration and an extensive network of environmental, industrial, and governmental collaborators, developed the Green Highway Partnership (GHP). The aim of the GHP is to ensure that sustainability becomes the driving force behind infrastructure development. A green highway integrates transportation functionality and ecological sustainability.

This chapter discusses the features of sustainable development and also provides some case studies of projects that have been executed to maintain sustainability of our shrinking resources.

### 3.1.1 DEFINITION OF SUSTAINABILITY

Though several definitions for sustainability are available, that suggested by then Prime Minister of Norway, Gro Bruntland, in 1987—*meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their needs*—is considered simple and effective.<sup>1</sup> Sustainable development or, simply, sustainability is thus a realization that today's population is merely borrowing resources and environmental conditions from future generations.

## 3.2 ENVIRONMENTAL THREATS

The greatest threats to the sustainable development on earth are population growth and urbanization; energy use and global warming; excessive waste generation and the subsequent pollution of soil, air, and water; transportation in cities; and a limited supply of resources. Let us now briefly discuss these threats. Many of them are interrelated.

### 3.2.1 POPULATION GROWTH

The world population in 2008 was estimated at 6.75 billion with an annual growth rate of about 1.2%. To put the recent growth in perspective, the world population in the year 1900 was only 1.6 billion and in 1960 it was 3.0 billion. According to the UN, the world population in 2050 will be between 7.9 billion and 10.3 billion (see Figure 3.1).

Currently, 80 million people are being added every year in less developed countries, compared with about 1.6 million in more developed countries (see Figure 3.2). Thus, populations are growing more rapidly in places that cannot afford such growth.

*Ecological footprint* analysis is widely used around the globe as an indicator of environmental sustainability.<sup>2</sup> Ecological footprint analysis compares human demand on nature with the biosphere's ability to regenerate resources and provide services. This approach can also be applied to an activity such as the manufacturing of a product or driving of a car. This resource accounting is similar to life cycle analysis, wherein the consumption of energy, biomass (food, fiber), building material, water, and other resources is converted into a normalized measure of land area called "global hectares" (gha).<sup>\*</sup> A hectare is a unit of area equal to 10,000 m<sup>2</sup> (107,639 ft<sup>2</sup>).

---

<sup>\*</sup> The *global hectare* is a measurement of biocapacity of the entire Earth; one global hectare is a measurement of the average biocapacity of all hectare measurements of any biologically productive areas on the planet. It is the sum of the world's biocapacity, divided by the number of hectares on the Earth's surface. The term "global hectare per person" refers to the amount of biologically productive land and water available per person on the planet.




FIGURE 3.1 Population trend from 1750 to 2050. (From [www.sustainablescale.org](http://www.sustainablescale.org).)




FIGURE 3.2 Distribution of world population. (From [www.prb.org](http://www.prb.org).)

### 3.2.1.1 Some Interesting Statistics

The amount of bioproductive land and sea available to supply human needs is limited. It is estimated that only one-eighth of the earth's surface is suitable for humans to live on; three-quarters is covered by oceans and half of the land area is desert (14%), high mountains (27%), or other less suitable terrain.

Currently, the approximately 13.6 billion ha of productive earth, divided by the 6.47 billion people who depend on it for their well-being, results in an average of approximately 2.1 ha per person. Collectively we are currently using approximately 2.7 gha per person—over 22% more than is produced annually; this means that the population has already exceeded the sustainable limit. The ecological footprint for the United States is 9.4 gha per person against its biocapacity of 5 gha (<http://www.footprintnetwork.org>).


According to US Census Bureau estimates, the population in the United States was about 305.7 million in 2009. The country has a total fertility rate (TFR) of 2.1, high for an industrialized country. The US population is expected to be 438 million by 2050. Taiwan has the world's lowest TRF of 1.1 children per woman, while Japan and South Korea have TFRs of 1.3 ([www.prb.org](http://www.prb.org)). CNN.com reports that at least one employer in Japan, Canon, is letting its employees leave work early two times a week to “go home and multiply.” The population density in Tokyo is 5,751 persons per square kilometer, compared to the national average of 343 per square kilometer.

## 3.2.2 URBANIZATION

According to the UN World Urbanization Prospects Report (2007), the twentieth century is witnessing “the rapid urbanization of the world's population.” The global proportion of urban population rose dramatically from 29% (736 million) in 1950 to 48.6% (3.16 billion) in 2005.<sup>3</sup> The same report projects that about 60% (4.96 billion) of the global population is expected to live in cities by 2030 (see Figure 3.3). The percentage of urban population of India increased from 17.0 in 1950 to 28.7 in 2005 and that of the United States from 64.2 to 80.8. In 1950, there were only two megacities with 10 million or more inhabitants. The number of megacities increased to 5 in 1975 and 20 in 2005 and is expected to increase to 22 in 2015. Developing countries will have 17 of these 22 megacities in 2015. It is projected that Asia and Africa will have more urban dwellers than any other continent of the world, and Asia will contain 54% of the world's urban population by 2030.

Population growth coupled with urbanization results in significant impacts on the environment and other problems, which include the following:<sup>4</sup>

- Increased ambient temperature
- Decreased air quality
- Increased water runoff
- Decreased quality of runoff water
- Altered weather patterns
- Loss of aesthetic beauty/character of the community
- Reduction in farm lands and subsequent food shortage



**FIGURE 3.3** Urban and rural population growth of the world, 1950–2050. (From United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division 2007.)


- Deforestation (occurring at a rapid rate, with 0.8 ha of rain forest disappearing every second, and linked to negative environmental consequences such as biodiversity loss, global warming, soil erosion, and desertification)

Urbanization also results in the migration of rural populations to towns, resulting in increased development of slums, increased pollution and waste, and the need to develop infrastructure for housing the masses, educational facilities, roads and highways, health care, civil supplies, etc. Congestion of living space, inadequate lung space, and traffic result in increases in diseases.


In addition, population growth and urbanization pose significant challenges for water resources management throughout the world. Urban populations consume much more food, energy, and durable goods than rural populations do. The urbanization of the world’s populations will increase aggregate energy use. Urban areas not only generate more rain, but also reduce the infiltration of water and lower the water tables. This means that runoff occurs more quickly with greater peak flows. Flood volumes increase, as do floods and water pollution downstream.

**3.2.3 ENERGY USE AND GLOBAL WARMING<sup>4–7</sup>**

According to the US Department of Energy, in 2005, the average total worldwide power consumption of the human race was 16 TW (= 16 × 10<sup>12</sup> W) with 86.5% from burning fossil fuels (oil, coal, and natural gas). Figure 3.4 shows that there is a broad relation between wealth and energy consumption. Figure 3.5 shows the contribution of various sources to this worldwide power consumption.<sup>8</sup> The energy consumption in India rose threefold, from 4.16 to 12.8 quadrillion Btu between 1980 and 2001, putting India behind only the United States, Germany, Japan, and China in total energy consumption. According to the International Energy Outlook projections for



**FIGURE 3.4** Correlation between per capita energy consumption, per capita GNP and population. (From <http://www.sbp.de/de/html/projects/solar/aufwind/index.htm>. With permission.)



**FIGURE 3.5** Worldwide energy supply in terrawatts. (From Energy Information Administration. Official Energy Statistics from the US government, [www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/consumption/](http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/consumption/).)


2030 of the US Department of Energy, China and India account for nearly one-half of the total increase in residential energy use in non-Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) countries. However, the per capita consumption of power in India during 2005–2006 as calculated by the Central Electricity Authority was about 631 kWh only, as compared with the per capita consumption of 13,338 kWh in the United States.

Though the greenhouse effect occurs naturally (providing a habitable climate), atmospheric concentrations of some of the gases that produce the greenhouse effect are increasing due to human activity causing global warming. Over one-third of human-induced greenhouse gases come from the burning of fossil fuel to generate electricity. All fossil fuels are made up of hydrocarbons and release carbon dioxide when burned.

The principal greenhouse gases that enter the atmosphere because of human activities are the following:

**Carbon dioxide ( $\text{CO}_2$ ).** Carbon dioxide enters the atmosphere through the burning of fossil fuels (oil, natural gas, and coal), solid waste, and trees and wood products, as well as a result of other chemical reactions (e.g., manufacture of cement). About half the  $\text{CO}_2$  that enters the atmosphere is removed by nature by dissolving it in seawater at the surface of seas (called carbon sink). This makes the sea water acidic. Carbon dioxide is also removed from the atmosphere (or “sequestered”) when it is absorbed by plants as part of the biological carbon cycle. When Charles Keeling of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) started measuring  $\text{CO}_2$  in the atmosphere in 1959, the level was 316 ppm. Now that level has reached an alarming level of 385 ppm, as shown in the Keeling curve of Figure 3.6.

**Methane ( $\text{CH}_4$ ).** Methane is emitted during the production and transport of coal, natural gas, and oil. Methane emissions also result from livestock and other agricultural practices and by the decay of organic waste in municipal solid-waste landfills.




**FIGURE 3.6** Atmospheric carbon dioxide, measured at Mauna Loa, Hawaii. (From NOAA.)

Nitrous oxide ( $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ). Nitrous oxide is emitted during agricultural and industrial activities, as well as during combustion of fossil fuels and solid waste. Fluorinated gases. Hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, and sulfur hexafluoride are synthetic, powerful greenhouse gases that are emitted from a variety of industrial processes. These gases are typically emitted in smaller quantities, but because they are potent greenhouse gases, they are sometimes referred to as high global warming potential gases (high GWP gases).

It is interesting to note that ACC Limited (India’s foremost manufacturer of cement and ready-mix concrete, with 14 cement factories) has initiated a project to sequester  $\text{CO}_2$  generated by their kilns to produce high-energy, oil-bearing algal biomass, which can then be reused as fuel in cement kilns. Such projects call for a multidisciplinary approach and involve microbiologists, algae experts, biotechnologists, engineers, and other professionals.

In addition to the gases mentioned, volatile organic compounds, radon, asbestos, carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide ( $\text{NO}_2$ ), sulfur dioxide ( $\text{SO}_2$ ), and combustion particulates may affect indoor air quality. These are introduced into the indoor environment by painting, glues, solvents, wood preservatives, installation of carpets, or cleaning products. It has to be noted that asbestos products are not yet banned in India. Sector-wise global greenhouse gas emissions are shown in Figure 3.7. It is seen that the two large contributions are due to burning coal to produce electricity and burning petroleum products to run vehicles.

Though nuclear power plants do not emit greenhouse gases, no solution has yet been found to dispose of plutonium and other wastes from nuclear power plants, which are highly radioactive. Note that plutonium takes approximately 25,000 years to decay to half of its original potency. (For example, in the past 50 years, the United States has accumulated about 30,000 metric tons of spent fuel rods from power reactors and another 380,000  $\text{m}^3$  of high-level radioactive waste, a by-product of



**FIGURE 3.7** Global greenhouse gas emissions in 2004 from different sectors. (From Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Figure SPM.3 (c). IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland. With permission.)

producing plutonium for nuclear weapons. None of these materials has found anything more than interim accommodation.)

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) of the United Nations predicts that, based on a range of scenarios, by the end of the twenty-first century, climate change (due to the emission of greenhouse gases) will result in

- A probable temperature rise between 1.8°C and 4°C, with a possible temperature rise between 1.1°C and 6.4°C
- A sea level rise most likely to be 28–43 cm
- Arctic summer sea ice disappearing in the second half of the century
- An increase in heat waves being very likely
- A likely increase in tropical storm intensity

It is interesting to note that the IPCC and former U.S. Vice President Al Gore were awarded the Nobel Peace Prize for the year 2007 for their efforts to build up and disseminate greater knowledge about man-made climate changes and to lay the foundations for the measures that are needed to counteract such change. It is reported that the global cost of climate-related disasters has doubled every decade, from \$50 billion in the 1960s (16 disasters) to \$400 billion in the 1990s (70 disasters).

According to new NASA satellite data, the Arctic Ocean could be nearly free of ice at the end of summer 2012—much faster than previous predictions had indicated. Faster melting there means eventual sea level rise and more immediate changes in winter weather because of less sea ice. White sea ice reflects about 80% of the sun's heat off earth. When there is no sea ice, about 90% of the heat goes into the ocean, which then warms everything else. Warmer oceans then lead to more melting.

The objective of the Kyoto Protocol, which was implemented in February 2005, is to reduce the emissions of carbon dioxide and five other greenhouse gases (5% below their 1990 level) or to engage in emissions trading if emissions of these gases are maintained or increased. As of November 2007, 174 countries had ratified the protocol, with the exception of the United States and Kazakhstan. There was a lack of binding commitment or an extension of the Kyoto commitment period in climate talks at the Conference of Parties (COP 15) in Copenhagen, Denmark, in 2009. Further rounds of negotiations (COP 16) were discussed at the United Nations Climatic Change Conference at Cancun, Mexico, in December 2010, but no major progress was made. COP 17 was scheduled in South Africa in late 2011 and COP 18 in either Qatar or South Korea in 2012. Any treaty change will require the ratification of the text by various countries' legislatures before the end of the commitment period (i.e., December 31, 2012). Hence, agreements in South Africa or South Korea/Qatar will be too late to prevent a gap between the commitment periods.

Though there may be some difference of opinion about the development of global warming, all agree that there is a depletion of resources, such as metals, fossil fuels, and nonrenewable energy sources. Hence, it is important to give serious consideration to replacing these resources in construction, in order to use existing reserves over a long period.

Cement production is one of the most energy-intensive industrial processes in the world. In many countries of the world, energy cost is about 50%–60% of the direct

production cost of cement. Energy is required for the thermal heating of the kiln, calcination, and drying processes as well as for operation of motors for grinding mills, fans, conveyers, and other motor-driven process equipment. In dry process cement plants, nearly 40% of total heat input is rejected as waste heat from exit gases of preheaters and grate coolers.

The Kalina cycle<sup>®</sup> (invented by the Russian engineer Alexander I. Kalina in the mid-1980s as an alternative to the conventional Rankine cycle) utilizes the waste heat from the cement production process to generate electrical energy with no additional fuel consumption and reduces the cost of electric energy for cement production. The thermal efficiency improvement of the Kalina cycle is 20%–40% in comparison with conventional waste heat power plants that utilize the hot gases available in a cement plant. A Kalina cycle power plant offers the best environmentally friendly alternative for power generation from low-grade waste heat. It maximizes kilowatt hours generated using a closed loop system to recover heat for electricity production without hazard to the environment.<sup>9</sup>

The Kalina cycle uses a mixture of ammonia and water as its working fluid—a common solution used extensively worldwide for refrigeration plants. In the event of an accidental release, ammonia is considered a biodegradable fluid. It does not contribute to photochemical smog, global pollution, or global warming and will not deplete the ozone layer. Its use as an industrial fluid is well documented and it has a proven track record for safety in industrial plants.<sup>9</sup> Cement plants in several countries have implemented such waste-heat recovery systems. In many plants, waste heat is also used for drying raw material or preheating air required for coal combustion.

### 3.2.4 WATER SHORTAGE AND SCARCITY

About 97.5% of water on the earth is saltwater; this leaves only 2.5% as freshwater, of which over two-thirds is frozen in glaciers and polar ice caps. (These are also melting at a faster rate due to climatic change. Scientists from the National Snow and Ice Data Center in Boulder, Colorado, have predicted that the North Pole may be briefly ice free by September 2013.) The remaining unfrozen freshwater is mainly found as groundwater, with only a small fraction present aboveground or in the air.<sup>10</sup> Freshwater is a renewable resource, yet the world's supply of clean freshwater is steadily decreasing.

The population is not only growing but also using more water even though the world's total supply remains the same. Since 1900, world population has *doubled*, yet the amount of freshwater used has increased more than *sixfold*. Agriculture is by far the largest consumer of water, mostly because of the expansion of irrigated areas nearly fivefold over this century. Nearly 70% of global water withdrawals from rivers, lakes, and aquifers are used for irrigation, while industry and households account for 20% and 10%, respectively. (More efficient irrigation techniques are clearly the first and crucial step to reducing water use. It may also be noted that, of late, the world's irrigated areas are growing more slowly than population. Per capita irrigated areas peaked in 1978 at 0.48 ha per person. Since then, this has fallen 6%. Worsening shortages of freshwater along with rising costs of irrigation are placing global food supplies in jeopardy, according to a new study from the Worldwatch



**FIGURE 3.8** Areas of physical and economic water scarcity. (From IMWI Report, Insights from the Comprehensive Assessment of Water Management in Agriculture 2006, 8 pp. With permission.)

Institute, a research organization in Washington, DC. Although the use of drip irrigation has grown more than 50-fold over the last 20 years, it is still used in only 1% of the world's irrigated areas.) This scarcity could put a major brake on most of the world's development efforts.

Assessments of global water resources indicate that water scarcity will increase dramatically during the next decades, with a disproportionate and severe effect on developing countries. Demand is growing and, with it, competition among different users. Unless we change the way we think about and manage our water resources, both people and planet could suffer irreparable damage.<sup>11</sup> The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) predicts that many countries will still face “physical water scarcity in 2025” and that their water needs will outstrip supplies, no matter what measures are taken. Others will be faced with “economic water scarcity”: They will lack the financial and institutional capacity required to increase their water supplies by 25%<sup>10</sup> (see Figure 3.8). Pressure on water resources is particularly acute in arid regions that support agricultural production or large populations—regions where water use is high relative to water availability. The Middle East, Central Asia, North Africa, South Asia, China, Australia, the western United States, and Mexico are especially prone to water shortages (see Figure 3.8).

Global per capita water availability decreased from 13,000 m<sup>3</sup> in 1970 to 6,800 m<sup>3</sup> in 2004. An optimistic calculation shows that, assuming current trends, only 4800 m<sup>3</sup> will be available in 2025. When per capita water supply is less than 1700 m<sup>3</sup> per year, an area may be considered as *water stressed*.<sup>12</sup> In many parts of the world, water supply is actually less than 1000 m<sup>3</sup> per capita, which causes serious problems for food production and economic development. Today, 2.3 billion people live in water-stressed areas.<sup>10</sup> If current trends continue, water stress will affect 3.5 billion people—about 48% of the world's projected population in 2025.<sup>10</sup> Existing problems

of water scarcity are aggravated by water pollution. In many parts of the world, rivers and lakes have become so polluted that their water is unfit even for industrial uses. Global concerns about water scarcity include not only surface water sources but also groundwater sources.

In the United States and Europe, between 200 and 600 L of water per day are used by individuals, compared to the 20 L deemed to be the minimum daily requirement for drinking, washing, cooking, and sanitation.<sup>12</sup> Such unsustainable consumption levels have led to localized areas of water scarcity and significantly altered freshwater ecosystems. The massive Colorado River in the United States, which runs through the cities of Los Angeles, San Diego, and Las Vegas and feeds millions of agricultural fields, now runs dry before reaching the ocean. Due to this, the Colorado River Delta, which once supported plentiful plant and animal life, is now significantly diminished.<sup>12</sup> *Though India has about 16% of the world's population, it has only 4% of average annual runoff in rivers.*<sup>12</sup> In almost all parts of India, water deficiencies show an increasing trend and the surpluses show a decreasing trend. Water availability is estimated at 972 m<sup>3</sup>/person/year. One-third of India is always under threat of drought and many states have serious river-water-sharing disputes with neighboring states, which are going to grow in the future.

Population growth and urbanization pose significant challenges for water resources management throughout the world. Urbanization increases surface runoff (storm-water runoff occurs when rain falls) due to more impervious surfaces, such as pavements and buildings. These surfaces do not allow percolation of the water down through the soil to the aquifer and thus the result is lower water tables. It has recently been reported that, in Mexico City, some reservoirs dropped to their lowest levels in 16 years, which made the government shut down water pipelines to more than 2 million residents under a new conservation program.

Unlike rural roads, urban roads are paved with asphalt or concrete, which seldom provides percolation of rainwater. Moreover, the platforms of these roads are also covered with concrete slabs. The latest trend is to cover most of the areas around dwellings with concrete interlocking blocks since they may add visual appeal to a building. This means that runoff occurs more quickly in urban areas with greater peak flows. Flood volumes increase, as do floods and water pollution downstream. A few cities in India (e.g., Chennai) imposed compulsory *rainwater harvesting systems* for individual house owners. Note that such systems have to be maintained properly in order to be successful in the long run. A recent study by Chennai Metrowater showed that there has been a 50% rise in water level in the last 5 years and the water quality has significantly improved.

Water runoff from pavements and terraces of buildings often creates *erosion* and *siltation* problems, as well as causes flash floods and loss of rainwater that could otherwise replenish water tables and aquifers. (A land area producing runoff draining to common point is called a *watershed* and is critical to environmental, financial, and social health.) When runoff flows along the ground, it can pick up soil contaminants such as petroleum, pesticides (in particular, herbicides and insecticides), or fertilizers, which may be dissolved or suspended in runoff. This pollutant load can reach various receiving waters such as streams, rivers, lakes, estuaries, and oceans polluting these water systems and their related ecosystems. (In a study of groundwater

wells in agricultural southwestern Ontario, Canada, 35% of the wells tested positive for pesticides on at least one occasion.<sup>13</sup> Similar observations have been made in the United States and other countries.) In rivers, streams, lakes, and bays, fertilizers contribute to algal blooms and excessive plant growth and can lead to eutrophication. Pesticides and herbicides can be harmful to human and aquatic life.

In the past, engineers have dealt with issues connected with water runoff by designing gutters, permanent storm-water retention/detention ponds, slope protection, or grass strips and by providing temporary sediment traps, silt fences, and diversion trenches. All of these methods may help reduce runoff pollution. Lately, a different approach to the challenge has been gaining attention: Do not let the water run off. This approach has resulted in the development of *pervious concrete* pavements.<sup>14–17</sup> (See Chapter 5 for the details of pervious concrete.)


3.2.5 WASTE MANAGEMENT

Waste management is the collection, transport, processing, recycling, or disposal of waste materials. Waste management usually relates to materials produced by human activity and is generally undertaken to reduce their effect on health, aesthetics, or amenity. Waste management is also carried out to reduce the materials’ effect on the environment and to recover resources from them; it involves solid, liquid, or gaseous substances, with different methods and fields of expertise for each (see Figure 3.9).<sup>18</sup> Various methods are used for waste management, including disposal (landfill and incineration), recycling (physical and biological processing), energy recovery, and avoidance and reduction (see Figure 3.10).

According to ASCE, the United States generated 230 million tonnes of solid waste in 2007, which is approximately 2.1 kg of waste per person per day. More than one-third was reported to be recycled or recovered, representing an increase



**FIGURE 3.9** Waste management can involve solid, liquid, or gaseous substances. (From Campioli, A., and M. Lavagna. 2007. *Third International Conference on Life Cycle Management*, Zurich, Aug. 27–29.)




**FIGURE 3.10** Hierarchy of waste management. (From [www.envirocentre.ie](http://www.envirocentre.ie).)

of 7% since 2000. Per capita generation of waste has remained constant over the past 20 years. Waste disposal statistics do not take into account waste that is disposed (burned or put in landfills) on-site or off-site in unpermitted landfills and incinerators. Despite this, the increasing volume of electronic waste and the lack of uniform regulations for disposal create the possibility for high levels of hazardous waste and heavy metals to be dumped in landfills, posing a significant threat to public safety.

The Central Pollution Control Board in India estimates the current quantum of municipal solid waste generation in India to be to the tune of 48 million tonnes per annum, of which the waste from the construction industry accounts for about 12–14.7 million tonnes. Per capita waste generation in major Indian cities ranges from 0.2 to 0.6 kg. In addition, the hazardous waste generation is around 4.4 million tonnes. In the future, every country must understand the importance of energy and waste management to sustainability. Moreover, in many countries, *leachate* (a liquid, mostly water, that seeps out from the base of land-filled waste or composting material) management is not given proper attention, leading to pollution of ground water. Note that some leachates can be 1,000 times the strength of sewage, especially from young, rapidly filled, and/or quite dry landfills ([www.leachate.co.uk](http://www.leachate.co.uk)).

According to the US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), construction and demolition waste, which is made up primarily of concrete, asphalt, wood, gypsum, demolition metals, and asphalt shingles, was estimated to be 325 million tons in 2003. (Construction waste is generated at the rate of about 0.5 tons per person each year in the United States.) This waste has to be transported, thus consuming more energy and pollution. (Transportation consumes about 40% of primary US energy consumption.) While the situation is not so acute in India at present, increasing urbanization may push the country in that direction.

The Construction Materials Recycling Association (CMRA), Lisle, Illinois, estimates that more than 105 million tons of concrete are recycled in North America every year. Methods of recycling concrete and concrete components are discussed in Swamy<sup>34</sup> and Sakai and Sordyl.<sup>37</sup> Recycling of concrete is a relatively simple process. It



**FIGURE 3.11** Schematic flow of concrete recycling system. (From Shima, H. et al. 2005. *Journal of Advanced Concrete Technology* 3 (1): 53–67. With permission.)

involves breaking, removing, and crushing existing concrete into materials of specified size and quality. Figure 3.11 shows the typical flow of a concrete recycling system.<sup>19</sup>

The quality of concrete with recycled concrete aggregates depends greatly on the quality of the recycled material used. Recycled concrete aggregates (RCAs) have been successfully used in applications such as bulk fills, bank protection, base or fill for drainage structures, road construction, noise barriers, and embankments. Often, recycled aggregate is combined with virgin aggregate when used in new concrete. The Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) has been using RCA in concrete highways and streets and as a base material for the past 10 years and has found that it provides engineering, economic, and environmental benefits. RCAs usually present greater porosity and absorption and lower density and strength than natural aggregates. Microstructural studies on RCAs have indicated differences in the characteristics of the interfacial transition zones between the cement paste and the aggregates. It was also found that the reduction in concrete stiffness is higher than the strength, resulting in concretes with higher drying shrinkage and creep.<sup>20</sup> More details about recycling of concrete are provided elsewhere.

Recycling concrete not only conserves resources but also saves landfill space. Use of manufactured sand, dredged sand, and mining wastes in place of river sand is also an environmentally friendly option. Several other by-products have also been successfully used with concrete. These include used foundry sand and cupola slag from metal-casting industries; postconsumer glass; wood ash from pulp mills, saw mills, and wood product manufacturing industries; sludge from primary clarifiers at paper mills; and de-inking solids from paper-recycling companies.<sup>21</sup> It is to be noted that recycling is not the best option because it also requires energy for processing, transportation, etc.

Many cement plants maintain a norm of zero water discharge and have transformed old abandoned mines into huge reservoirs and water bodies. ACC Ltd. in India treats its wastewater and recycles it and hence is self-reliant with respect to its water requirements.

### 3.3 CARBON DIOXIDE REDUCTION

Carbon dioxide is present in the atmosphere naturally due to the following:

- Anaerobic bacteria decomposing other organic matter
- Animals and people exhaling carbon dioxide during respiration
- Occasional volcanic activity

Carbon dioxide is removed naturally by plants consuming it in the process of photosynthesis and water in the oceans acting like a sink to dissolve CO<sub>2</sub>.


However, the burning of fossil fuels for producing energy required for electricity and transportation generates additional carbon dioxide as a by-product, which is not possible for Mother Nature to remove. As a result, the amount of carbon dioxide present in the atmosphere is now about 35% higher than it was a century and half ago. The UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climatic Change (IPCC), in its report issued in 2007, warned that our planet will face devastating consequences if immediate steps are not taken to reduce the level of atmospheric carbon dioxide, which is the main cause of global warming. Under the Kyoto Protocol, industrialized countries agreed to reduce their collective greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 5.2% compared to the year 1990.

Carbon capture and storage (CCS), also called *sequestration*, is one of the technologies being developed to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions into the atmosphere. It involves the separation of CO<sub>2</sub> from other gases emitted in the coal combustion or gasification process and storing it in deep underground geological formations, in deep ocean masses, or in the form of mineral carbonates. The National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) has estimated that North America has enough storage capacity at our current rate of production for more than 900 years' worth of carbon dioxide.

Buildings consume 40% of the world's energy and materials. Building use represents about 70% of total human consumption (energy, water, and material combined). The carbon emissions from the production and transport of construction materials are a significant part of the construction industry. The building sector of North America was responsible for annual carbon dioxide emissions of 671 million tons of carbon in 2003, which is 37% of total North American carbon dioxide emissions and 10% of global emissions. US buildings alone are responsible for more carbon dioxide emissions than total carbon dioxide emissions of any other country in the world, except China.<sup>22</sup> In 2003, buildings were responsible for 615 million metric tons of carbon (Mt C) emitted in the United States, 40 Mt C in Canada, and 17 Mt C in Mexico, for a total of 671 Mt C in North America. According to the International Energy Agency, total energy-related emissions in North America in this year were 1815 Mt C. Therefore, buildings were responsible for 37% of energy-related emissions in North America.<sup>22</sup> Buildings are responsible for 72% of US electricity consumption

and 54% of natural gas consumption. Combined, materials production and transport make up 44% of all construction-related emissions (cars are responsible for only a third of all emissions). This can be reduced by improved extraction, manufacturing, and sourcing processes; recycling; and sourcing locally.

Carbon dioxide is the principal emission from the cement industry. CO<sub>2</sub> is released when limestone is heated to produce calcium oxide during the production of cement, as shown in the following reaction:



About 60% of CO<sub>2</sub> produced in cement manufacture arises from this calcination reaction itself and the rest is due to the high temperature needed to drive the calcination of limestone. Globally, the cement industry contributes approximately 5% to all industrial CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The major environmental burdens resulting from the production of a tonne of Portland cement include<sup>23</sup>

- Emission of about 1 tonne of carbon dioxide (see Table 3.1)
- Use of 1700 kWh of primary energy
- Extraction of 1.5 tonnes of minerals
- Requirement of about 4 GJ of energy


Worldwide, the concrete industry consumed nearly 2.77 billion tonnes of cement in 2007, and hence the carbon footprint of the industry is very high (see also Figure 3.12, which shows CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of some selected countries<sup>24</sup>). By 2006, cement production contributed to roughly 8% of worldwide anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, or 6% of total anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions. Despite significant improvements in efficiency, cement-related emissions are expected to increase.

In addition, cement production requires mining large quantities of raw materials such as limestone and clay and fuel such as coal, resulting in deforestation and topsoil loss. The concrete industry also uses large amounts of potable water for washing

**TABLE 3.1**  
**Approximate CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Associated with Production of 1 Tonne of Portland Cement**

| Source                                          | CO <sub>2</sub> Emitted (kg) | Comment                                                             |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chemical decomposition (breakdown of limestone) | 500                          | The major source of CO <sub>2</sub> and intrinsically unavoidable   |
| Fuel                                            | 350                          | Use of waste as fuel can benefit sustainability                     |
| Electricity                                     | 80                           | The CO <sub>2</sub> is normally emitted off-site at a power station |
| Total                                           | 930                          |                                                                     |

*Source:* Higgins, D. 2006. Sustainable concrete: How can additions contribute? The Institute of Concrete Technology, U.K., Annual Technical Symposium, March 28.



**FIGURE 3.12** CO<sub>2</sub> emission due to cement production in the year 2000. (From Humphreys and Mahasanen 2002. Toward a sustainable cement industry, climate change Sub-Study 8, World Business Council for Sustainable Development. With permission.)

aggregates, for mixing, and for curing. Typical concrete mixes contains 12%–15% cement and 75%–80% aggregates by mass. Globally, sand, gravel, or crushed rock is used at the rate of 10–11 billion tons every year. Admixture ingredients in concrete generally comprise only a tiny percentage of concrete weight. These admixtures are mildly poisonous in their dosage stage, but become harmless once bound into hydration products.

The environmental impacts of the production of concrete may be reduced by the following (<http://www.sustainableconcrete.org.uk>):

- Reducing the amount of greenhouse gases emitted during the manufacture of cement (About 55 US plants are using *blast furnace* or *iron slag* as a raw material and over 50 plants are using *fly ash* from electric power plants. According to the PCA, many plants meet 20%–70% of their energy requirements with alternative fuels, such as consumer wastes—for example, tires, solid and liquid wastes [solvents]—or by-products from other industries, sewage pellets, refuse- derived fuels, etc. Cement plants also use other alternatives, such as copper slag, foundry sand, mill scale, sandblasting grit, and synthetic gypsum.)
- More efficient use of resources in concrete production, including reused materials and by-products from other industrial processes (such as *ground granulated blast-furnace slag* [GGBS], a by-product from the blast furnaces used to make iron, and fly ash)
- Better reuse of waste and other secondary materials such as water, aggregate, fuel, or other cementitious material

- Lower reliance on quarrying material or sending construction and demolition waste to landfills by maximizing the use of recycled material where practical
- Development of low-energy, long-lasting yet flexible buildings and structures
- Exploiting the thermal mass of concrete in a structure to reduce energy demand
- Environmental restoration after industrial activity has ceased
- Enhancing the design service life of structure, from the current 50–60 years to about 100–120 years, by using durable materials

The PCA reports that many US cement companies have developed closure plans for quarries that include careful soil and water contouring to optimize the environmental benefits of the reclaimed areas.<sup>25</sup> Dust emission during cement production has also been substantially reduced over the years. Cement kiln dust (CKD) is the material removed from the kiln exhaust gases by pollution-control devices. The cement industry also has developed methods to recycle CKD back into the process, offsetting the use of limestone and other raw virgin materials and thus conserving energy. According to the PCA, from 1972 to 2006, the cement industry reduced energy consumption by 37.5%, which means that the fuel CO<sub>2</sub> was reduced by nearly the same amount.

Fly ash, pozzolans, granulated blast furnace slag, silica fume, and volcanic ash can also be blended with cement in cement manufacturing process by intergrinding of clinker with one or more of these additives. The use of blended cements results in reduced CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, reduced energy consumption, and expanded production capacity (see Table 3.2); the properties of both fresh and hardened concrete are also enhanced. However, when concretes with cementitious materials are used, proper attention has to be given for curing because these concretes require more curing time to develop the required strength. Blended cements are very common in Europe and are being introduced in the United States. In India, the proportion of blended cement to total cement produced increased from 32.58% in 1999 to about 56% in 2005 and is likely to increase even more.

Moreover, during its life cycle, concrete reabsorbs about 20% of the CO<sub>2</sub>, thus partially mitigating the effect during manufacturing. See Chapter 2 for more discussion on CO<sub>2</sub> intake of concrete.

**TABLE 3.2**  
**Calculated Environmental Impacts for 1 Tonne of Concrete**

| Impact                            | 100% PC         | 50% ggbs      | 30% Fly Ash    |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Greenhouse gas (CO <sub>2</sub> ) | 142 kg (100%)   | 85.4 kg (60%) | 118 kg (83%)   |
| Primary energy use                | 1,070 MJ (100%) | 760 MJ (71%)  | 925 MJ (86%)   |
| Mineral extraction                | 1,048 kg (100%) | 965 kg (92%)  | 1,007 kg (96%) |

*Source:* Higgins, D. 2006. Sustainable concrete: How can additions contribute? The Institute of Concrete Technology, U.K., Annual Technical Symposium, March 28.

By simultaneously using the following three tools, major reductions in concrete consumption and carbon emissions can be achieved (see Table 3.3):<sup>26</sup>

- Consuming less concrete by rehabilitating old buildings: increasing the service life of concrete structures from the present 50 years to 100–150 years, and enhancing the long-term durability (by careful selection of constituents of concrete) is one of the best ways to improve sustainability. Use of demountable precast products that can be reused is also an efficient solution.
- Consuming less cement in concrete mixtures: using high-range water-reducing admixtures to reduce 20%–25% of water and thereby reducing cement content; optimizing aggregate size and grading; and using 56- to 90-day compressive strength instead of traditional 28-day strength (especially in PPC) may result in 15%–20% cement savings.
- Minimizing the quantity of cement in a concrete mix: the use of industrial by-products such as fly ash, blast furnace slag, silica fume, reactive rice-husk ash, etc. can lead to significant reductions in the amount of cement needed to make concrete and hence reduce emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> and consumption of energy and raw materials, as well as reduce landfill/disposal burdens. (India produces over 270 million tonnes of fly ash per year, which is harmful and difficult to dispose.) Fly ash can be readily substituted for over 30% of cement volume and blast furnace slag for more than 35%. High-volume fly ash (HVFA) concretes with 50%–70% of cementitious content have been studied extensively and their use has been found to be feasible in certain situations; they have been found to have better properties than concretes produced with Portland cement.<sup>27</sup>

Table 3.3 is based on the following assumptions: Combined use of tools 1 and 2 will reduce cement consumption by 30% (2.80 billion tonnes in 2010 to 1.96 billion

**TABLE 3.3**  
**Projected Cement and CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction**

| Description                                     | Year 2010 | Year 2030 | Percentage Reduction |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
| Cement requirement (billion tonnes)             | 2.8       | 1.96      | 30                   |
| Clinker factor <sup>a</sup>                     | 0.83      | 0.60      | 27                   |
| Clinker requirement (billion tonnes)            | 2.3       | 1.18      | 49                   |
| CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor <sup>b</sup>    | 0.9       | 0.8       | 10                   |
| Total CO <sub>2</sub> emission (billion tonnes) | 2.07      | 0.94      | 55                   |

Source: Mehta, P. K. 2009. Global concrete industry sustainability—Tools for moving forward to cut carbon emissions. *Concrete International* 31 (2): 45–48. With permission of the American Concrete Institute.

<sup>a</sup> Tonnes of clinker per tonne of cement.

<sup>b</sup> Tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> per tonne of clinker.

tones in 2030). Clinker factor is reduced by 20%–30% by the use of alternate cementitious materials. The carbon emission factor is decreased by 10%–20% by the use of waste material as fuel.

### 3.3.1 “GREEN” CEMENTS<sup>24</sup>

As discussed already, sequestration is a process that involves capturing the CO<sub>2</sub> from coal-fired power plants, compressing it into a liquid, and injecting it deep beneath the earth into old oil fields or saline aquifers. Cement companies around the world are in the process of commercializing cements that either absorb more than their production generates or do not emit carbon dioxide at all. A company called Calix, based in Sydney, Australia, has recently filed a patent to produce “green” cement through the rapid calcination of calcium magnesium carbonate particles known as dolomite.

According to the company, the particles are dropped into a vertical tube full of superheated steam, which causes the particles to explode into grains, increasing the overall surface area. These grains then react with the steam, oxidizing the surfaces. The residue is then ground into a powder and mixed with sand to form a powder known as Semidolime. To produce the cement, Semidolime is mixed with water and power-plant flue gas, which typically contains significant levels of CO<sub>2</sub>.

The company claims that the fuel and electricity used during the process generate 14 kg of CO<sub>2</sub> for every tonne of concrete ultimately produced; this cement absorbs 21 kg of CO<sub>2</sub> per tonne of material as it hardens into concrete of the desired shape. The net result is that for every tonne of concrete produced, the material removes 7 kg of CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere.

Another company, called Calera and based in Los Gatos, California, has developed a technique to absorb the CO<sub>2</sub> in hot power-plant flue gas with hard water to make cement. The CO<sub>2</sub> reacts with the calcium and magnesium in the water to form solid carbonates and bicarbonates, which are then removed from the water and processed for use as cement, without any CO<sub>2</sub> having been produced in the process.

A London-based company, Novacem, has built a small pilot plant at Imperial College and replaced the limestone used in conventional Portland cement with magnesium silicates. (Half of that CO<sub>2</sub> is released in the calcination of limestone; the other half comes from the fuel used to heat the reaction.) Magnesium silicates, in contrast, release far less CO<sub>2</sub> when heated. To produce cement, the magnesium silicates are heated to 180°C, causing them to form magnesium carbonates. These are then further heated to 700°C to produce magnesium oxide, producing a small amount of CO<sub>2</sub> in the process. The resulting cement is a mixture of this magnesium oxide and some magnesium silicates.

The use of ready mixed concrete can also help in obtaining quality concrete that will increase the durability and life of concrete structures. Modern concretes such as fibrous concrete, geopolymer concrete, high-performance concrete, reactive powder concrete, self-compacting concrete, self-curing concrete, etc. not only enhance the properties of concrete but also increase the life of structures built with them.

### 3.3.2 GEOPOLYMER CONCRETE

Clinker is made by calcining calcium carbonate (limestone), which releases  $\text{CO}_2$  into the atmosphere. Mineral polymers can be made from inorganic aluminosilicate (Al-Si) compounds. An inorganic polycondensation reaction results in a three-dimensional structure, like that of zeolites. It can be produced by blending three elements: calcined aluminosilicates (from clay), alkali-disilicates, and granulated blast furnace slag or fly ash.<sup>28</sup> The cement hardens at room temperatures and provides compressive strengths of 20 MPa after 4 hours and up to 70–100 MPa after 28 days.<sup>28</sup> The geopolymer technology was proposed as a greener alternative binder to Portland cement with applications in the concrete industry.<sup>29</sup>


Geopolymer binder can be used in applications to replace or partially replace ordinary Portland cement with environmental and technical benefits, including an 80%–90% reduction in  $\text{CO}_2$  emissions. This is mainly due to the absence of the high-temperature calcination step in geopolymer synthesis. The silicon and aluminum oxides in the low-calcium fly ash chemically react with the alkaline liquid to form the geopolymer paste that binds the loose coarse aggregates, fine aggregates, and other unreacted materials together to form the geopolymer concrete.<sup>30</sup> Heat-cured, low-calcium, fly ash-based geopolymer concrete has excellent compressive strength, suffers very little drying shrinkage and low creep, and has excellent resistance to sulfate attack and good acid and fire resistance.<sup>31</sup> Despite the high alkali content, mineral polymers do not show alkali aggregate reactions. During 2008, a company called Zeobond launched the commercial production of geopolymer concrete in Melbourne, Australia, under the brand name, E-Crete™. It is interesting to note that structures using similar geopolymer concretes were constructed in ancient Rome, as well as in the former Soviet Union in the 1950s and 1960s, and are still in service.

### 3.3.3 PHOTOCATALYTIC CONCRETE

“Depollution” is the opposite of pollution and means the removal of contaminants and impurities from the environment. The newest tool for achieving depollution is a photocatalyst, a material that uses solar energy to accelerate chemical reactions without being consumed or depleted in the process. Photocatalytic concrete, made using the patented Portland cement developed by Italcementi Group, has been introduced in the United States under the name TX Active.<sup>32</sup>

Photocatalytic concrete has, in addition to Portland cement binders, a proprietary formulation of photocatalytic titanium dioxide particles. When used on or in a concrete structure, these photocatalyst particles oxidize volatile organic compounds and nitrogen oxides ( $\text{NO}_x$ ), thus eliminating unhealthy ozone at the source. It also oxidizes inorganic compounds such as  $\text{SO}_x$ , CO,  $\text{NH}_3$ , and  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ , as well as chlorinated organic compounds. These catalyzed compounds break down into oxygen, carbon dioxide, water, sulfate, nitrate, and other molecules that are beneficial to or, at worst, have a relatively gentle impact on the environment.<sup>33</sup>

Photocatalytic concrete has other environmental benefits, such as reflecting much of the sun’s heat and reducing the heat gain associated with dark construction materials. This keeps cities cooler, reduces the need for air conditioning, and reduces smog.



**FIGURE 3.13** Jubilee Church in Rome and the gateway sculptures at the new I-35W bridge at Minneapolis, where self-cleaning photocatalytic concrete has been used. (From <http://www.concretedecor.net>; <http://projects.dot.state.mn.us/35wbridge>.)

White precast concrete is also attractive as an interior finish because it can improve the efficiency of lighting, reduce energy requirements, neutralize indoor air pollutants, and help sustainable design initiatives.<sup>32</sup>


Figure 3.13 shows Jubilee Church in Rome and the gateway sculptures at the new I-35W bridge at Minneapolis, Minnesota, built using photocatalytic concrete.

### 3.4 SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT


A number of solutions have been suggested and some successfully implemented in the past in several countries to produce clean energy and to maintain sustainability. These solutions include building more nuclear power plants, geothermal power and heat, solar heating and cooling, wind power, modern forms of bioenergy, solar photovoltaics, advanced biomass gasification, biorefinery technologies, solar thermal power stations, hot-dry-rock geothermal power, and ocean energy. Development of alternative fuels such as biodiesel, bioalcohol (ethanol, butanol), chemically stored electricity (batteries and fuel cells), hydrogen, nonfossil methane, nonfossil natural gas, vegetable oil, and other biomass sources has also been attempted. Each one has its advantages and drawbacks. In the following we shall discuss only a few of these suggestions and will confine the discussion to sustainable construction and the role of concrete.

The building and construction sector generates substantial social and economic benefits, employing over 111 million people worldwide and contributing approximately 10% to the global gross domestic product.<sup>12</sup> At the same time, the built environment contributes significantly to global raw materials use, energy use, solid waste generation, and greenhouse gas emissions (Figure 3.14).<sup>12</sup>

More than any other human endeavor, the built environment has direct, complex, and long-lasting impacts on the biosphere. Some 10% of the global economy is devoted to construction and about one-half of world's major resources are consumed



**FIGURE 3.14** Share of the built environment in pollution emission and resource use. (From World Resources Institute. <http://earthtrends.wri.org/updates/node/264>; accessed Feb. 12, 2009.)



**FIGURE 3.15** Three pillars of sustainability: economic, social, and environmental.

by construction and related industries. It is estimated that, in the United States, the building industry involves the extraction and movement of 6 billion tons of basic materials annually (representing 8% of US GDP and 40% of extracted material<sup>2</sup>); residential and commercial buildings together use one-third of all energy and two-thirds of all electricity consumed in the country. They also account for 47% of sulfur dioxide emissions, 22% of nitrogen oxide emissions, and 10% of particulate emissions, all of which damage air quality.<sup>8</sup> Further, as mentioned earlier, buildings produce 35% of the country’s carbon dioxide emissions—the chief pollutant blamed for climate change. Indoor air quality is inadequate in 30% of the buildings around the world. These statistics underline the importance of changing construction practices.

To address these challenges, there is a need to develop effective approaches for life cycle design and management of construction that will ensure their sustainability in terms of improved physical performance, cost effectiveness, and environmental compatibility. Life cycle design is discussed elsewhere. Sustainable design has to consider three major aspects of sustainability: social, economic, and environmental (see Figure 3.15).

The following are considerations for a sustainable building design:<sup>2</sup>

- Resources should be used only at the speed at which they naturally regenerate and discarded only at the speed at which local ecosystems can absorb them.
- Site planning should incorporate resources naturally available on the site, such as solar and wind energy, natural shading, and drainage.
- Resource-efficient materials should be used in the construction of buildings and in furnishings to lessen local and global impact.
- Energy and material waste should be minimized throughout a building's life cycle, from design through reuse or demolition.
- The building shell should be designed for energy efficiency, considering factors such as day lighting, passive ventilation, building envelope, internal load, local climate, etc.
- Material and design strategies should produce excellent indoor environmental quality.
- The design should maximize occupant health and productivity.
- Operation and maintenance systems should support waste reduction and recycling.
- Water should be managed as a limited resource.
- Location and systems should optimize employee commuting and customer transportation options and minimize the use of single-occupancy vehicles. These include using alternative work modes such as telecommuting and teleconferencing.

The preceding design considerations show that there should be effective interaction among all the persons involved in the project (client, architect, structural engineer, electrical and mechanical engineers, landscape architect, and others) at all stages of the project. For concrete structures to be really sustainable, one should adopt the holistic approach to the design based on the principle of *strength through durability* rather than *durability through strength*.<sup>34</sup>

### 3.5 GREEN BUILDING RATING SYSTEMS

To promote design and construction practices that reduce the negative environmental impacts of buildings and improve occupant health and well-being, the US Green Building Council (USGBC), a Washington, DC-based nonprofit coalition of building industry leaders, developed the LEED® green building rating system in 1993. In the United States and in a number of other countries around the world, LEED certification is the recognized standard for measuring building sustainability. Similar assessment systems are available in other countries (e.g., the British green building rating system developed by Building Research Establishment (BRE) in 1992 called the Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method (BREEAM), the comprehensive Assessment System for Building Environmental Efficiency (CASBEE) of Japan, and Green Star of Australia). All these systems are designed to encourage construction of green buildings that will minimize disruption of local ecosystems; ensure the efficient use of water, energy, and other natural resources; and ensure healthy indoor environment.

However, they differ in terminology, structure, assessment of performance, points assigned to different performance criteria, and documentation required for certification. These systems, while voluntary in nature, continue to gain recognition. It is interesting to note that adoption of these systems also results in economic incentives as owners and renters increasingly demand facilities with high green building ratings.

### 3.5.1 LEED-NC

From 1994 to 2006, LEED grew from one standard for new construction to a comprehensive system of six interrelated standards covering all aspects of the development and construction process: LEED-NC for new construction, LEED-EB for existing buildings, LEED-CI for commercial interiors, LEED-H for homes, LEED-CS for core and shell projects, and LEED-ND for neighborhood development.<sup>2</sup> LEED-NC, which was originally developed for office buildings, but is used for all types of buildings except single-family homes, is briefly discussed next.

LEED-NC 2.2, issued in 2005, is structured with seven prerequisites and a maximum of 69 points divided into the following six major categories: energy and atmosphere (17 maximum points), indoor environmental quality (15 points), sustainable sites (14 points), materials and resources (13 points), water efficiency (5 points), and innovation and design process (5 points). A building is LEED certified if it obtains at least 26 points. Silver, gold, and platinum levels are awarded for at least 33, 39, and 52 points, respectively, as shown in Table 3.4. It is expected that LEED-NC 3.0 will include a requirement for a carbon footprint (carbon building print) and a significant reduction of GHG (greenhouse gas) beyond a baseline level.<sup>2</sup> (Note that pervious concrete and water conservation are discussed in Chapter 5 and concrete and heat island effects are discussed in Chapter 6.)

The task of selecting building materials and products for a high-performance green building is the most difficult and challenging task for any design team. Several tools are available for this process and one of the best tools is the life cycle assessment (LCA). LCA provides information about the resources, emissions, and other impacts resulting from the life cycle of material use, from extraction to disposal. Hence, one must consider the impact of the material from extraction to disposal. One such LCA program is BEES (building for environmental and economic sustainability) software.<sup>35</sup> Ideally, the material cycle should be closed looped and waste free. Thus, the following rules apply while selecting the materials for green construction:

- They should consume least energy to manufacture.
- They should not involve long-distance transportation (for the raw materials as well as finished product).
- The natural resources and raw materials used should not affect the environment.
- They must be easy to recycle and safe to dispose in landfills.
- They should be harmless in production and use.
- Materials dissipated from recycling must be harmless.
- They should have long life and durability.

**TABLE 3.4**  
**Overview of LEED-NC 2.2 Categories and Credits**

| <b>Sustainable Sites: 14 points</b>                                              |          |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Construction activity: pollution prevention                                      | Required |
| Credit 1: site selection                                                         | 1        |
| Credit 2: development density and community connectivity                         | 1        |
| Credit 3: brownfield redevelopment                                               | 1        |
| Credit 4.1: alternative transportation, public transportation                    | 1        |
| Credit 4.2: alternative transportation, bicycle storage, and changing rooms      | 1        |
| Credit 4.3: alternative transportation, low-emitting and fuel-efficient vehicles | 1        |
| Credit 4.4: alternative transportation, parking capacity                         | 1        |
| Credit 5.1: site development, protect or restore habitat                         | 1        |
| Credit 5.2: site development, maximize open space                                | 1        |
| Credit 6.1: storm-water design, quantity control                                 | 1        |
| Credit 6.2: storm-water design, quality control                                  | 1        |
| Credit 7.1: heat island effect, nonroof                                          | 1        |
| Credit 7.2: heat island effect, roof                                             | 1        |
| Credit 8: light pollution reduction                                              | 1        |
| <b>Water Efficiency: 5 points</b>                                                |          |
| Credit 1.1: water-efficient landscaping, reduce by 50%                           | 1        |
| Credit 1.2: water-efficient landscaping, no potable use or no irrigation         | 1        |
| Credit 2: innovative wastewater technologies                                     | 1        |
| Credit 3.1: water use reduction, 20% reduction                                   | 1        |
| Credit 3.2: water use reduction, 30% reduction                                   | 1        |
| <b>Energy and Atmosphere: 17 points</b>                                          |          |
| Prerequisite 1: fundamental commissioning of the building energy systems         | Required |
| Prerequisite 2: minimum energy performance                                       | Required |
| Prerequisite 3: fundamental refrigerant management                               | Required |
| Credit 1: optimize energy performance                                            | 1–10     |
| Credit 2: on-site renewable energy                                               | 1–3      |
| Credit 3: enhanced commissioning                                                 | 1        |
| Credit 4: enhanced refrigerant management                                        | 1        |
| Credit 5: measurement and verification                                           | 1        |
| Credit 6: green power                                                            | 1        |
| <b>Materials and Resources: 13 points</b>                                        |          |
| Prerequisite 1: storage and collection of recyclables                            | Required |
| Credit 1.1: building reuse, maintain 75% of existing walls, floors, and roof     | 1        |
| Credit 1.2: building reuse, maintain 95% of existing walls, floors, and roof     | 1        |
| Credit 1.3: building reuse, maintain 50% of interior nonstructural elements      | 1        |
| Credit 2.1: construction waste management, divert 50% from disposal              | 1        |

**TABLE 3.4 (Continued)****Overview of LEED-NC 2.2 Categories and Credits**

|                                                                           |   |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| Credit 2.2: construction waste management, divert 75% from disposal       | 1 |
| Credit 3.1: materials reuse, 5%                                           | 1 |
| Credit 3.2: materials reuse, 10%                                          | 1 |
| Credit 4.1: recycled content, 10% (post-consumer + 1/2 preconsumer)       | 1 |
| Credit 4.2: recycled content, 20% (post-consumer + 1/2 preconsumer)       | 1 |
| Credit 5.1: regional materials, 10% extracted, processed and manufactured | 1 |
| Credit 5.2: regional materials, 20% extracted, processed and manufactured | 1 |
| Credit 6: rapidly renewable materials                                     | 1 |
| Credit 7: certified wood                                                  | 1 |

**Indoor Environmental Quality: 15 points**

|                                                                                       |          |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Prerequisite 1: minimum IAQ performance                                               | Required |
| Prerequisite 2: environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) control                             | Required |
| Credit 1: outdoor air delivery monitoring                                             | 1        |
| Credit 2: increased ventilation                                                       | 1        |
| Credit 3.1 construction indoor air quality (IAQ) management plan, during construction | 1        |
| Credit 3.2: construction IAQ management plan, before occupancy                        | 1        |
| Credit 4.1: low-emitting materials, adhesives and sealants                            | 1        |
| Credit 4.2: low-emitting materials, paints and coatings                               | 1        |
| Credit 4.3: low-emitting materials, carpet systems                                    | 1        |
| Credit 4.4: low-emitting materials, composite wood and agrifiber products             | 1        |
| Credit 5: indoor chemical and pollutant source control                                | 1        |
| Credit 6.1: controllability of systems, lighting                                      | 1        |
| Credit 6.2: controllability of systems, thermal comfort                               | 1        |
| Credit 7.1: thermal comfort, design                                                   | 1        |
| Credit 7.2 thermal comfort, verification                                              | 1        |
| Credit 8.1: daylight and views, daylight 75% of spaces                                | 1        |
| Credit 8.2: daylight and views, views for 90% of spaces                               | 1        |

**Innovation and Design Process: 5 points**

|                                                        |   |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---|
| Credit 1.1: innovation in design (give specific title) | 1 |
| Credit 1.2: innovation in design (give specific title) | 1 |
| Credit 1.3: innovation in design (give specific title) | 1 |
| Credit 1.4: innovation in design (give specific title) | 1 |
| Credit 2: LEED-accredited professional                 | 1 |

Project total: 69 total points possible

Certified: 26–32 points; silver: 33–38 points; gold: 39–51 points; platinum: 52–69 points

Source: [www.usgbc.org](http://www.usgbc.org).

Note for EAc1: All projects registered after June 26, 2007, are required to achieve at least two points.

**TABLE 3.5**  
**Summary of Possible Points to Increase LEED Ratings of Buildings**

| Category                     | Total Points | Points Earned Using Concrete |
|------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|
| Sustainable sites            | 14           | 2                            |
| Water efficiency             | 5            | 0                            |
| Energy and atmosphere        | 17           | 10                           |
| Materials and resources      | 13           | 6                            |
| Indoor environmental quality | 15           | 0                            |
| Innovation credits           | 4            | 0                            |
| LEED-accredited professional | 1            | 0                            |
| Total                        | 69           | 18                           |

Source: Vangeem, M. G., and M. L. Marceau. 2002. Using concrete to maximize LEED™ points. *Concrete International* 24 (11): 69–73. With permission of American Concrete Institute.

- Buildings must be able to be deconstructed.
- Building components must be easy to disassemble.

It may be difficult to identify a material that fulfills all of the preceding requirements. In particular, the last rule of disassembly has not been considered in traditional building materials, except prefabricated steel structures. Disassembly also discourages the use of composite materials. It has been shown that, by using concrete, one can earn up to 18 points (out of the 26 required) toward a LEED-certified building (see Table 3.5).<sup>36</sup>

Green buildings adopt various strategies for water management: using low-flow or ultralow-flow plumbing fixtures and electronic controls and fixtures, substitution of alternative water sources (rainwater, reclaimed water, and gray water) for potable water, rainwater harvesting, xeriscaping, and use of other technologies and approaches that result in reduction of potable water consumption.<sup>2</sup>

**3.5.2 LEED-ND**

The existing LEED system is geared toward specific buildings, which earn points toward certification by using such green features as recycled building materials, pervious pavements, low-flush toilets, and green roofs. The USGBC recently approved new guidelines for leadership in energy and environmental design for neighborhood development (LEED-ND); introduced in April 2009, they give more weight to factors that affect energy efficiency and greenhouse gas emissions. Extra points are also given to buildings that deal with local environmental conditions such as low water use features in dry regions. LEED-ND adopts a new system where the credits are weighted according to life cycle analysis indicators. The LEED-ND rating system has 109 points, including nine prerequisites, compared with 69 points for LEED-NC.

LEED-ND is divided into four point categories: smart location and linkage, neighborhood pattern and design, green construction and technology, and innovation and design process. The number of points that a building will now get will be different for every building depending on its materials, their durability, etc.

Projects must be in a “smart” location near water and wastewater facilities. Developing on farmland or a floodplain is forbidden, compact development is a must, wetlands have to be preserved, and “imperiled” species must not be disturbed. Points toward certification can be gained for things such as wetland restoration (1 point), brownfield redevelopment (2 points), housing and jobs proximity (3 points), diversity of uses (4 points), and reduced car dependence (8 points). LEED-ND certification levels include certified (40–49 points), silver (50–59 points), gold (60– 79 points), and platinum (80–106 points).

3.5.3 GBI GREEN GLOBES™

Green Globes is an outgrowth of the building research establishment environmental assessment method (BREEAM), which was developed in the UK. It is a web-based assessment and rating tool for green building performance. It was developed in Canada, and is being introduced to the US market as an alternative to the US Green Building Council’s LEED rating system. This online tool was first developed by the Green Building Initiative (GBI), Canada, during 2000. It was revised and released in 2002 by a team of experts including representatives from Arizona State University, the Athena Institute, Building Owners and Managers Association of Canada (BOMA), and several federal departments (www.greenglobes.com).

Green Globes consists of a series of seven questionnaires on topics such as project management, site, energy, water, resources, emissions, and indoor environment. The questionnaire corresponds to a checklist with a total of 1,000 points listed in the seven categories listed previously (see Figure 3.16). Once each questionnaire is completed, the online system automatically generates a report, which contains a recommendation for improvement and additional supplementary information. The report also contains the overall score of the project as well as percentage scores in each




FIGURE 3.16 Distribution of points and percentage scores generated by Green Globes web tool. (From www.greenglobes.com. With permission.)

category as shown in Figure 3.16. Thus, it serves as a virtual consultant and provides instant feedback. The straightforward questionnaire format is easy to complete even if one does not have an environmental design background or experience. Note that the largest number of points is allocated to energy, followed by indoor environment.

Unlike LEED, however, the actual number of points available varies for different projects. Thus, Green Globes does not penalize projects for strategies that are not applicable. For example, points are available for exterior lighting to avoid glare and sky glow; however, if a project has no exterior lighting, the user can select the option “N/A,” which removes those points from the total number of available points.

Based on the percentage of points achieved, projects are assigned a rating of one or more green globes. In Canada, the ratings range from one to five green globes. However, in the United States, the lowest rating has been eliminated and the rest adjusted so that the highest rating is four globes. Independent third-party verification by a trained and licensed engineer or architect with significant training and experience is required before receiving the final rating. After reviewing the existing supporting documents (such as working drawings, building specifications, waste disposal plans, evidence of energy and life cycle modeling), the verifier may confirm the percentage of points obtained through the online assessment report.

It has to be noted that Green Globes is broader in its technical content than LEED since it allocates points for issues such as optimized use of space, acoustical comfort, and an integrated design process. Green Globes is unique in providing life cycle assessment tools that quantify the cradle-to-grave implication of building materials selection in terms of CO<sub>2</sub> emission potential, embodied primary energy, pollution of air and water, and weighted resource use on the environment.<sup>37</sup> It is difficult to compare the points achieved in LEED and Green Globes because they are organized differently. Moreover, the precise requirements of Green Globes are not transparent.

Several buildings have obtained Green Globes certification. The Integrated Learning Center at Queen’s University in Kingston, Ontario, designed by B+H Architects of Toronto, received a four-globe rating in 2004. More details about the energy-efficient systems, water conservation features, resources used, and source control of indoor pollutants of this building, as well other Green Globe-certified buildings, may be found at [www.thegbi.org](http://www.thegbi.org).

### 3.5.4 ENERGY STAR®

Energy Star is a joint program of the USEPA and the US Department of Energy which aims to assist industry to improve competitiveness through increased energy efficiency and reduced environmental impact. Energy Star provides guidance, energy management tools, and strategies for successful corporate energy management programs. With the help of Energy Star, Americans saved \$16 billion on their utility bills in 2007 alone, thus avoiding greenhouse gas emissions equivalent to those from 27 million cars ([www.energystar.gov](http://www.energystar.gov)).

Energy Star was introduced in 1992 as a voluntary labeling program designed to identify and promote energy-efficient products to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. This label (see Figure 3.17) may be found now on equipment and appliances including computers, refrigerators and freezers, washing machines, dish washers, air



**FIGURE 3.17** Energy Star® label.

conditioners, heating and cooling equipment, water heaters, home electronics, office equipment, lighting, etc. Such Energy Star-qualified products help save money and protect our environment by using energy more efficiently. The USEPA has recently extended the label to cover new homes and commercial and industrial buildings.

To earn the Energy Star label, a home must meet strict guidelines for energy efficiency set by the USEPA. These homes are at least 15% more energy efficient than homes built to the 2004 international residential code (IRC) and include additional energy-saving features that typically make them 20%–30% more efficient than standard homes. Any home with three stories or fewer can earn the Energy Star label if it has been verified to meet USEPA guidelines. Energy Star-qualified homes must include a variety of energy-efficient features (such as effective insulation, high-performance windows, tightly sealed building envelope and ducts, efficient heating and cooling equipment, and efficient products) that contribute to improved home quality and homeowner comfort, as well as to lower energy demand and reduced air pollution. Independent home energy raters are available to help users choose the most appropriate energy-saving features for their homes. Additionally, these third-party raters may be engaged to conduct onsite testing and inspections to verify the energy efficiency measures, as well as insulation, air tightness, and duct sealing details.

Considering the cement industry, the cost of energy as part of the total production cost is significant, warranting efforts for energy efficiency. Hence, an Energy Star guide for improving the energy efficiency of cement plants has been developed.<sup>38</sup> PCA member companies partnered with the USEPA and developed a cement plant energy performance indicator (EPI) to improve the industry's energy efficiency. The tool helps cement plant operators identify opportunities to improve energy efficiency, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, conserve conventional energy supplies, and reduce production costs. This rating tool also allows plants to assess how efficiently the plant uses energy relative to similar plants nationwide. The rating system provides a scale of 1–100; a rating of 50 indicates average energy performance, while a rating of 75 or more indicates good performance. Plants receiving an EPI score of 75 or higher are eligible to earn Energy Star recognition. This tool is available on the USEPA website ([www.energystar.gov](http://www.energystar.gov)).

### 3.5.5 GBTool

GBTool, now known as the sustainable building (SB) tool, is another performance assessment system developed by the International Initiative for a Sustainable Built

Environment (IISBE), an international nonprofit organization operating from Ottawa, Canada. This system, launched in 1996, is a framework operating on Excel that can be configured to suit almost any local condition or building type.

In GBTool, scores are assigned in the range of -2 to +5. The scores of -2 and -1 denote levels of performance below the acceptable level for the specified occupancy; the score of 0 is the minimum level of acceptable performance for specified occupancy. A score of 3 indicates best practice, and 5 is the best technically achievable, without consideration of cost (<http://greenbuilding.ca/>).

In order to evaluate the technical and social aspects of the resource flow of concrete, a resource flow simulation system called ecoMA has been developed in Japan. The system uses the concept of a multiagent system and is designed to focus on the decision-making dynamics between each company and government within the city scale so that social constraint of resource flow can be simulated properly. The system also uses the concept of graph theory to model the supply chain and time.<sup>39</sup>

It has to be noted that the existing green rating systems have been developed with little input from the concrete industry. Many of these rating systems confuse the use of concrete to achieve sustainability with the actual production of cement and techniques to reduce concrete's environmental footprint.<sup>37</sup> The beneficial effects, such as the thermal comfort provided by concrete due to its high thermal mass and CO<sub>2</sub> uptake during the operational phase of buildings, should be given proper consideration. (Thermal mass is discussed in Chapter 4.) LEED was developed with input from the wood and steel industries, but not from the concrete industry.<sup>37</sup> Moreover, in rating systems such as LEED, the main focus is on buildings only; infrastructure applications such as bridges, dams, pavements, and roads are not yet considered.

### 3.6 BUILDING CODES AND GREEN DEVELOPMENT\*

In their simplest form, the purpose of building codes is to ensure safe buildings. Building codes aim to provide minimum standards to safeguard life, health, property, and public welfare by regulating and controlling the design, construction, quality of materials, use and occupancy, location, and maintenance of all buildings, structures, and certain equipment within this jurisdiction. If successful, a building code can also preserve the built environment, reduce the need for government disaster aid, and maintain employment and businesses after a natural disaster. However, building codes have not historically addressed the environmental impact of buildings. Most building codes do not directly address issues such as climate change, water conservation, energy consumption, durability, storm-water impacts, and indoor environmental quality.

It is believed that the idea of a building code in the United States originated with George Washington and Thomas Jefferson, who encouraged the use of minimum standards of construction. It was not until 1905, however, when the first code as we know it today was developed by the Fire Underwriters Association.

In 1915, three organizations of code enforcement officials were created: Building Officials and Code Administrators (BOCA) International, International Conference

---

\* Erin Ashley and Lionel Lemay, both of the National Ready Mixed Concrete Association, contributed this section. Their assistance is gratefully acknowledged.

of Building Officials (ICBO), and the Standard Building Code Congress International (SBCCI). Each organization had its own model code. It was not until the International Code Council (ICC) was formed in 1994 as a nonprofit organization that a single set of comprehensive and coordinated national model construction codes was published.

As was mentioned previously, building codes have not historically addressed the environmental impact of buildings. Their focus has been on the soundness and safety of the built environment rather than on the impact that the buildings will have on the natural environment. One area where the model building codes have made some progress is the energy efficiency of buildings. Energy efficiency remains one of the most important aspects of sustainable development. Any minimum standards to improve energy efficiency of buildings could significantly reduce the environmental impact of our built environment.

### 3.6.1 ENERGY CODES

The energy crisis of the 1970s resulted in the creation of the first energy code in the United States. The 1992 Energy Policy Act (EPAct) charged the Department of Energy (DOE) to determine whether or not the 1992 Council of American Building Officials (CABO) Model Energy Code (MEC) and the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) Standard 90.1-1989, Energy Standard for Buildings except Low-Rise Residential Buildings, would improve energy efficiency for residential and commercial buildings, respectively. DOE did determine that these energy codes would improve energy efficiency in buildings.

The CABO MEC was last promulgated in 1995 and has since been replaced by the International Energy Conservation Code (IECC) published by the International Code Council; the most recent version was published in 2009. ASHRAE Standard 90.1 was most recently published in 2007. ASHRAE also publishes ASHRAE Standard 90.2, Energy-Efficient Design of Low-Rise Residential Buildings, which was most recently published in 2007. ASHRAE Standard 189.1, Standard for the Design of High-Performance Green Buildings except Low-Rise Residential Buildings, addresses site sustainability, water use efficiency, energy efficiency, indoor environmental quality (IEQ), and the building's impact on the atmosphere, materials, and resources.

States now must certify that their building codes meet the requirements in ASHRAE's 2004 energy efficiency standard, under a ruling issued by the United States Department of Energy (DOE) finding that the standard saves more energy than an earlier version. The following should be noted:

- Energy codes are becoming more stringent (saving more energy).
- Energy codes are moving to reduce greenhouse gasses.
- Energy codes will eventually require net-zero energy and carbon-neutral buildings.

### 3.6.2 ENERGY CODES AND CONCRETE

Energy codes generally dictate minimum requirements for a building's envelope, mechanics, and lighting. They do not encourage other energy-saving strategies such as

building orientation, limiting infiltration, planting trees for shading, and using passive solar design strategies. Minimum insulation levels for walls, roofs, and floors, as well as window requirements, are typically specified in the model codes and vary with climate region since more insulation is cost effective in cold or extremely hot regions.

The energy codes generally specify minimum requirements for thermal resistance (R-values) for walls and roofs. For walls with thermal mass (such as concrete), R-values are not a true indicator of energy performance. These materials have a relatively low R-value, yet buildings constructed with concrete walls, floors, and roofs perform well in most climates. In most climates, buildings with insulated mass walls will save energy compared to buildings without mass with the same R-value. In many southern and western climates, mass walls without insulation will perform as well as nonmass walls with insulation.<sup>40</sup>

### 3.6.3 OTHER SUSTAINABILITY STANDARDS

#### 3.6.3.1 ASTM

The American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) has produced numerous standards related to sustainability. These standards include testing methods and specifications for products that are potentially used in green building applications. For example, ASTM lists standards for fly ash, ground slag, and other industrial by-products as sustainability standards, even though these standards were developed long ago before green building or sustainability was a popular concept.

The ASTM Committee E60 on Sustainability, formally E06 on Performance of Buildings, has addressed sustainability in the building industry. In 2005, ASTM E2432-05, *Standard Guide for General Principles of Sustainability Relative to Buildings*, was published. The standard explains that, ideally, human activities would not require making trade-offs among environmental, economic, and social goals. However, the guide recognizes that in applying sustainability principles to buildings, decision makers must often balance opportunities and challenges associated with each of the general principles. The standard also identifies general methodologies associated with the decision-making process used in pursuing sustainability.<sup>41</sup>

In 2005, GBI became the first green building organization to be accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). In 2006, GBI began developing GBI Proposed ANSI 01-200XP: Green Building Assessment Protocol for Commercial Buildings. The ANSI process is consensus based and involves a balanced committee consisting of 30 users, producers, and interested parties. The proposed code will also reference two new software tools that were developed to support the goals of the proposed standard: Green Globes LCA Credit Calculator and Green Globes Water Consumption Calculator. The code was formally approved on March 24, 2010.

#### 3.6.3.2 ACI

The American Concrete Institute (ACI), the main code-writing body for concrete in the United States, has recently recognized the need for addressing sustainability in the standards it produces and to educate its members on the subject. In its latest strategic plan, ACI identified sustainability as one of its five main goals.

In 2000, ACI formed the Board Advisory Committee on Sustainable Development with the mission to develop and recommend policies and develop information on sustainable concrete development for the Institute. One outcome of this committee was the formation of the ACI Sustainability of Concrete Committee, ACI 130, in 2008.<sup>42</sup> Its mission is to develop and report information on the sustainability of concrete with the goals of:

- Completing a document on concrete sustainability
- Assisting other technical committees in adding sustainability content to technical documents
- Beginning holding regular workshops and sessions on sustainability in coordination with other committees
- Beginning development of an ecocalculator specific to concrete

### 3.6.3.3 ISO

The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) has published a series of standards for the environmental management of goods and services.<sup>43</sup> Only recently it published ISO 15392:2008, ISO 15686-6:2004, ISO/TS 21929-1:2006, ISO 21930:2007, and ISO/TS 21931-1:2006, which provide frameworks with reference to structures.<sup>44–48</sup>

ISO 15392:2008 is applicable to buildings and other construction works individually and collectively, as well as to the materials, products, services, and processes related to the life cycle of buildings and other construction works.

ISO 15686-6:2004 describes how to assess, at the design stage, the potential environmental impacts of alternative designs of a constructed asset. It identifies the interface between environmental life cycle assessment and service life planning (SLP).

ISO/TS 21929-1:2006 provides a framework, makes recommendations, and gives guidelines for the development and selection of appropriate sustainability indicators for buildings.

ISO 21930:2007, *Sustainability in Building Construction—Environmental Declaration of Building Products*, describes the principles and framework for environmental declarations of building products, taking into consideration the complete life cycle of a building. ISO 21930 is expected to form the basis for type III environmental declaration programs of building products as described in ISO 14025:2006, *Environmental Labels and Declarations—Type III Environmental Declarations—Principles and Procedures*.

The overall goal of environmental declarations in this sector is to encourage the demand for and supply of building products that cause less stress on the environment, through communication of verifiable and accurate information on environmental aspects of those building products that is not misleading, thereby stimulating the potential for market-driven continual environmental improvement.

ISO/TS 21931:2006 provides a general framework for improving the quality and comparability of methods for assessing the environmental performance of buildings.

ISO 14000 is a group of standards that addresses environmental management and pollution prevention.

ISO 14001 is a standard for environmental management systems to be implemented in any business, including concrete producers, concrete product manufacturers, cement manufacturers—or any building product manufacturer, for that matter. And although the standard is not exactly related to green building, adoption of these standards by building product manufacturers and contractors could reduce the overall environmental impact of the built environment.

### 3.6.4 GREEN BUILDING RATING SYSTEMS

As mentioned already, rating systems such as LEED, Green Globes, NAHB Green Building Standard, and Energy Star have been designed as voluntary standards and have not been written in the mandatory language typically associated with building codes and standards. However, many local jurisdictions have adopted these rating systems requiring building to meet a certain rating. As a result, these rating systems have in effect become codes.

It will be interesting to see over time how the courts handle these particular cases. For example, if a building is required to be designed to LEED gold certification but, during the design, decisions are made that render the building to become LEED silver certified, does the building owner have the right to sue the designer? Is the designer or building owner criminally liable for this shortcoming and what will be the penalty? Will the building be prohibited from opening as a result?

### 3.6.5 THE FUTURE

A performance-based environmental design method has been developed recently by Task Group 3.6 of the International Federation for Structural Concrete (*fib*) Commission 3.<sup>49</sup> This document is intended for incorporation into existing codes or specifications. It provides general principles applicable to the design, construction, use, maintenance, dismantling, and disposal of concrete structures. It is applicable to both new and existing concrete structures. Performance requirements cover global issues such as generation of GHG and consumption of resources, regional issues such as use and pollution of water and soil, and other issues such as dust, noise, and vibration control. The environmental performance of structures is verified using life cycle assessments.<sup>50</sup> America's first green building code was released by the International Code Council in February 2009 and contains chapters on green building, planning and design, energy efficiency, water efficiency and conservation, material conservation and resource efficiency, and environmental quality. It is unclear at this point whether the provisions of the “green” code will be incorporated as mandated material into the building code.

Currently, it is up to the building owner to provide for a sustainable structure. As it stands, the purpose of the building code remains the same: to provide a safe building for the occupants. It has not yet been able to incorporate the many aspects

of construction that degrade our environment and deplete our precious natural resources. However, the trend is moving in the direction of having building codes address sustainability in some way.

### 3.7 BROWNFIELD REDEVELOPMENT

*Brownfields* may be defined as sites that are abandoned, derelict, idled, or under-used industrial and commercial facilities where expansion or redevelopment is complicated by real or perceived environmental contamination.<sup>51,52</sup> They are mainly in fully or partly developed urban areas, may require intervention by environmental agencies to bring them back to beneficial use, and have been affected by former uses of the site or surrounding land.

Thus, brownfields are lands previously used for industrial purposes or certain commercial uses, which might have been contaminated by low concentrations of hazardous waste or pollution, but have the potential to be reused once they are cleaned up. Lands that are more severely contaminated and have high concentrations of hazardous waste or pollution may not fall under the classification of brownfields. In contrast to brownfields, *grayfields* are another form of urban property, which may have blighted or obsolete building on land that might not have been contaminated. According to the Congress of New Urbanism, former or declining malls can be classified as grayfields.<sup>2</sup>

Important issues concerned with Brownfield redevelopment may include

- Technical identification of the extent and forms of contamination
- Identification of appropriate remediation techniques
- Creation of interactive and inclusive systems of governance and policy making
- Mechanisms to encourage the mobilization of important shareholders such as real-estate developers and local communities

Often these issues have been dealt with in isolation.<sup>52</sup> An integrated approach is required to develop brownfield redevelopment, involving people working in engineering, construction management, property and real estate, development planning, science, and social science. Support from industry, civic associations, and national and local governments is also required.

Brownfield redevelopment has become an important policy in several developed countries. Virtually every major city within the United States is burdened by brownfields. An estimated 100,000–500,000 brownfields in the United States are abandoned or underutilized. An estimated 64,000 ha of brownfields are in the UK. Several other countries, such as Canada, the Netherlands, and Germany, also face similar brownfield issues. Brownfields vary in size, location, age, and past use. They can range from a small, abandoned corner gas station to a large former manufacturing plant that has been closed for years. Historically, the contamination of lands and buildings has spawned environmental concerns, discouraging many developers from taking on brownfield redevelopment. The cleanup and development of contaminated lands is further complicated by strict environmental laws.

However, thanks to current economic development and regulatory incentives to support sustainable development, brownfield redevelopment activity is helping reduce

urban decay and reignite growth and investment in local communities throughout the United States. The USEPA began a brownfields redevelopment program during the mid-1990s, giving \$200,000 grants to 300 cities and other jurisdictions.

Redevelopment of brownfields into hubs of economic activity will create new jobs and revenues and also result in<sup>50</sup>

- Restoring urban property to productive use, thus increasing property values
- Increased job opportunities and local tax revenues
- Improved public health and environment
- Utilization of existing public infrastructure
- Eliminating neighborhood blight, thus improving a community's image and long-term sustainability

The major concern in development is the extent of risk to the public posed by environmental contamination of brownfields. Depending upon the amount and type of contamination, the affected soil may be removed, water purified or concrete or other impermeable layer placed on top of the land, and restrictions placed on future use of the land. Research is under way to see if some brownfields can be used to grow crops, specifically for the production of biofuels. Many brownfield sites are located in poverty-stricken minority neighborhoods, and hence brownfield redevelopment is an important issue concerning environmental justice. Many brownfield sites are close to urban areas and thoroughfares such as highways and rivers; their reclamation can therefore be a major asset to a city.

Investigation and cleanup of brownfield sites in the United States are largely regulated by state environmental agencies in cooperation with the USEPA. The rules and regulation for cleanup may differ significantly from state to state. The USEPA, together with local and national government, provides technical assistance and some funding for assessment and cleanup of brownfields, as well as tax incentives for cleanup.

Remedial techniques employed to remove contamination may include the following:<sup>50,53</sup>

- Bioremediation (which uses naturally occurring microbes in soils and groundwater)
- In situ oxidation (which uses oxygen or oxidant chemicals to enhance a cleanup)
- Soil vapor extraction (in which vapor from the soil/water is extracted and treated)
- Phytoremediation (in which deep-rooted plants are grown at the site, removed after maturity, and disposed of as hazardous waste because they may have heavy metal contaminants in their tissues)\*

Successful brownfield redevelopment requires integrated risk management planning from project conception through construction and cost recovery. Several

---

\* Phytoremediation describes the treatment of environmental problems (bioremediation) through the use of plants that mitigate the environmental problem without the need to excavate the contaminant material and dispose of it elsewhere.



**FIGURE 3.18** The Waterfront, the sprawling entertainment, retail, and residential complex in Homestead, Pennsylvania, was once a brownfield. (Photo by Matt Freed of the *Post-Gazette*.)

examples of brownfield redevelopment projects have taken place in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, where numerous former steel mill sites were successfully converted into high-end residences, shopping, and offices. Some of these include the site formerly occupied by Carnegie Steel, which was converted into a successful commercial center called Waterfront, in Homestead, Pennsylvania (Figure 3.18), and a former slag dump for steel mills that was turned into a residential development called Summerset at Frick Park, in Pittsburgh's Squirrel Hill neighborhood.

Yet another category of abandoned lands is *blackfields*, which are abandoned coal and other mines. However, another classification, called *greenfields*, has experienced little or no development activities. Greenfields may also represent agricultural lands and are generally believed, sometimes incorrectly, not to be contaminated.

Comprehensive information about the range of available innovative technologies and technical expertise is available from the USEPA's Brownfields and Land Revitalization Technology Support Center (BTSC).

The LEED-NC 2.2 building assessment standard provides credit for the use of a brownfield/blackfield as a building site, whereas the proposed LEED-ND provides two points. Even if the site is not officially designated as brownfield, the credit can be earned if the project team can convince the USEPA and get its willingness in writing to consider the site as brownfield.<sup>2</sup> According to the ASCE, redevelopment of brownfield sites over the past 5 years generated an estimated 191,338 new jobs and \$408 million annually in extra revenues to localities.

### 3.8 GREEN HIGHWAYS

The rating systems discussed up to now pertain mostly to buildings. Transportation facilities such as highways, in particular, use large quantities of materials in initial construction and also during periodic rehabilitation. Recycling industrial by-products

and construction materials in highway construction can help generate “green highways” where use of virgin materials and large amounts of energy is avoided. As a part of efforts to mitigate the negative impact of infrastructure, the Green Highway Partnership (GHP) was developed in 2002. Similar groups have been formed in other countries such as Canada, Brazil, and Norway, in addition to the United States.<sup>55</sup> GHP comprises state and federal agencies (USEPA and USFHA) and an extensive network of environmental, industrial collaborators working toward the development of green surface transportation systems. It is an effort to develop green highways through concepts such as *integrated planning*, *regulatory flexibility*, *community partnering*, and *market-based rewards*, in order to improve safety and functionality. Because the strategies to be used may differ from project to project and location to location, GHP partners have developed the following list of characteristics that apply to green highways:<sup>54</sup>


- Achieve goals through voluntary participation and public/private partnerships
- Provide net increase in environmental functions and values of the watershed
- Exceed minimum standards prescribed in environmental laws and regulations
- Identify and protect cultural and historic landmarks
- Map all resources with a view to identifying and protecting critical resources
- Use innovative and natural methods to reduce and cleanse runoff
- Protect hydrology of wetlands and stream channels
- Maximize use of existing transportation infrastructure by providing multimodal transportation options and promoting public or ride-sharing transportation
- Use recycled materials wherever possible
- Promote the growth of native species and control populations of invasive species
- Promote wildlife corridors and reduce disruptions to ecological processes
- Ensure environmental results by incorporating postproject monitoring
- Encourage smart growth through integration with ecological constraints

To develop a green highway, GHP would take these characteristics, plus many others, into consideration and implement only those that are relevant and feasible for the specific transportation project.

A green highway integrates transportation functionality and ecological sustainability. Three focal points of GHP result in environmental streamlining and stewardship into all aspects of the highway life cycle:

- To build with permeable materials that provide superior storm-water management, thus preventing metals and toxins from leaching into streams and rivers
- To construct with recycled materials, thereby reducing landfill usage
- To design using cutting-edge technologies to protect critical habitats and ecosystems

The technologies that are used by GHP are illustrated in Figure 3.19:<sup>54</sup>



**FIGURE 3.19** Technologies involved in green highways. (From <http://www.greenhighways.org>; accessed July 10, 2009. Photo: Tony Clevenger.)

1. **Bioretention.** This process utilizes soils and both woody and herbaceous plants to remove pollutants from storm-water runoff. Runoff from highways is conveyed as sheet flow to the treatment area, which consists of a grass buffer strip, sand bed, ponding area, organic layer or mulch layer, planting soil, and plants.
2. **Porous pavement.** This permeable pavement surface with an underlying stone reservoir temporarily stores surface runoff before infiltrating into the sub-soil. Porous pavement helps to recharge groundwater and alleviates flooding and contamination of water bodies (see Chapter 5 for more details).
3. **Environmentally friendly concrete pavement and use of industrial by-products in sub-base and embankments.** Highways constructed from traditional concrete leach toxins into the surrounding ecosystems. Coal combustion products such as fly ash, blast furnace slag, reclaimed pavement materials, and many other industrial waste materials are used as highway construction materials. Use of such industrial by-products can save virgin resources, reduce energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions, and reduce the need for landfill space and new landfills.<sup>55</sup> In addition, foundry by-products (FBPs) such as foundry sand and foundry slag are used increasingly in highway embankments, retaining wall backfills, sub-base for pavements, and hydraulic barrier layers.<sup>55</sup> Shredded tires are also used as backfill in earthen structures, embankment over soft ground, or backfill behind retaining structures. However, unlike with natural earthen materials, caution should be exercised when using by-products and their potential for pollution should be assessed in the context of the given environment and application.<sup>55</sup>
4. **Development of riparian forest buffers.** These buffers play an important role in maintaining the health of watersheds. They are areas of forested land adjacent to streams, rivers, marshes, or shoreline and form the transition between land and water environments. Riparian forest buffers not only improve water quality but also provide habitat for wildlife and fish.

5. Wetland restoration by passive or active approaches. Passive approaches can be adopted when the site under consideration still retains basic wetland characteristics and the source of the degradation can be stopped. However, when wetland is severely degraded, active methods such as recontouring a site to the desired topography, changing the water flow using water-control structures (such as weirs or culverts), intensive planting and seeding, intensive non-native species control, and bringing soils to the site to provide the proper substrate for native species have to be adopted. Active methods may be time consuming and expensive.
6. Stream restoration. To halt the activities causing degradation of the ecosystem is the first and most critical step in implementing restoration. Restoration actions may be passive (e.g., attenuation of chronic disturbance activities) or active (intervention and installation of measures to repair damages).
7. Development of wildlife crossings. Wildlife crossings have been developed as a solution to minimize the death of millions of birds, reptiles, mammals, and amphibians and to reduce animal–vehicle collisions. These bridges, culverts, tunnels, and barriers redirect animals over, under, or around the highway and greatly reduce the risk of vehicular collision.
8. Soil amendments. Compared to compacted, unamended soils, amended soils provide greater infiltration and subsurface storage and thereby help to reduce a site's overall runoff volume, thus helping to maintain the predevelopment peak discharge rate and timing.


### 3.9 CASE STUDIES

Several examples can be cited for the successful implementation of sustainability principles; a few outstanding case studies are presented here.

#### 3.9.1 SYMPHONY TOWER, ATLANTA

This 41-story, 196.5-m-tall, 62,245-m<sup>2</sup> reinforced concrete office tower, located in the heart of midtown Atlanta, Georgia (1180 Peachtree, also known as the Symphony Tower), was designed by Pickard Chilton Architects (structural engineer: Thornton-Tomasetti Engineers, NY) and was completed in February 2006. It is the first high-rise office building in the world to be precertified for silver status in the LEED Core and Shell Development and the second to be awarded LEED-CS Gold status, satisfying more than 30 green and high-performance requirements (see Figure 3.20a). The property offers several sustainable design strategies:

- High-performance glass enclosure with projected vertical mullions to mitigate solar gain
- Natural-light photometric sensors to reduce perimeter artificial lighting
- Unique water-management system using captured and stored storm water and condensate from the building's mechanical system to provide 100% of the project's irrigation water



**FIGURE 3.20** Two LEED-certified concrete buildings: (a) the 41-story reinforced concrete office tower in Atlanta; (b) CII—Sohrabji Godrej Green Business Center, Hyderabad, India.

- Highly efficient HVAC systems in which outside air delivered via the pre-conditioned air system is measured and controlled in air-monitoring stations with changeable set points
- A nonaccessible green roof at the 18th level and a shading veil on the 41st level roof to reduce the heat island effect
- Mechanical systems capable of controlling humidity levels, which control mold and mildew
- Incorporation of recycled materials and the use of certified woods

### 3.9.2 SOHRABJI GODREJ GREEN BUSINESS CENTER, HYDERABAD, INDIA

The CII Sohrabji Godrej Green Business Center, Hyderabad, India, designed by Indian architect Karan Grover, received the prestigious “platinum” rating from the USGBC in 2003. This was the first platinum-rated green building outside the United States and also the first in India (see Figure 3.20b). The sustainable features of this building are presented here:<sup>56</sup>

- This 1900-m<sup>2</sup> building has a courtyard, meant for cultural functions, that provides for light and climate control. All enclosed spaces are coupled with smaller open courts encircling this larger courtyard. These courtyards act as “light wells” illuminating adjacent work areas.
- Sensors and dimmers are used to control the illumination levels automatically. In addition, traditional *jali*, or lattice wall, is used for the efficient use of natural light. With these features, a lighting energy savings of 88% is achieved compared to an electrically lit building of the same size.
- A rooftop grid of solar photovoltaic cells provides about 24 kW, or about 16% of the building’s electricity needs. Two wind towers and the heavily insulated roof further reduce the cooling load.

- Water conservation measures include provision of permeable pavements and a water pond recycling waste water using root-zone treatment, which uses specially selected plants and weeds as filters, and use of low-flush toilets and waterless urinals.
- The building is located near a public transportation station, has facilities for bicycle riders, and uses electrically driven cars. The design, siting, and construction documented a reduction of 62% reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> and other GHG.
- Sixty-six percent (by cost) of the material was sourced within a radius of 500 miles. Of this, 95% of the raw material was extracted or harvested locally. Of the building materials, 77% used recycled content in the form of fly ash, broken glass, broken tiles, recycled paper, recycled aluminum, cinder from industrial furnaces, bagasse, mineral fibers, cellulose fibers, and quarry dust.
- The building reused a significant amount of material salvaged from other construction sites. A waste management plan ensured that 96% of construction waste was recycled.


The Wipro Technologies Development Center (WTDC) in Gurgaon, India, is the largest platinum-rated green building in Asia that has been commended by the USGBC. The new assembly building in Chennai has become the first assembly building in the world to be designed and constructed as a green building and has received gold certification from the Indian Green Building Council (IGBC).

### 3.9.3 THE PEARL RIVER TOWER, CHINA

The 71-story, 309.6-m-tall Pearl River Tower, in Guangzhou, China, has been designed as the most energy efficient of the entire world's super-tall structures; it has a footprint of 214,100 m<sup>2</sup> and was completed in 2011. Designed by Skidmore, Owings and Merrill LLP (SOM) of Chicago, it is expected to consume approximately 58% less energy than a traditional structure of the same size and to serve as a model for future carbon-neutral towers.<sup>57</sup> The tower features both active and passive approaches to limiting carbon emissions through new technologies.

The building's form guides wind to a pair of 6 × 6.8-m-size openings at levels 24 and 48. The wind flowing through the openings helps drive vertical-axis wind turbines that generate energy required for the heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning systems. The openings also function as pressure relief valves by allowing wind to pass through the building instead of applying pressure on it (see Figure 3.21). Thus, the wind loads on the building are reduced. The facades have been designed to decrease the drag forces and optimize the wind velocity flowing through these openings. Note that in contrast to the normal practice of placing the narrower sides pointing toward the prevailing wind, the broad sides of the building are aligned perpendicular to the prevailing winds to harness the wind power.

The building has been designed to generate enough renewable power to meet its energy demands by using wind and solar energies and also by reusing the generated energy. This is done by the following methodologies:<sup>57</sup>



**FIGURE 3.21** Zero energy Pearl River Tower in Guangzhou, China. (a) Architect's image; (b) wind passing through tower, reducing the wind pressure on the tower. (From [www.som.com](http://www.som.com).)

- Orienting the building to take advantage of midday sun, the north- and south-side facades are internally ventilated by a high-performance, active doubly glazed wall system; the east- and west-side walls are provided with triply glazed facades. The south-side facade reduces heat gain, which leads to less demand on the HVAC systems.
- Energy is reclaimed by routing each floor's exhaust air into the south side's double-layer curtain-wall cavity. This thermal barrier of hot, dry air can then be reused on the mechanical floor for passive dehumidification.
- A chilled slab-concrete, vaulted ceiling system cools the air drifting up from the under-floor ventilation system, and the thermal mass of the concrete is used for energy storage. This system reduces energy used for cooling by 40% compared to a conventional HVAC system.
- A geothermal heat sink is used to provide cooling water, reducing the size of the mechanical plant by about 30%.
- Maximizing the use of natural lighting through controls that respond to light and integrating into a system of automated blinds, the building uses a low-energy, high-efficiency lighting system.
- To achieve the final goal of net zero energy, the design team incorporated three power-generating technologies: wind, integrated photovoltaic cells, and microturbines.

As mentioned, the tower's curvilinear structure helps force air through four turbine inlets in the facade to activate the turbines. These are estimated to produce

nearly 15 times more electricity than a typical stand-alone wind generator. Solar photovoltaic cells, located in an asymmetrical arrangement at the roof level, provide electricity as well as function as a solar shade to fend off the negative effects of direct solar radiation. The building envelope also contains photovoltaic cells. Microturbines will not be installed until the Guangzhou utility decides to connect them to the local electric grid so that the excess energy generated can be transferred and sold to the city's electric grid.

It is of interest to note that SOM is in the process of designing and constructing more than 30 projects involving sustainable design, including 7 World Trade Center, New York; the Chicago 2016 Olympic Master Plan; the 56-story Jinao Tower, China; and the US Census Bureau Headquarters, Suitland, Maryland.

Recently, Leung and Weismantle conducted a hypothetical investigation on the energy consumption of residential buildings similar in height to that of Burj Khalifa (about 1 km) and situated in Dubai.<sup>58</sup> Their study revealed that environmental variations with altitude, such as reduction in air temperature, pressure, and humidity with increased height, can significantly contribute to the sustainability of tall buildings. Using the midlevel floor of Burj Khalifa as an example, they showed that the total cooling load reduction at summer peak design hours can be as much as 11% by just including temperature and air density variations.

### 3.10 SUMMARY

Our planet is at peril due to a number of factors, including population explosion, urbanization, excessive energy use and associated global warming, water scarcity, and inefficient waste management. A number of solutions have been proposed for sustainability. A few of the sustainable solutions are discussed. The construction industry consumes 40% of the total energy and about one-half of the world's major resources. Hence, it is imperative to regulate the use of materials and energy in this industry. Green building rating systems such as LEED and Green Globes certification have been evolved for sustainability of the construction industry. Life cycle costing and life cycle management of resources play an important role in the development of sustainable construction. However, unless the means of making these green buildings affordable for the common man are developed, we cannot attain full sustainability.

A truly green building should be energy efficient, incorporate concrete that contains the least amount of Portland cement, and use large volumes of supplementary cementitious materials and recycled aggregates. Sustainable construction also requires the conversion of brownfields into construction sites; it is imperative to apply sustainability concepts not only to buildings, but also to other infrastructure developments. In this respect, the development of GHP should be recognized and appreciated. The emergence of "zero-energy" buildings and sustainable structures will reduce dependence on fossil fuels, which is the main cause of global warming.

### REFERENCES

1. Kim, J.-J. 2002. Introduction to sustainable design. *Masterbuilder* 3 (6): 34–44.

2. Kibert, C. J. 2005. *Sustainable construction: Green building design and delivery*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 434 pp.
3. World Urbanization Prospects: The 2007 revision population database. Population Division, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, United Nations. <http://www.un.org/esa/population/publications/WUP2005/2005wup.htm> (accessed July 8, 2009).
4. Silver, J. 2008. *Global warming and climate change Demystified—A self-teaching guide*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 289 pp.
5. Endersbee, L. 1989. Global changes and new challenges for civil engineers. *Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering*, ASCE 115 (1): 29–44.
6. Smil, V. 2006. *Energy—A beginner's guide*. Oxford, England: Oneworld Publications, 181 pp. (also see [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Energy\\_development](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Energy_development)).
7. The editors of *Scientific American Magazine*. 2007. *Oil and the future of energy: Climate repair, hydrogen, nuclear fuel, renewable and green sources, energy efficiency*. Guilford, CT: The Lyons Press, 239 pp.
8. Energy Information Administration. Official Energy Statistics from the US government, [www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/consumption/](http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/consumption/)
9. Mirolli, M. D. 2005. The Kalina cycle for cement kiln waste heat recovery power plants. *Cement Industry Technical Conference, Conference Record, IEEE*, May 15–20, pp. 330–336.
10. A thirsty world. [http://www.unesco.org/courier/2001\\_10/uk/doss02.htm](http://www.unesco.org/courier/2001_10/uk/doss02.htm) (accessed July 10, 2009).
11. Scientific facts on water: State of the resource. GreenFacts website. <http://www.greenfacts.org/en/water-resources/index.htm#2> (accessed July 8, 2008).v
12. World Resources Institute. <http://earthtrends.wri.org/updates/node/264> (accessed Feb. 12, 2009).
13. Lampman, W. 1995. Susceptibility of groundwater to pesticide and nitrate contamination in predisposed areas of southwestern Ontario. *Water Quality Research Journal, Canada* 30:443–468.
14. ACI Committee 522. 2006. Pervious concrete (ACI 522R-06). Farmington Hills, MI: American Concrete Institute, 25 pp.
15. Leming, M. L., H. R. Malcom, and P. D. Tennis. 2007. Hydrologic design of pervious concrete. Skokie, IL: Portland Cement Association, 72 pp.
16. National Ready Mixed Concrete Association. [www.nrmca.org/greenconcrete/](http://www.nrmca.org/greenconcrete/) (accessed July 8, 2009).
17. Pervious concrete. [www.perviouspavement.org/](http://www.perviouspavement.org/) (accessed July 15, 2009).
18. Campioli, A., and M. Lavagna. 2007. Life cycle design in building and construction sector. *Third International Conference on Life Cycle Management*, Zurich, Aug. 27–29.
19. Shima, H., H. Tateyashiki, R. Matsushashi, and Y. Yoshida. 2005. An advanced concrete recycling technology and its applicability assessment through input–output analysis. *Journal of Advanced Concrete Technology* 3 (1): 53–67.
20. Kerkhoff, B., and E. Siebel. 2001. Properties of concrete with recycled aggregates—Parts 1 and 2. *Beton* 2:47–50, 105–108.
21. Naik, T. R. 2002. Greener concrete using recycled materials. *Concrete International* 24 (7): 45–49.
22. King, A. W. et al., eds. 2008. The first state of the carbon cycle report (SOCCR): The North American carbon budget and implications for the global carbon cycle. Asheville, NC: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Climatic Data Center, 242 pp.
23. Higgins, D. 2006. Sustainable concrete: How can additions contribute? The Institute of Concrete Technology, UK, Annual Technical Symposium, March 28.
24. <http://www.newscientist.com/article/dn18885-green-machine-cementing-greener-construction.html> (accessed Dec. 4, 2010).

25. Portland Cement Association. 2008. Report on sustainable manufacturing. [www.cement.org/smreport08/index.htm](http://www.cement.org/smreport08/index.htm)
26. Mehta, P. K. 2009. Global concrete industry sustainability—Tools for moving forward to cut carbon emissions. *Concrete International* 31 (2): 45–48.
27. Malhotra, V. M. 2002. High-performance high-volume fly ash concrete—An environmentally friendly solution to the infrastructure needs of developing countries. *Concrete International* 24 (7): 30–34.
28. Davidovits, J. 1994. High-alkali cements for 21st century concretes. In *Concrete Technology, Past, Present and Future*, Proceedings of V. Mohan Malhotra Symposium, ed. P. Kumar Metha, ACI SP 144:383–397.
29. Duxson, P., J. L. Provis, G. C. Lukey, and J. S. J. van Deventer. 2007. The role of inorganic polymer technology in the development of green concrete. *Cement and Concrete Research* 37 (12): 1590–1597.
30. Rangan, B. V. 2008. Low-calcium fly ash-based geopolymer concrete. In *Concrete construction engineering handbook*, 2nd ed., ed. E. G. Nawy, chap. 26. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
31. Rangan, B. V. 2009. Engineering properties of geopolymer concrete. In *Geopolymers: Structures, processing, properties, and applications*, ed. J. Provis and J. van Deventer, chap. 13. London: Woodhead Publishing Limited.
32. Chusid, M. 2006. Words you should know: Photocatalysis, depollution. *Precast Solutions Magazine* Fall: 17–21 (<http://www.chusid.com/pdf/essroc.pdf>).
33. Barbesta, M., and D. Schaffer. 2009. Concrete that cleans itself and the air—Photocatalytic cement helps oxidize pollutants. *Concrete International* 31 (2): 49–51.
34. Swamy, R. N. 2003. Holistic design: Key to sustainability in concrete construction. *Indian Concrete Journal* 77 (9): 1291–1299.
35. Building and Fire Research Laboratory, National Institute of Science and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD. [www.bfrl.nist.gov/oe/software/bees.html](http://www.bfrl.nist.gov/oe/software/bees.html)
36. Vangeem, M. G., and M. L. Marceau. 2002. Using concrete to maximize LEED points. *Concrete International* 24 (11): 69–73.
37. Sakai, K., and D. Sordyl. 2009. ACI St. Louis workshop on sustainability. Planning for the effects of green building and international standards. *Concrete International* 31 (2): 34–38.
38. Worrell, E., and C. Galitsky. 2004. Energy efficiency improvements opportunities for cement making. An Energy Star guide for energy and plant managers. Energy Analysis Dept., Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, University of California at Berkeley, 70 pp.
39. Nagai, H., T. Noguchi, M. Kanematsu, S. Fujimoto, and R. Kitagaki. 2007. Resource-flow simulation in concrete-related industries by using ecoMA. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Sustainable Building Asia*, June 27–29, Seoul, Korea, pp. 287–292.
40. Vangeem, M. G. 2010. Energy codes and standards. Whole building design guide. National Institute of Building Sciences, Washington, DC.
41. [www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org)
42. [www.concrete.org](http://www.concrete.org)
43. [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)
44. ISO 15392:2008. Sustainability in building construction—General principles. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, 2008, 20 pp.
45. ISO 15686-6:2004. Buildings and constructed assets—Service life planning. Part 6: Procedures for considering environmental impacts. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, 2004, 10 pp.
46. ISO 21930:2007. Sustainability in building construction—Environmental declaration of building products. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, 2007, 26 pp.

47. ISO/TS 21929-1:2006. Sustainability in building construction—Sustainability indicators. Part 1: Framework for development of indicators for building. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, 2006, 16 pp.
48. ISO/TS 21931-1:2006. Sustainability in building construction—Framework for methods of assessment for environmental performance of construction works. Part 1: Buildings. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, 19 pp.
49. fib Commission 3, Task Group 3.6. 2008. Environmental design of concrete structures—General principles. *fib Bulletin* 47, International Federation for Structural Concrete, Lausanne, Switzerland, 48 pp.
50. Road map to understanding innovative technology options for brownfields investigation and cleanup 2005, 4th ed. US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC. <http://www.brownfieldstsc.org/pdfs/Roadmap.pdf> (accessed July 18, 2009).
51. United States Environmental Protection Agency. [www.epa.gov/brownfields](http://www.epa.gov/brownfields) (accessed July 20, 2009).
52. Dixon, T., M. Raco, P. Catney, and D. N. Lerner, eds. 2007. *Sustainable brownfield regeneration: Liveable places from problem spaces*. Oxford, UK: Wiley-Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 400 pp.
53. <http://bri.gsa.gov/brownfields/home> (accessed July 28, 2009).
54. <http://www.greenhighways.org> (accessed July 10, 2009).
55. Edil, T. B. 2006. Green highways: Strategy for recycling materials for sustainable construction practices. *Seventh International Congress on Advances in Civil Engineering*, Oct. 11–13, Istanbul, Turkey: Yildiz Technical University, 20 pp.
56. Jadhav, R. 2004. LEEDing green in India. *Architectural Week* Sept. 22, E1.1.
57. Frechette, R. E., III, and R. Gilchrist. 2009. Seeking zero energy. *Civil Engineering*, ASCE 79 (1): 38–47.
58. Leung, L., and P. Weismantle. 2008. Sky-sourced sustainability—The potential environmental advantages of building tall. *Structural Design of Tall and Special Buildings* 17 (5): 929–940.

## ADDITIONAL READING

- ACI Committee 522. 2008. Specifications for pervious concrete pavement (ACI 522.1-08). Farmington Hills, MI: American Concrete Institute, 7 pp.
- California Green Building Standards Code (title 24, part 11). 2009. California Building Standards Commission, International Code Council, 79 pp.
- Humphreys, K., and M. Mahasenan. 2002. Toward a sustainable cement industry, climate change. Sub-study 8. World Business Council for Sustainable Development.
- National Institute of Hydrology. Water resources of India. <http://www.nih.ernet.in/water.htm> (accessed July 8, 2008).
- Philip, C. 2002. Design for disassembly: An architectural strategy for sustainability. Doctoral diss., Brisbane, Australia, School of Design and Built Environment, Queensland University of Technology.
- Subramanian, N. 2007. Sustainability—Challenges and solutions. *Indian Concrete Journal* 81 (12): 39–50.
- Tomosawa, F., T. Noguchi, and M. Tamura. 2005. The way concrete recycling should be. *Journal of Advanced Concrete Technology*, Japan Concrete Institute 3 (1): 3–16.



---

# 4 Sustainability through Thermal Mass of Concrete

*William Juhl*

## CONTENTS

|         |                                                          |     |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 4.1     | Introduction .....                                       | 89  |
| 4.2     | Thermal Mass and Energy-Efficient Building Systems ..... | 90  |
| 4.3     | Concrete Wall Systems .....                              | 91  |
| 4.3.1   | Precast Concrete .....                                   | 91  |
| 4.3.2   | Cast-in-Place Concrete Systems .....                     | 92  |
| 4.3.2.1 | Insulating Concrete Form Systems .....                   | 93  |
| 4.4     | Design of a Concrete Wall System .....                   | 96  |
| 4.5     | Energy Exchange .....                                    | 97  |
| 4.6     | Design of Energy System in Thermal Walls .....           | 99  |
| 4.7     | Residential Case Study (Anecdotal) .....                 | 100 |
| 4.8     | Concrete Elevated Floor Systems .....                    | 102 |
| 4.8.1   | Cast-in-Place Floors .....                               | 104 |
| 4.8.2   | Precast Floor System .....                               | 104 |
| 4.9     | Concrete Roof Systems .....                              | 105 |
| 4.10    | Commercial Case Study .....                              | 105 |
|         | References .....                                         | 108 |
|         | Additional Reading .....                                 | 108 |

## 4.1 INTRODUCTION

The achievement of sustainability in the construction of conditioned buildings inherently mandates consideration of the effect of thermal mass. The thermal mass of the aggregate construction materials allows the structure to absorb, store, and release significant amounts of heat affecting, often substantially, the net energy balance and consumption for the structure. Structures that have been built of concrete and masonry for decades have shown these advantages because of their inherent thermal mass. Absorption and retention of energy for periods of time reduce energy consumption by transferring heat in a natural cycle through a thermal mass building component. The heating and cooling cycles are balanced since mass slows the response time and reduces temperature fluctuations. Effectively, thermal mass enables time transport of heat energy. In addition, a massive building uses less energy than a similar one

with lower mass due to the reduced heat transfer through the massive elements as a natural phenomenon. A natural result of these two facts is to shift energy demand to off-peak time periods, generally at lower costs. This is obvious since power plants are designed to provide power at peak loads; the peak load consumption is reduced, optimizing the energy usage and sustainability from this perspective.

This chapter deals mainly with the material and construction aspect of sustainability through thermal mass as a basic quality of concrete (and masonry) in structures. It also considers the progression of the use of thermal mass in construction—perhaps in the wrong direction of energy conservation. Recent innovations allow the use of concrete building envelopes in residential and other modest structures. Employing these innovations in design provides a cost effective means of reintroducing high mass construction into modern construction.

## 4.2 THERMAL MASS AND ENERGY-EFFICIENT BUILDING SYSTEMS

Early humans sought shelter in caves where dwellers were partially protected from the elements. The protection or isolation from the elements included physical protection, moisture, and mediation of temperature variation. In addition to the restriction of convective air movement, a predominant element of caves that mediated temperature variation was the thermal mass of the earth and rocks of the cave. Stone, earthen, and, later, masonry structures emulated part of that inherently temperature-stable environment. Subsequently, heavy timber and log homes also included thermal mass to a certain degree as an element of their thermal performance.

With the arrival of the industrial age and relatively inexpensive and abundant fuel sources, speed of construction combined with exploiting readily available timber became the driving factor in North American construction. Thermal mass as an essential element of dwelling shelter was largely forgotten and light frame  $2 \times 4$  in. timber cavity walls became the dominant form of construction in residential and low-rise construction. Masonry, when employed in residential construction, was most often used as a non-structural cladding, relying on wood frames for the structure of the dwelling. Temperature control in the heating season was achieved by burning more wood, coal, oil, and gas. Southern states of the United States languished in population growth until the widespread introduction of air conditioning in the 1960s that, again largely by consumption of fuel to produce electricity, overcame the inherent deficiencies of light frame construction. Lessons learned in prior years of high thermal mass structures and designing for flow through natural air circulation were essentially forgotten by the magic of mechanically cooled air. Achieving more temperate living conditions then became possible with the consumption of significant electric power, portions thereof generated by fossil fuel consumption.

Little attention was paid to the energy efficiency of buildings until the energy crises of the 1970s. Cast-in-place concrete historically found limited use in residential construction with the exception of areas such as tropical environments with both extreme weather situations and destructive insect threats. While it was appreciated that concrete had value, the great obstacles were cost of construction due to the labor and material for forms consumed and subsequently discarded, the associated

problems with attaching claddings and installing utilities, and the issues of integrating insulation along with the concrete of the walls.

On the European continent, however, in the aftermath of World War II, a different environment existed—in part as a consequence of relatively scarce timber resources and a historic and traditional valuing of long-term multigenerational durability of structures. A substantial interest arose in developing building materials that incorporated both structure and insulation into the same product, while simultaneously employing scrap or recycled materials in part. The earliest experiments involved combining Portland cement with crushed wood fibers and recycled aggregate resulting in products with a higher resistance to thermal transfer than standard concrete. Derivatives of these early products with special-purpose uses, particularly in sound control, are still found.

### 4.3 CONCRETE WALL SYSTEMS

Concrete wall systems vary in different ways to make energy-efficient but structurally sound walls for exterior application in residential homes. They are typically standard 8 ft high or higher depending on the architectural design. Depending on the structural design, the walls are most commonly reinforced with grade 60 steel reinforcing bars. The nominal compressive strength of the concrete used in these wall panels varies from 2500 to 4000 psi. Very little finishing is required externally; it can be treated as a conventional wall and any exterior or interior finishing can be applied without extra effort.

#### 4.3.1 PRECAST CONCRETE

Precast/prestressed concrete can contribute to sustainable design in many ways. It is a versatile, durable material produced in a factory by highly trained personnel, with virtually no waste, under stringent quality control measures. Precast panels can be quickly erected on the job site with minimal disruption to the site, and precast concrete's thermal mass can save energy and increase comfort. It has been used for more than 50 years in the building industry with success and some sustainability principles already embedded in the system.

The use of precast concrete systems for residential construction has enjoyed some success, but overall use has been limited primarily to employment within tropical zones where environmental conditions are harsh and concrete walls and roofs provide the only durable remedy. In addition to termite issues, the major threat that can be largely resolved with concrete is mitigation of damage due to high winds, particularly hurricanes, typhoons, and tropical cyclones. One such notable employment of precast concrete was the Dededo Homes project completed by Kaiser in the early 1960s on the island of Guam (see Figure 4.1).

External walls and roofs, precast using noninsulated concrete, successfully withstood several devastating typhoons in subsequent years. Limiting the employment of precast in residential buildings has been the need for substantial scale of the construction, coupled with relatively simple structural design. While precast has been proven to be economically feasible, such a deployment mandates a substantial scale project of nearly identical homes. Since the conclusion of the successful Guam Dededo project,



**FIGURE 4.1** A typical house design that was constructed in Guam. Nearly 40 years after their initial construction, the homes have demonstrated an excellent record of disaster performance and over 6,000 such homes have been constructed. (From Warnes, C. E. 2008. Disaster-resistant shell houses. *Concrete International*, May 2008.)

experience has suggested that this remains a significant barrier to widespread adoption. Notably, the homes of the Dededo project were never intended to be mechanically cooled and were entirely noninsulated. Their design relied on the cooling enabled by the daily diurnal temperature variation combined with natural flow through ventilation and high thermal mass to provide indoor climate modification.

Like all manufactured products, the production and use of precast concrete building systems impose environmental demands. Precast concrete offers a competitive building solution based first on cost, long-term economic benefits, energy efficiency, lower maintenance, and overall operating costs as well as opportunities for future reuse when the occupancy of a building changes. Precast concrete offers a full range of colors and finishes and unlimited design possibilities difficult to match with any other material, while creating structures that can provide superior environmental and energy performance from a life-cycle perspective. After analysis of the precast concrete system from the sustainability point of view, it was observed, in 2010, that the precast concrete industry has made great strides, as illustrated through the reduction of use of coal, natural gas, etc. It is claimed that this amounted to about 30% in the last four decades. The data indicate a 10% decrease in direct emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> per tonne of concrete product between 1990 and 2010. Energy efficiency has been improved in the last two decades by more than 15%.

#### 4.3.2 CAST-IN-PLACE CONCRETE SYSTEMS

Use of traditional cast-in-place (CIP) concrete in residential building has been limited. In the few instances of its use, employment of CIP has been due to special circumstances driving decisions toward concrete. Residential structures are typified by substantial variability in design. This variability in having many and varied fenestrations, wall segments of varying lengths and, in many cases, heights, and sloped foundations results in issues that substantially increase the cost of a residential structure if traditional site-constructed and removable-form systems are used. Both material costs and labor are significant time and cost issues. Additionally, to provide

required insulation, added frame members are required on the inside of the concrete walls along with insulation, vapor barrier, and, typically, employment of conduit for electrical wiring. Thus, CIP by conventional forming methods is essentially not cost competitive with traditional framing for residential construction.


#### 4.3.2.1 Insulating Concrete Form Systems

A subset of CIP is a notable exception that has been gaining acceptance in residential construction across North America. Originating in Europe in the 1960s and then migrating to eastern Canada and the northeastern United States was a variation on traditional CIP that provided for forms that were multipurpose and that stayed in place after the walls were poured. Called insulating concrete forms, or ICF, this class of product was designed as a stay-in-place form for poured concrete that was made predominantly of expanded polystyrene (EPS), a polymer product derivative of oil and natural gas refining. In each case, the objective was to combine the insulation derived from thermal resistance of the EPS with the thermal mass and structural value of the concrete, and further to minimize infiltration by creating a monolithic wall system.


The earliest ICFs used sheet EPS connected by plastic or metal clips or were molded EPS that imitated the form factor of CMU (concrete masonry units) blocks. In the latter case, the form factor of the concrete grout was a grid-shaped pattern. The downside of this pattern was having areas between the post and beams in which there was no structured material at all (only EPS foam) and thus became subject to potential penetration. Also, due to the square grid structure, there was limited inherent in-plane shear resistance (see illustration at left in Figure 4.2).

In an effort to incorporate enhanced shear values and provide a solid concrete envelope, the next evolution resulted in grid shapes with infill in between the webs. Called a “waffle grid” system (see illustration at right in Figure 4.2), it proposed to resolve some of the issues of the post and beam structure. However, in practice, there were difficulties in assuring proper placement of the concrete without significant voids. And the slender cavities, even when properly filled, provided limited shear resistance. In active seismic zones or potential high wind zones, there were concerns about durability.

As enhancements in the chemistry and manufacture of plastics occurred that facilitated improvements in durability and utility of plastic connectors (typically



**FIGURE 4.2** Typical early ICF systems. (Courtesy of Portland Cement Association.)



**FIGURE 4.3** A state-of-the-art ICF concrete flat wall system. (Courtesy of Portland Cement Association.)

polystyrene), the response to these issues was to design ICF forms that provided for a uniform flat concrete wall sandwiched between two panels of EPS that were held together by polystyrene connectors, typically called “webs” (see Figure 4.3). These flat-wall systems began to emerge in the 1970s and are the predominant systems today. Characteristically, they have a uniform concrete section of 4–12 in. or more of steel-reinforced concrete, sandwiched between two layers of EPS foam averaging about 5 in. in total thickness. The thermal resistance (R-value) of the assembly is chiefly derived from the EPS foam.

Today’s typical ICF building system is an intelligent building product that combines an array of functions into a relatively simple and easy-to-use module. These forms are characterized by the following:

- They provide the form to contain concrete during placement.
- The form stays in place afterward and reduces form waste to the 1%–4% range.
- They employ a module size (typically 48 in. long  $\times$  16 or 24 in. tall) that is readily managed manually without requiring lifting equipment.
- Connectors or webs are molded in place in manufacturing and are typically modern durable polystyrene plastics that are UV resistant.
- These connectors are spaced 6–8 in. apart in the horizontal plane and have additional function in providing clips or fingers that secure the reinforcing steel.
- Additionally, virtually all flat-wall ICFs have embedded furring strips into which fasteners (typically screws) are inserted for attaching interior and exterior claddings.
- Because the EPS insulation is continuous throughout the wall (except at window and door openings), the thermal resistance of the wall assembly (commonly R-24+) is uniform.
- The wall system provides both an air barrier and a vapor barrier as inherent elements of its design (a *weather-resistant* external barrier may still be required).
- An average ICF wall provides acoustic separation across its boundary that is significant and always sensible to the occupants.

- The foam is removed in channels to provide chases to install electric or plumbing utilities, eliminating, at least in residential applications, the need to use conduit.

There are numerous benefits that accrue within the domain of construction with ICF systems:

- **Durability.** ICF is, at its core, standard Portland cement-based, steel-reinforced concrete. The temporal and structural durability of cast-in-place concrete is well known and understood. When encapsulated between the concrete and a cladding and protected from UV and physical damage, the EPS foam has a durability projected to be similar to that of concrete. An ICF structure arguably is a 200- to 500-year durable building *without a requirement for structural modification*.
- **Practicality.** ICF construction can readily be introduced to and undertaken by any number of the building trades. It requires less specialized training and accumulated skill than most other forms of construction that can be used for building efficient envelopes. James Dillingham, P.E., D&Z Engineering, Shingle Springs, California, says,

I know of no other construction methodology for external envelopes that can be done with the same assurance of success on the first project as is the case with ICF. I am very comfortable with recommending it to first-time contractors or reasonably prudent owner-builders. I would not endorse any other building system in this way.

- **In its design,** ICF accommodates readily the subsequent trades that finish out the structure (electricians, plumbers, sheet rockers, plasterers, finish carpenters, etc.) with minimal change in their installation practices in frame construction. Specialty tools and equipment are not required. Importantly, it is an advanced building system that is fundamentally practical to deploy broadly across the entire spectrum of construction in North America.
- **Scalability.** Conveniently, ICF modules are manually manageable without the requirement for mechanical devices for placement. As such, ICF works effectively across projects of virtually any scale, from 100-ft<sup>2</sup> kiosks to 23-story high rises and everything in between.
- **Risk management.** The construction world is one in which risk management is a significant element of the practice. ICF walls, with concrete as their core, are by nature a reduced-risk material with which to work. Assuming proper concrete mix design and proper placement, the long-term behavior of an ICF wall can be well evaluated. Mold and mildew, biodegradation, and other processes that affect frame walls constructed with organic materials have essentially no effect on ICF.
- **Geographic and climate zone applicability.** ICF construction works famously across virtually all climatic environs. From Fairbanks, Alaska, to Miami, Florida, and from San Diego, California, to Portland, Maine, in all

cases ICF contributes significantly to the effectiveness of the structures. In some areas the primary benefit is reduced energy requirements; in others it is enhanced safety, and in others its temporal durability is a key factor. ICF can be used anywhere and, although variable, it returns value that exceeds that of conventional frame structures.

- **Hazard protection.** Across North America, there are multiple natural hazards for which historic construction practices have provided, at best, limited protection. These include tropical storms, hurricanes, tornadoes, wildfire, and earthquake. Construction with ICF can substantially mitigate, or, in some cases, largely eliminate occupant risk from these hazards. (For example, by the inclusion of a concrete roof system [ICF or otherwise], a residence can be built to withstand the wind forces of a Fujita 5 tornado.)
- **Realized energy savings.** While dependent upon climate zone, specific design, and the operating behavior of occupants, in broad strokes ICF homes realize a 30%–50% reduction in the consumption of fuels to provide climate control within the structure. When combined with additional building practices and systems, the reduction can be 60%–80% less than that of a comparable frame structure.
- **Cost of construction.** ICF approaches the cost of conventional frame construction. The major factors of cost between ICF and frame construction are experience of the installation crew and the sensitivity of the design relative to the construction methodology. Design–build firms that are experienced in ICF construction as of 2010 are bidding residential projects at the same price for ICF as for well-insulated 2 × 6 in. frame construction. As ICF becomes more widespread, it is anticipated that broad parity with frame construction will occur. Over the next decade, building codes will be continuing the shift toward requiring greater energy efficiency. In that enhanced environment, it is a near certainty that ICF construction will become one of the more cost-effective means of achieving these future standards.

#### 4.4 DESIGN OF A CONCRETE WALL SYSTEM

Designing residential structures employing concrete walls for the external envelope requires only a modest departure from frame construction design processes. From an architectural viewpoint, the major issue is to recognize the thicker walls, which are typically 11–13 in. (e.g., using typical ICF systems). The other architectural issue to be recognized is that upper story concrete walls require their load to be borne by an inline concrete wall below or by a beam of either structural steel or cast concrete. An upper level concrete wall cannot be permanently supported on a wood beam, and this is a departure from wood frame design; in some cases, this fact is not initially realized by architects who are practiced in wood-frame construction.

Concrete walls using ICF systems, however, add design flexibilities when compared to traditional frame construction. For example, energy codes place limits on the extent of glazing that can be employed in many houses. ICF external walls, when compared to traditional wood-frame walls, provide a significantly higher thermal performance of the wall as an assembly; as a consequence, larger glazing surfaces

can be incorporated within a design and still successfully meet the requirements of increasingly stringent energy codes. Concrete wall systems also inherently incorporate high shear resistance. This eliminates much of the supplemental steel, moment frames, shear panels, etc. that are increasingly required in light frame construction, particularly in areas requiring accommodation of high seismic or wind loads. For the architect designing for seismically active areas, this inherent capacity allows more flexible design with regard to placement and size of window and door openings.

From the structural engineering viewpoint, the use of concrete in the external walls poses little challenge. In a departure from frame construction, all required shear resistance is typically acquired in the external walls, thus frequently eliminating the requirement for internal shear walls and eliminating most or all requirements for supplemental steel members to provide the structural stiffness to meet shear requirements. One obstacle sometimes observed is that some structural engineers who are practiced in structural concrete design are not similarly experienced in a hybrid structure that also employs wood frame. Concrete residential structures typically are such hybrid systems that use wood frame elements in internal walls, elevated floors, wooden roof members, and, in some cases, some of the external walls to be constructed out of wood also. This marriage of concrete and wood structures comes together in ICF construction.

In the quest toward sustainability, one element, largely overlooked in contemporary North American residential design, is long-term durability: measuring the net effect on the environment of a residential structure from a multigenerational time frame. Enlightened building science needs to evaluate the effectiveness of these concepts against a broad grid of considerations—among them, long-term durability, effectiveness in varying climate zones, and the practicality of introduction into the historical construction process. In general, high thermal mass concrete construction stands up very effectively when measured against such a broad spectra of considerations.

## 4.5 ENERGY EXCHANGE

The energy exchange of a building with its exterior environment is governed chiefly by three elements: thermal transfer through the envelope walls by (1) conductive or radiant heat transfer, (2) infiltration or air movement (convective), and (3) the inherent thermal mass of the structure. Control of infiltration and thermal resistance are the beginning of the process and the employment of thermal mass realizes the full potential of the earth and sun to minimize the consumption of energy to stabilize temperature.

The synergy of higher R-value, virtually no air *infiltration*, and thermal mass in ICF assemblies results in performance that simply can't be duplicated with traditional frame assemblies.

**—David Shepherd, AIA**  
*Director of Sustainability, Portland Cement Association*


Energy transfer from buildings is inherently bidirectional and dependent on the direction of the thermal gradient. The three sources of external energy that affect a structure are the sun (radiant), air molecules themselves (convective and conductive), and the earth (conductive) upon which the structure rests or is embedded. With the exception of the subsoil temperatures, the other sources vary substantially in their effect throughout the 24 hours of the day. Radiant heat transfers inward during the day, becomes neutral at dusk, and then typically transfers outward during the dark. It is a similar situation with conductive transfer to and from the air molecules. Energy transfer with regard to the earth is essentially a constant heat sink that has varying effects on the structure that are dependent on interior temperatures and the seasons.

The transport of heat energy into or out of a structure is affected by all of the preceding items. Hopefully, it is quite obvious that air molecules entering or exiting a structure carry with them heat energy. Controlling such heat energy transport in its very nature then requires control of movement of the air molecules. The emphasis is on “control,” rather than elimination. A structure that is relatively airtight provides the foundation and precondition necessary to enable systems to be employed to control the exchange of air and moisture between the interior and exterior environments. The concept of placing a positive value on the feature of wood-frame houses that they “breathe” is an illusory value. Such air leakage, while it allows blending of air between the interior and exterior of a structure (with an assumed moderation of potentially undesirable moisture, gases, or particulates) in effect represents an unregulated transfer of energy. Leaking air into or out of a structure does allow moderation of excesses of moisture or other materials, but this is an uncontrollable transport with associated negative consequences.

A relatively airtight environment creates the situation to enable designers and builders to control and manage the exchange of air, moisture, and particulate matter. This is a somewhat new mandate to builders and designers. Broadly speaking, this has been addressed only sparingly in history and, in many cases, entirely ignored. This is particularly critical in climate areas of high humidity and high temperatures, where moisture control is very critical. The management of air quality will be increasingly important and is an integral element of design adequacy as more energy-efficient and low-infiltration homes are built.

Throughout the 24 hours of each day, substantial changes occur in exterior temperature and available energy (see Figure 4.4). Since the earliest times, shelters have sought to manage those sensible changes to create a more comfortable ambient environment for human occupancy. The holy grail of advanced building technology from an energy balance point of view would be to construct a passive structure that neither consumes nonrenewable resources nor emits exhaust products.

Thermal mass has a role in this that was appreciated historically but has been somewhat eclipsed in modern building techniques. Thermal mass provides the designer with a method of storage of heat energy. Once stored, the energy can then be released subsequently to heat or as an element of design that serves to cool. From a mechanical point of view, thermal mass can be envisioned as analogous to a fly-wheel. This thermal mass effect can be used to great advantage to store excess heat (typically accumulated in the daylight hours from various sources) and then either venting it at night by expelling the heat into cooler air or retaining it within the



**FIGURE 4.4** The insulated concrete form efficiency in a 24-hour cycle. (Courtesy of Insulating Concrete Form Association.)

structure. This process, called heat flow reversal, reduces or eliminates the need for energy input from active energy sources.

ICF systems incorporate a blending of all three elements of energy-efficient envelopes: controlled infiltration, relatively high thermal resistance (R-22+), and a contribution to thermal mass due to the concrete within the wall assembly. The practical effect in summer heating is that an ICF structure that has been precooled by nighttime ventilation will shift the maximum temperature rise within the structure to a later time in the day. This delay in temperature rise is dependent upon many variables, but tends to be on the order of 2–3 hours in hot climate conditions. This time shift often forestalls the need for mechanical air conditioning or reduces the run-time requirement.

In a heating scenario, ICF walls provide additional thermal mass that is particularly effective to enhance a passive solar design. When coupled with appropriate orientation, exposure, roof overhang, and a concrete slab or floor system of substantial thermal mass, this energy flywheel greatly mitigates the requirement for supplemental energy consumption for heating.

ICF construction, although still a small market segment, has nonetheless entered the mainstream of construction practices. High rises to custom homes to production developments are all being built using ICF today. ICF continues to grow in market acceptance as it is appreciated by the conventional construction trades for its energy efficiency, durability, safety, and adaptability. At its core it is simply CIP flat-wall steel-reinforced concrete, a long- and well-understood construction medium. The relative magic of ICF is that it is a deployable system that addresses a broad range of requirements and provides the energy resource reduction that will be a hallmark of twenty-first century building standards.

## 4.6 DESIGN OF ENERGY SYSTEM IN THERMAL WALLS

As stated earlier and demonstrated by testing the suitability of insulated concrete walls, they are efficient due to their thermal mass. However, to maintain some standard practice, testing was done as government-sponsored research by the National Association of Housing and Buildings (NAHB)<sup>1</sup> in which all aspects of design of HVAC system designs are illustrated. The objective of this work was to compile

available information regarding energy use in concrete homes, develop additional information as needed, and use it to develop a methodology to size heating, ventilating, and air-conditioning (HVAC) equipment properly for concrete homes in the United States and Canada.

The mass of concrete also provides excellent acoustic insulating properties for airborne sound. This makes concrete ideal for external walls in buildings facing roads with heavy traffic and as insulation between different areas in a building. Precast concrete panels are often used as noise barriers beside roads and railroads.

#### 4.7 RESIDENTIAL CASE STUDY (ANECDOTAL)

The 4600-ft<sup>2</sup> home shown in Figure 4.5 was constructed in 2001 in the California Sierra Nevada foothills using all ICF walls from foundation to top plate. It has been in part a living laboratory. The lower level is a full basement exposed to daylight on two sides. The exterior walls were done using the Amvic Building System ICF block, which is typical of the “best of breed” modern ICF systems available on the market today. The walls have 6 in. of flat-wall, steel-reinforced concrete at their core, 2.5 in. of expanded polystyrene foam on each side of the concrete core, sheet-rock as the interior finish, and either fiber cement shingles or Portland cement-based stucco as the outer cladding. The composite wall has a tested thermal resistance exceeding an R-24. Unlike frame structures, however, the walls have continuous insulation with no thermal bridging other than around the windows and doors. The roof is framed with wooden trusses, and the attic space is conventionally insulated with R-36 fiberglass.



**FIGURE 4.5** An all-ICF home in California’s Sierra Nevada foothills. (Author’s photo.)



**FIGURE 4.6** Interior and exterior temperatures, 10:34 a.m. mid-February 2006 in the California foothills at 2400-ft elevation.

Located at a 2500-ft elevation facing westward in the Sierra Nevada Mountains, the home has been tested by a wide range of weather and climate. Seasonal variations occur from the low 20° to over 105° in midsummer. Passive solar principles were incorporated in the design, with moderate south-facing glazing and interior hard surface high thermal mass flooring. Roof overhangs and deciduous trees shade the south glazing in summer, while fully exposing it in winter. The R-24 walls, high thermal mass, and low infiltration result in greatly reduced energy requirements compared to other structures of similar size.

Experimentation on multiple occasions over the seven seasons after completion verified the owners' performance expectations of the ICF system. For example, in the January–February time frame, the owners have run experiments to explore the effect of the thermal mass and substantial insulation. Over periods up to 5 days' duration, under conditions when morning minimum temperatures are around the freezing mark and the days are sunny and warming to the upper 50° in the afternoon, the home will float between the low 60° and 70°, *without the use of either the furnace or fireplace*. The morning minimum temperature that the house will drop to is 63° or 64° on the upper level and 64° or 65° on the lower level. This thermal performance relies chiefly on the capture of heat energy by solar gain during the day and its storage within the thermal mass of the structure. Additional heat sources from normal human activity also serve as moderate heat sources, including heat generated by lighting, appliances, cooking, body heat, etc.

Figure 4.6 illustrates the demonstrated temperature range: 29.6° outside and 64.9° inside. Note that this photo captured the temperature approximately 3+ hours *after* the minimum temperature of the day, when it was several degrees colder.

The home is located in a rural, tree-covered area with abundant hardwood fuel sources. The owners have found that throughout the winter, the furnace can be left turned off by using the efficient fireplace shown in Figure 4.7 with two or three loads



**FIGURE 4.7** Efficient fireplace system that successfully heats a 4600-ft<sup>2</sup> ICF home in winter. (Author's photo.)

of firewood per day, which is sufficient to maintain the temperature around the 70° mark. Note that the fireplace, hearth, and wood box are natural heavy stone, which adds further thermal mass to the structure.

Summertime performance is equally impressive. The home's lower level is without air conditioning. There are incidental sources of heat due to the usage of the area as an office space, with numerous computers, printers, scanner, copiers, office lighting, etc. By employment of naturally venting the area at night by opening windows, moderate temperatures are maintained effectively during the hot summer days.

Without air conditioning, on a hot day in the upper 90° or low to mid-100°F, the lower level will maintain comfortable temperatures in the 70° range. Figure 4.8 captured the temperature spread on July 17, 2005, at 7:01 p.m., when in a shaded area outside the free air temperature was 101°, while the interior maintained a maximum of 78°—again, *without any air conditioning*.

## 4.8 CONCRETE ELEVATED FLOOR SYSTEMS

Elevated concrete floor systems have numerous advantages, which have historically been underutilized and undervalued in residential construction. The absence of the inclusion of concrete floors in residential applications has been due to multiple factors, including the relatively myopic view that residential structures by definition are to be constructed with wood-frame walls. The advantages of elevated concrete floors include the following:

- Fire is suppressed from floor to floor. Concrete floors easily resolve type II construction requirements.




**FIGURE 4.8** July 14, 2005. Summertime comfortable temperature spread of  $23.4^{\circ}$  maintained without mechanical cooling. (Author's photo.)

- Acoustics are isolated. Noise transfer from floors above varies from being an annoyance to being a significant design issue. A few inches of concrete can resolve this.
- They are waterproof.
- They resolve structural issues of sheer transfer across the diaphragm.
- In high seismic zones, they can lower construction costs.
- In high wind threat zones, an elevated concrete floor increases the lateral resistance and will increase the durability of a multistory structure, particularly when combined with a concrete roof system.
- The concrete can be finished as the final floor finish as desired by stamping and/or staining, polishing, and sealing, thus eliminating the added cost of floor coverings or allowing architectural considerations not otherwise feasible.
- They can serve as a high thermal mass base material for radiant heat systems distributed by either hot water or hot air.

There are potential disadvantages to be considered:

- Concrete floors cannot readily be combined with wood-framed walls. Concrete or structural steel frame wall systems are required
- Standard weight concrete cannot be supported by wood-frame floor joists.
- The cost of an elevated concrete floor has been viewed as being substantially less costly than conventional wood-frame/TJI joists, etc. (This is not universally the case, requires evaluation on a case-by-case basis, and is breaking down with the introduction of new alternatives for cast-in-place floors.)
- Placing utilities in the floor system in a multi-story structure can be somewhat more challenging than with frame construction, and necessitates design considerations reflecting the nature of the floor.



**FIGURE 4.9** AmDeck ICF concrete floor system. (Courtesy of Amvic Building Systems.)


#### 4.8.1 CAST-IN-PLACE FLOORS

There are three primary methods for construction of CIP floors: B-Deck/PanDeck supported by bar joists/I-beams or such, a composite joist system such as Hambro™, or an ICF formed system where the concrete structure itself has ribbed drop beams that are the support.

ICF formed system CIP floors have been gaining acceptance in residential and commercial applications due to their cost effectiveness and qualities including sound transfer suppression, inherent insulation, speed of construction, floor to floor safety factors (fire resistance), rigidity, shear resistance, and durability. Figure 4.9, illustrates a typical cross section of an ICF formed floor or roof.

#### 4.8.2 PRECAST FLOOR SYSTEM

As discussed in the following sections, several systems have been developed to use thermal mass in precast structures (Figure 4.10). Air is circulated in the voids of hollow core floor and roof slabs. This system reduces the size of the required mechanical



**FIGURE 4.10** Typical insulated precast concrete floor system. (From [http://www.sustainableprecast.ca/thermal\\_mass/precast\\_sustainability/canada/index.do](http://www.sustainableprecast.ca/thermal_mass/precast_sustainability/canada/index.do))

system and creates energy savings for heating in the winter as well as cooling in the summer. For heating, energy savings on the order of 35% can be achieved with this system. A reduction in cooling power consumption can be about 40%.

The underside of concrete floor and roof slabs should be exposed to get the full benefits of thermal mass. Doing away with a suspended ceiling can reduce the overall building height and can result in 5%–7% savings in construction costs. Using the thermal mass of concrete is extremely important from an environmental point of view because it provides a long-term economic gain for a building owner through reduced life-cycle costs.

When builders are facing height restrictions, employment of a precast floor may allow a design avenue to meet the restrictions of height due to the typically smaller section height of precast—typically, 6–8 in. versus 14–18 in. by other means. Also, precast floors can speed overall construction time when integrated together with cast-in-place or ICF wall systems.

Precast concrete floors have a limitation in the lack of rigidity of the connection at the wall and as such are less effective in transverse sheer transfer and may not be structurally satisfactory in high seismic zones.

## 4.9 CONCRETE ROOF SYSTEMS

Concrete roof systems in single-family residential construction have historically been used primarily as a design element to counteract environmental issues. The two primary issues are strong winds (tropical storms, hurricanes, and typhoons) and biological attack or biodegradation. Historically, such concrete roofs were primarily constructed using removable conventional formwork and temporary shoring.

In residential construction today, two methods of constructing elevated floors with concrete have emerged as composite floors wherein a steel joist is partially embedded in the concrete deck, and EPS foam forms are used to fabricate the remaining concrete floor. A recent publication from the Portland Cement Association (PCA) gives an excellent summary of various available systems along with commercial organizations that provide more information on the topic.<sup>2</sup>

## 4.10 COMMERCIAL CASE STUDY

The Best Western Inn in Burlington, Ontario, Canada, was constructed with all external walls, corridor walls, and some of the interior partition walls of load-bearing concrete using a 6-in. concrete core ICF wall system. Elevated concrete floors are hollow core precast. The three-floor hotel has a total of 33,900 ft<sup>2</sup> (see Figures 4.11 and 4.12).

According to owner Amrat Patel, “This three-story hotel has 59 rooms, conference rooms, and an indoor pool. We have half the operating costs as the motel next door, which is half the size without a pool.” Mr. Patel goes on to say that, in addition to the greatly reduced operating costs, he has achieved a much faster ROI, and the construction time was substantially reduced with less labor during the process.

The hotel is located near a very busy intersection of three major freeways and exterior noise is an issue for the area. The guests consistently rate the hotel highly



**FIGURE 4.11** Best Western, Burlington, Ontario, Canada. (Author's photo.)



**FIGURE 4.12** Best Western during construction. (Courtesy of Amvic Building Systems.)



**FIGURE 4.13** Drury Inn, Indianapolis, Indiana, under construction with ICF walls. (Courtesy of Amvic Building Systems.)

for providing a restful and quiet environment. Mr. Patel relates that he is realizing approximately a 20% annual savings in operating costs, compared to another hotel of similar size that he also owns.

Drury Inns are located in multiple midwestern and southeastern locations. The St. Louis, Missouri, Drury Inns converted all six- and eight-story hotels to construction with an ICF exterior skin (see Figure 4.13). Their experience has been faster completion (averaging about 60 days per hotel compared to before they used ICF), which generates approximately \$1 million in increased revenue for each 30 days gained. Drury Inns has also noted increased job safety during construction, less job site damage to material, reduced operating costs, and less near- and long-term maintenance for their hotels.

There have been numerous structures built with a sustainable concrete system with insulation, as has been discussed in this chapter. They range from residential (case 1) to others in commercial types of constructions. The main purpose of these examples remains the same concerning energy saving, sustainability in terms of efficient use of materials, and excellent performance overall. The first case has been published and is cited here as a personal experience of the author that has been widely acclaimed.<sup>3</sup>

One of the first residential applications was a house built in 1997 in Silver Spring, Maryland, just outside Washington, DC, that used as little wood as possible (with a saving of over 60 trees) and insulated concrete. In addition, all the interior walls were built with recycled, light-gauge steel metal studs, which are quite common in commercial construction, but not in residential. All floors were also concrete, with polypropylene fibers and the same concrete as in the external walls. In order to keep the construction light, a light-gauge metal deck was used as formwork and remained



**FIGURE 4.14** Energy-efficient home using insulated concrete. (From Sabnis, G. M., S. G. Sabnis, and E. J. Martin. 2008. *Green house: The energy efficient home*, 2nd ed. Washington, DC: Drylongso Publications.)

with concrete and the steel beams to make an efficient concrete–steel composite floor system, which, although commonly used in bridges, is unique in residential construction. Finally, the staircases in this house were built with the leftover light-gauge steel beams and studs, which was an efficient use of material.

The energy system was an innovative geothermal system using wells driven 200 ft into the ground and using the natural phenomenon of approximately constant temperature of 58°F year round 3 ft below the grade in that area. This house, shown in Figure 4.14, is a colonial style with a large, unobstructed basement without any center columns and measures a footprint of 45 × 55 ft, resulting in a total under-roof area of 7000 ft<sup>2</sup>. The energy bill has been very well managed due to the efficient use of natural and available resources.

## REFERENCES

1. HVAC sizing methodology for insulated concrete homes. NAHB report, February 2004, 58 pp.
2. Floor and roof systems available from PCA. 2010. [http://www.cement.org/HOMES/ch\\_bs\\_floorroof.asp](http://www.cement.org/HOMES/ch_bs_floorroof.asp)
3. Sabnis, G. M., S. G. Sabnis, and E. J. Martin. 2008. *Green house: The energy efficient home*, 2nd ed. Washington, DC: Drylongso Publications.

## ADDITIONAL READING

Baginski, M. Sustainable structures. [http://extension.ucdavis.edu/unit/green\\_building\\_and\\_sustainability/pdf/resources/sustainable\\_structures.pdf](http://extension.ucdavis.edu/unit/green_building_and_sustainability/pdf/resources/sustainable_structures.pdf)

---

# 5 Concrete Pavements and Sustainability

*Thomas J. Van Dam and Peter Taylor\**

## CONTENTS

|        |                                                           |     |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 5.1    | Introduction .....                                        | 109 |
| 5.2    | Common-Sense Principles Regarding Sustainability .....    | 111 |
| 5.3    | Principle 1: Get Smart .....                              | 111 |
| 5.4    | Principle 2: Design to Serve the Community .....          | 112 |
| 5.5    | Principle 3: Choose What You Use .....                    | 113 |
| 5.6    | Principle 4: Less Is More (Better)! .....                 | 114 |
| 5.7    | Principle 5: Minimize Negative Impact .....               | 115 |
| 5.8    | Principle 6: Take Care of What You Have .....             | 116 |
| 5.9    | Principle 7: Innovate .....                               | 118 |
| 5.10   | Measurement of Sustainability .....                       | 119 |
| 5.10.1 | Economic Factors Related to Sustainability .....          | 120 |
| 5.10.2 | Environmental Factors Related to Sustainability .....     | 121 |
| 5.11   | Case Study: Two-Lift Concrete Pavement Construction ..... | 122 |
| 5.12   | Societal Factors Related to Sustainability .....          | 124 |
| 5.13   | Where Do We Go from Here? .....                           | 125 |
|        | References .....                                          | 126 |
|        | Additional Resources .....                                | 127 |
|        | Centers, Associations, and Societies .....                | 127 |
|        | Producers .....                                           | 127 |
|        | Federal Agencies .....                                    | 127 |

## 5.1 INTRODUCTION

Owners of the nation's roadway system are encouraging the use of sustainability measures and in time may require them in their contracts. This is an important step toward a more sustainable infrastructure. But the following two features of the paving community handicap pavement owners, designers, material suppliers, and contractors:

- Straightforward information that clearly explains what sustainability means, how it is measured, and how it can be improved in the context of a roadway is limited.

---

\* The material in this chapter is based on a previous publication by Van Dam and Taylor (2009) and is gratefully acknowledged.


- Street and road agencies must balance the increasing necessity to implement sustainable pavement solutions with many other critical challenges. Pavements are aging and deteriorating; traffic volumes and vehicle loads continue to increase, and roadway budgets are falling short of meeting these critical needs. These needs and costs must be balanced with the cost of improving pavement sustainability, which as yet is unquantifiable.

Many concrete-based solutions for new and existing pavements have elements that may improve the sustainability of a pavement system. Implementing sustainable pavement solutions helps owner agencies address their pavement performance and budget challenges because cost effectiveness and high performance are integral characteristics of sustainable solutions.

Sustainability in concrete pavements is simply good engineering, which always involves working with limited resources to achieve the best product possible. What have changed are the way the product is evaluated and the period of time over which it is evaluated. Whereas in the past economic factors were paramount for evaluation, now sustainability requires that environmental and social factors be considered as well.

Further, the analysis includes the entire life cycle of the project and encompasses all impacts (both positive and negative) from the point of inception to the end of life, as shown in Figure 5.1. This type of system-wide analysis is often referred to as a “cradle-to-grave”—or, more appropriately, a “cradle-to-cradle”—analysis (McDonough and Braungart 2002). It is important to remember that sustainability is not about perfection. It is about balancing competing and often contradictory interests and making incremental improvements as our knowledge improves.

Finally, focusing on sustainability will make the concrete pavement industry more innovative and more competitive. This change can already be observed through the



**FIGURE 5.1** The concept of “good” pavement engineering must expand to cradle-to-cradle life-cycle performance. (From Van Dam, T. and Taylor, P. C. Building Sustainable Pavements with Concrete—Briefing Document, Ames, IA, CP Road Map, 2009. [http://www.cproadmap.org/publications/sustainability\\_briefing.pdf](http://www.cproadmap.org/publications/sustainability_briefing.pdf) [accessed May 2011]. With permission.)

increasing emphasis on such diverse innovations as in-place recycling of existing concrete pavement, two-lift construction, safe and quiet surfaces, pervious concrete, optimized aggregate grading that reduce cementitious content, and concrete with higher percentages of supplementary cementitious material (SCM), to name a few. Each of these examples clearly demonstrates win–win–win scenarios having positive economic, environmental, and social impacts.

This chapter presents the details of many ways that we can make our pavements more sustainable using some basic principles outlined here with some examples.

## 5.2 COMMON-SENSE PRINCIPLES REGARDING SUSTAINABILITY

To approach concrete pavement sustainability in a practical fashion, it is necessary to link sustainability to familiar concepts in the pavement construction community. The following seven common-sense principles can help make this link:

- Principle 1: get smart
- Principle 2: design to serve the community
- Principle 3: choose what you use
- Principle 4: less is more
- Principle 5: minimize negative impact
- Principle 6: take care of what you have
- Principle 7: innovate

Each of these principles is discussed in the following sections, along with practical suggestions for employing the principles. It is important to consider each principle not only on its own merits but also in terms of its interdependency with and/or potential competition with other principles.

### 5.3 PRINCIPLE 1: GET SMART

This is an exhortation not to be content with the status quo. It is a call to educate yourself and your staff about making concrete pavements an integral part of sustainable infrastructure. Although it will require some formal training, education should not be restricted to traditional learning but rather should be integrated with day-to-day operations.

Much of this educational process needs to occur long before design and construction are initiated. Getting smart includes embracing the concept of the pavement life cycle. Various processes affect pavement sustainability during each stage of its life: design, materials processing, construction, operations, preservation/rehabilitation, and reconstruction/recycling. The effects of these processes must be clearly understood so that processes can be appropriately applied. Clearly, this is a complicated and emerging science, but all of us can appreciate the interconnectivity of all life-cycle stages.

Specific actions that can be taken include the following:

- *Review relevant information.* A list of important documents and other materials is provided at the end of this chapter under “Additional Resources.”

- *Learn how to design for what you need.* Excessive overdesign is wasteful, and underdesign results in unacceptable performance. Understand the principles and advantages of the mechanistic-empirical approach and the mechanistic approach to pavement design so that you can implement an appropriate approach for a given project.
- *Learn how to approach design holistically.* Understand the principles of incorporating pavement support conditions, material availability and properties, the environment and weather conditions, traffic, community considerations (see principle 2), etc. into sustainable pavement design and construction. In addition to determining slab thickness, other important design elements include materials selection, joint spacing, load transfer, drainage, supporting layers, and surface texture (Smith and Hall 2001; Taylor et al. 2006).
- *Enhance educational programs.* Academic and developmental programs for new and practicing professionals need to be revised to address sustainability issues.
- *Use available tools and develop needed ones.* Learn about and implement current sustainable materials and practices. Encourage and support the development of needed materials and practices.

## 5.4 PRINCIPLE 2: DESIGN TO SERVE THE COMMUNITY


The second principle is obvious, yet it is often overlooked. It is often referred to as context-sensitive design (CSD), which entails meeting the needs of not only the user but also the adjacent communities and the environment. The key to employing this principle successfully is recognizing that a single approach does not meet all needs.

For example, certain surface texture created to increase skid resistance and enhance safety has been demonstrated to have a significant impact on noise generation through tire–pavement interaction. The noise issue has been raised by communities adjacent to roadways; therefore, research was conducted to identify factors contributing to the objectionable noise; see Figure 5.2 (Rasmussen et al. 2008). Mitigation strategies have been developed that have resulted in safe and quiet concrete riding surfaces.

But the story does not end there. The same communities that object to noise generated on a high-speed roadway may have a different set of criteria for local, slow-speed roads serving their neighborhoods. In such locations, tire–pavement–generated noise may be far less an issue than aesthetics, high reflectivity, or surface drainage. It is even possible that an urban neighborhood might desire that “roughness” be designed into the surface to produce a calming effect to slow vehicles exceeding the speed limit and to create a more livable community.

It must be recognized, of course, that the needs of rural communities will differ from those of urban communities, as well as those of the “natural community,” including the health of flora and fauna and the quality of air and water.

Successfully implementing this principle requires early involvement of everyone who is affected. Public involvement must be early and continuous. Although this will take time, it will ultimately result in increased societal acceptance and project



**FIGURE 5.2** RoboTex scans of  $100 \times 200$  mm samples showing variability of transverse tined surface and its effect on noise level. (Adapted from Rasmussen, R. O. et al. 2008. How to reduce tire-pavement noise: Interim best practices for constructing and texturing concrete pavement surfaces. TPF-5(139). Ames, IA: National Concrete Pavement Technology Center.)

efficiency by reducing expensive and time-consuming reworking of the project at a later date.

In the end, designing to serve the community will result in the construction of concrete pavement projects that reflect a sense of the place where they are built and that meld physically and visually within the surrounding environment and community. More detailed information on CSD can be found at [www.contextsensitivesolutions.org/](http://www.contextsensitivesolutions.org/).

## 5.5 PRINCIPLE 3: CHOOSE WHAT YOU USE

Often, little thought is given to the materials used in a concrete pavement other than whether they meet the specifications. The use of long-established specifications is appealing, but the automatic application of the same specifications year after year creates a barrier to the acceptance of rapidly evolving sustainable practices. A case in point is the use of recycled concrete, either as aggregate in new concrete or even as a base course underlying new pavement. Although concrete is the most recycled material in the United States—about 140 million tons per year (CMRA 2009)—many barriers still exist to using recycled material in concrete pavements.

For example, the single largest concrete recycling project ever undertaken was the complete recycling of Denver Stapleton Airport's pavements, which yielded 6.5 million tons of aggregate, much of which was used in concrete as aggregate (CMRA 2009). Yet, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) did not have a specification in place for the use of recycled concrete as a base material until an advisory circular (AC 150/5370-10C) was released in September 2007. And although many agencies, including the FAA, permit the use of coarse aggregate derived from recycled concrete, it is still uncommon in most locales. Specifications, test methods, acceptance criteria, and best-practice recommendations are needed to ensure that use of recycled concrete as aggregate is increased without compromising the quality of the final concrete pavement.

One premise of sustainable design is to use local materials to minimize transportation needs and the associated economic, environmental and social impacts. Thus, the first element of this principle is to use the material closest to the project, which,

of course, is the existing pavement structure. Whether it is concrete, hot-mix asphalt (HMA), or a combination of the two, the existing pavement surface and supporting layers can all be effectively used in the construction of new concrete pavement, with a significant positive impact on sustainability.

The Recycled Materials Resource Center (RMRC 2009) provides a good starting point to investigate various recycling options, and a list of additional references is found in the “Additional Resources” section at the end of this chapter. The shift in thinking that must occur is that the existing roadway is not something to be disposed of, but instead is a source of valuable raw materials (e.g., a technical nutrient) for the reconstruction of the new pavement and an opportunity to eliminate waste (McDonough and Braungart 2002).

To the degree possible without sacrificing pavement quality, additional materials should be sought from local sources. This requires both knowledge of availability as well as an in-depth understanding of the engineering properties of the material being considered for use. It is not uncommon to find that a local material, such as coarse aggregate, has an undesirable property, such as poor wear resistance, susceptibility to freeze–thaw damage, or potential alkali–silica reactive (ASR) properties. A key to enhancing the sustainability of the concrete pavement is not to reject these materials out of hand, but instead to understand the limitations inherent in the material and thoughtfully address them through proven technologies.

For example, an aggregate with poor wear resistance can still be used, just not at the surface; thus, two-lift concrete paving could be effectively used to address this limitation. Similarly, ASR-susceptible aggregates can be used if care is taken in selecting the cementitious material type and content. Replacement of Portland cement with certain industrial residuals, such as select fly ashes and slag cements or even naturally derived pozzolans such as volcanic ash, calcined clays, or rice-husk ash, is extremely effective at mitigating ASR while reducing the environmental footprint of the concrete (more on this follows). Thus, being smart in selecting materials can significantly improve the overall sustainability of the project.

Finally, choosing what you use might mean transporting some materials a great distance to overcome potential limitations in the locally available materials. In such cases, it is essential to minimize the amount of material imported to reduce the cost and the environmental and social impacts of transportation. Blending a freeze–thaw-durable, large-sized coarse aggregate with locally available intermediate-sized aggregate that has sufficient durability due its smaller size is one example of this approach.

## 5.6 PRINCIPLE 4: LESS IS MORE (BETTER)!

Another common-sense principle of sustainable design is “less is more.” Other factors being equal, a design that uses less virgin material is generally more sustainable. One element of this concept was discussed under principle 1: avoid wasteful overdesign. The focus of principle 4, however, is on reducing the use of Portland cement, the manufacture of which is expensive and energy intensive and generates harmful emissions.

Modern cement plants are becoming increasingly efficient, often burning biomass and waste fuels to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Still, it is unlikely that the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> produced per ton of clinker can be dramatically reduced. The proportion of

clinker to cement, however, can be reduced. Under current ASTM C150 standards, companies manufacturing cement are now allowed to replace up to 5% of clinker with high-quality limestone, an additional 5% inorganic process additions (e.g. slag cement, fly ash) and up to 1% organic process additions (grinding aids). This allowance directly reduces clinker content of Portland cement. Another way to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions related to cement manufacturing is simply to reduce the demand for Portland cement. Even small reductions of Portland cement content in concrete pavements will yield significant environmental savings. Multiple strategies can be employed to do this.

The first strategy is to replace some Portland cement in concrete mixtures with supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs). These include certain reactive industrial by-products such as fly ashes, slag cement, and silica fume. Supplementary cementitious materials also include certain naturally derived pozzolans like volcanic ashes and calcined clays (ASTM C618 Class N). Replacing 1% of Portland cement with SCM can result in an approximately 1% reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> production and energy consumption per unit of concrete. Using SCMs in concrete mixtures can also yield other benefits, including increased economy and enhanced concrete durability. Therefore, their appropriate use should always be considered.

Cement manufacturers offer various blended hydraulic cements, which are composites of Portland cement and SCM. Blended hydraulic cements (ASTM C595) include slag or pozzolan. Typical Portland cement replacement levels for pavements can be as high as 50% for slag blends. Performance-specified hydraulic cements (ASTM C1157) are manufactured blended cements in which the composition of Portland cement and SCMs is not restricted. The kilograms of CO<sub>2</sub> generated per kilograms of blended hydraulic or performance-specified hydraulic cement can be reduced as much as 30% or more compared to Portland cement.

In addition to manufactured blended cements, another way to incorporate SCMs in concrete mixtures is to add them to the mixture at the concrete plant. The concrete producer can choose to include SCMs such as fly ash, slag, natural pozzolans, and/or silica fume along with Portland cement, or with manufactured blended cement, as part of the batching process.

A second strategy for reducing the amount of Portland cement in concrete is to reduce the total cementitious materials content. Traditionally, mixtures used for concrete pavements have often had minimum cement content of 564 lb/yd<sup>3</sup> (six-sack mix). However, the use of optimized aggregate grading allows a significant reduction in cementitious materials content, with some state departments of transportation using mixes with as little as 470 lb/yd<sup>3</sup> (Taylor et al. 2006).

Such mixes can have the additional benefit of being less prone to segregation and yet easily consolidated during slipform paving operations. Further, the resulting concrete is generally less prone to shrinkage and other negative effects resulting from high cement paste content.

## 5.7 PRINCIPLE 5: MINIMIZE NEGATIVE IMPACT

This principle encompasses ways to improve several construction and operational impacts that directly contribute to the sustainability of concrete pavement during

the construction and operational phases of the life cycle. These impacts include the following:

- Noise from construction and from traffic
- Safety: wet weather and nighttime driving
- Delays during new construction and during rehabilitation
- Pollution, particulates, and waste generated by construction and traffic
- Water use and treatment of runoff such as sawing slurry
- Energy efficiency: construction, traffic operations, and urban lighting
- Urban heat island effect, or the observation that higher temperatures exist over built-up urban areas

It is impossible to cover all these impacts in detail here, but excellent sources of information are listed in the “Additional Resources” section at the end of this chapter. In general, construction that enhances sustainability will seek to minimize noise levels; provide a safe environment for workers; minimize disruption of service to the traveling public and community; produce fewer emissions, particulates, and construction waste products; reduce water use; and increase efficiency of equipment and processes.

Once constructed, the concrete pavement should provide a quiet and safe surface under vehicle operations, require minimal preservation and rehabilitation through its life, effectively address water runoff, improve the energy efficiency of vehicles operating on it through reduced rolling resistance, reduce the energy required for artificial lighting, and mitigate the heat island effect through the pavement’s reflectivity.

Recently completed research has determined ways that concrete pavements can be constructed or restored to be extremely quiet and safe through the use of drag-textured or longitudinally tined surfaces or through diamond grinding (Rasmussen et al. 2007). There have also been tremendous advances in minimizing water use during construction through the reuse of wash water and through treating runoff from pervious surfaces (NRMCA 2009).

Interest in mitigating the urban heat island effect continues to increase, with the use of reflective paving materials, including conventional concrete, being recommended as a mitigation strategy (EPA 2009). Additives that can further increase the reflectivity (albedo) of concrete, such as slag cement or light-colored fly ash, are also recommended.

## 5.8 PRINCIPLE 6: TAKE CARE OF WHAT YOU HAVE

This principle emphasizes the need to take care of existing pavements. Like any product, with time and use concrete pavements eventually deteriorate. Just as vehicles that are well maintained keep their value longer and can provide more miles of service, pavements that are well maintained deteriorate more slowly and have longer service lives. A proactive approach to sustainable pavements through preservation and rehabilitation requires agencies to

- Focus more time and effort on up-front evaluation of existing pavement conditions
- Stay informed about new or improved preservation and rehabilitation technologies and practices
- Systematically deploy optimum preventive maintenance activities, preserving pavements in good condition and extending their high level of service
- Systematically deploy optimum preservation and rehabilitation technologies and solutions resulting in smoother, more durable pavements with improved surface friction characteristics

One of the most important, but easily ignored, elements of such an approach is preventive maintenance. Preventive maintenance activities are accomplished when a pavement is still in good condition. With minimal investment, these activities restore or enhance and extend a pavement's original level of service. The window for preventive maintenance is approximately 10–15 years. Conducting timely, appropriate preventive maintenance on a routine basis can extend a pavement's life significantly. The most common preventive maintenance treatments are partial-depth repairs, full-depth repairs, dowel-bar retrofit, joint resealing and crack sealing, and diamond grinding.

Diamond grinding is typically used to restore ride quality after repairs are completed. This technique also improves skid resistance, significantly reduces tire-pavement noise, and can be applied two or three times over the life of the pavement (Correa and Wong 2001). The technique was first used on a section of I-10 in California in 1965, and the same section was subsequently ground in 1983 and again in 1997. This section is still in service today, carrying 2.25 million equivalent single-axle loads (ESALs)

One rehabilitation strategy is the use of bonded or unbonded concrete overlays; see Figure 5.3. Unbonded overlays in particular are high-performing rehabilitation strategies for concrete, asphalt, and composite pavements (Harrington 2008). By taking advantage of the existing pavement's remaining structural capacity, an overlay requires only a minimum of new material to restore or even enhance the pavement's structural and functional performance.

If appropriate and timely maintenance is not carried out, a pavement's condition will continue to deteriorate. Studies have shown that once a pavement's condition has deteriorated to the point that only 40% of its life remains, the rate of deterioration accelerates. Then, pavement condition can drop as much as 60% in only 12% of design life. Once a pavement's condition is beyond the preventive maintenance window, the pavement has entered the rehabilitation phase and requires structural restoration.

As with any other structure, there comes a time when a concrete pavement has reached the end of its service life. However, the concrete can still be recycled into the base of a new pavement, in place or elsewhere, or as aggregate for new concrete. In recent years, dramatic improvements have been made in recycling of concrete pavements.

As discussed previously, a proactive, life-cycle approach to pavement preservation and rehabilitation is required. Fortunately, highway agencies have access to information about a variety of effective concrete-based maintenance and other preservation and rehabilitation strategies to preserve the equity in existing pavements



**FIGURE 5.3** Concrete overlays can restore or enhance an existing pavement's functional and structural performance. (From Van Dam, T. and Taylor, P. C. Building Sustainable Pavements with Concrete—Briefing Document, Ames, IA, CP Road Map, 2009. [http://www.cproadmap.org/publications/sustainability\\_briefing.pdf](http://www.cproadmap.org/publications/sustainability_briefing.pdf) [accessed May 2011]. With permission.)

and enhance their functional and structural capacity for less than the cost of reconstruction. Many such strategies, some mentioned briefly earlier, are described in *The Concrete Pavement Preservation Workshop Reference Manual* (Smith, Hoerner, and Peshkin 2008).

## 5.9 PRINCIPLE 7: INNOVATE

Adopting a sustainable approach to pavements requires agencies and industry to develop new ways of thinking and doing. We can no longer base decisions on economic impacts alone, especially first costs. We must consider environmental and social impacts as well, spanning the entire pavement life cycle. Developing win-win-win solutions challenges our abilities to create and innovate.

An important innovation under development is high-SCM content cementitious binder systems. Variations of such systems were used in elements of the I-35W bridge reconstruction project in Minneapolis; see Table 5.1 (ACI 2009). The piers contained only 15% Portland cement (with 18% fly ash and 67% slag cement). This system resulted not only in economic savings but also in enhanced constructability. The heat of hydration was significantly reduced, and thus thermal stresses in the large piers were mitigated during construction. This system also had significant environmental benefits because it reduced the carbon footprint and embodied energy of the concrete mixture by approximately 75%. This bridge was aesthetically enhanced with gateway sculptures (30 ft [9 m]) tall). Concrete for the sculptures contained innovative

**TABLE 5.1**  
**Concrete Mixtures Used on the Reconstructed I-35W Bridge**

| Component      | Specified Strength (psi) | w/cm | Cementitious Materials      |                     |             |          |                 |
|----------------|--------------------------|------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|
|                |                          |      | Total (lb/yd <sup>3</sup> ) | Portland Cement (%) | Fly Ash (%) | Slag (%) | Silica Fume (%) |
| Superstructure | 6500                     | 0.35 | 700                         | 71                  | 25          | —        | 4               |
| Piers          | 4000                     | 0.45 | 575                         | 15                  | 18          | 67       | —               |
| Footings       | 5000–5500                | 0.45 | <600                        | 40                  | 18          | 42       | —               |
| Drilled shafts | 5000                     | 0.38 | <600                        | 40                  | 18          | 42       | —               |

Source: ACI. 2009. *Concrete International* 31 (2).

new cement that removes carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, and sulfur dioxide from the atmosphere by photocatalytic reaction.

Social benefits of the mixtures used in the I-35W bridge project are more difficult to quantify. They include a reduced amount of waste material (fly ash and slag) going to landfill and a lighter-colored concrete, which reduces the urban heat island effect.


Another emerging technology related to cements is reduced carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) binders (e.g., magnesium silicates, geopolymers) and binders that sequester CO<sub>2</sub> during their production. Although these binders are currently under development, it is easy to imagine that in the not too distant future concrete pavements will be constructed from low to no CO<sub>2</sub> cement-based binders.

Aggregates manufactured from CO<sub>2</sub> and seawater may someday be available. Such aggregates could make concrete of the future a CO<sub>2</sub> sink instead of a source (Constantz and Holland 2009). Many other innovations are already beginning to impact the concrete paving industry positively, including overlays, two-lift construction, whisper grind texturing, internal curing, and precast pavement elements, to name a few. Life cycle-based approaches encourage innovations such as these.

The key to enacting this principle is creating partnerships among various concrete pavement funding agencies, designers, materials suppliers, contractors, and other interested organizations and community representatives. Essential to such partnerships is shared risk. Adopting innovative approaches is always more challenging than doing what is familiar. If unexpected results occur, it is important to determine what went wrong, correct it for the next iteration, and, ultimately, adopt those technologies that prove to be promising.

**5.10 MEASUREMENT OF SUSTAINABILITY**

Ideally, an eighth principle would be “measure.” The best way to determine a pavement solution’s sustainability would be to employ a methodology that measures and compares economic, environmental, and societal factors influenced by the pavement over its entire life cycle (Figure 5.4). The methodology could be used in several ways:



**FIGURE 5.4** Economic, environmental, and social factors must be identified, measured, and balanced. (From Van Dam, T. and Taylor, P. C. Building Sustainable Pavements with Concrete—Briefing Document, Ames, IA, CP Road Map, 2009. [http://www.cproadmap.org/publications/sustainability\\_briefing.pdf](http://www.cproadmap.org/publications/sustainability_briefing.pdf) [accessed May 2011]. With permission.)

- To benchmark current practice and assess improvements as they are implemented
- To compare different systems or solutions on an equitable basis
- To assess the relative benefits of alternative approaches to design, materials selection, etc.

However, such a comprehensive evaluation methodology is not yet ready to be implemented. Although economic factors have been identified and can be measured, a suite of appropriate environmental and social factors has not yet been identified or generally accepted by the industry, and corresponding measurement tools are not generally available. The topic of measuring sustainability is extremely complex, and considerable debate regarding the details is ongoing.

### 5.10.1 ECONOMIC FACTORS RELATED TO SUSTAINABILITY

Good engineering practice for any project or system balances the need to minimize economic costs with the need to maximize efficiency, quality, and longevity. However, if attempts to minimize economic costs focus primarily on first (or initial) costs, engineers miss the opportunity to make informed decisions that affect future generations and long-term pavement sustainability. Therefore, economic costs should be analyzed across a system's entire life cycle using a life cycle cost analysis (LCCA) method, such as the RealCost program (FHWA 2009).

An LCCA is based on sound economic principles. In terms of dollars, the method considers the time value of money, initial and anticipated future costs, and ultimate

value at the end of service life. Most LCCA approaches used by agencies include only agency costs, such as the costs of initial construction, preservation, and rehabilitation, as well as salvage value. It is possible and maybe even desirable to include user costs in the LCCA. Such costs include, for example, financial costs to the traveling public caused by road preservation and maintenance activities. If included, user costs can quickly swamp agency costs. Still, this type of LCCA can provide a framework for considering trade-offs between user costs and agency costs.

Other economic costs that can be considered include the financial cost of environmental cleanup if a significant environmental impact is anticipated. Additionally, if a carbon cap-and-trade system is adopted, analyzing the economic impacts may provide one means of assessing, through an LCCA, the environmental impacts of the production of carbon dioxide.

### 5.10.2 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS RELATED TO SUSTAINABILITY

Currently, environmental factors contributing to the sustainability of concrete pavements can be assessed in one of two ways. The first is to use one of the emerging rating systems based loosely on the leadership in energy and environmental design (LEED) green building rating system (USGBC 2008). For concrete pavements, a few examples of such systems include the following:

- Green Roads: a rating system for pavements under development at the University of Washington (Muench et al. 2011)
- GreenLITES: a certification program instituted by the state of New York (NYSDOT 2008)

Whereas LEED has evolved over the last decade into a widely accepted approach for rating the environmental impact of buildings, systems for rating the environmental impact of pavements are still in the early stages of development and have yet to be broadly vetted. Further, by their very nature, rating systems simplify complex issues and may deliver an inappropriate assessment of some innovative pavement solutions. Thus, care must be exercised when using such systems because inappropriate measures and weightings may be applied to establish the rating. In time, as these systems evolve and improve, they likely will provide a simple approach to assess quickly the environmental factors related to concrete pavement sustainability.

In contrast to the rating systems and their inherent simplifications, the second method for assessing environmental factors—a detailed life cycle assessment (LCA)—offers a more complex approach. The primary example is the International Organization of Standardization's (ISO) guideline ISO 14040:2006, *environmental management—life-cycle assessment—principles and framework* (ISO 2009). This guideline describes how to conduct an LCA that accounts for all the individual environmental flows to and from a concrete pavement throughout its entire life cycle, from material extraction and processing through construction, operations, restoration, and rehabilitation, and, ultimately, to the end of service life and disposal/recycling.

Although the ISO guideline describes the LCA principles and framework, it does not describe the LCA technique in detail or specify methodologies for individual

phases of the LCA. Instead, several companies have developed methodologies that adhere to ISO 14040:2006 guidelines. These include the Athena Institute (2009), BASF (2009), and the RightEnvironment (2009), among others. Similarly, work conducted for the Portland Cement Association to develop a life-cycle inventory (LCI) for Portland cement concrete followed ISO 14040 guidelines (Marceau, Nisbet, and Vangeem 2007).

Based on these efforts and others, the following environmentally based parameters can be recommended for assessing the environmental impact of pavements in general and concrete pavements specifically:

- Embodied energy
- Emissions/global warming potential
- Toxicity potential
- Raw materials consumption
- Waste generated

### **5.11 CASE STUDY: TWO-LIFT CONCRETE PAVEMENT CONSTRUCTION**

The Missouri Department of Transportation (MODOT) is constructing (2010–2011) an innovative concrete pavement on Route 141 in St. Louis featuring the use of two-lift construction. This technique is not new; it was the standard practice for the construction of the first concrete pavements in the United States. These early pavements, known as R. S. Blome granitoid pavements, consisted of a 5- to 6-in. (12.5- to 15-cm)-thick lower lift of tamped concrete containing large, angular, coarse aggregate topped with a 1.5- to 2-in. (4- to 5-cm) surface lift made of carefully screened fine aggregate chips. The two lifts were placed “wet-on-wet,” creating a monolithic structure. The surface was carefully finished in a brick-like pattern to provide a non-slip surface for horses. Many of these pavements, which are now over 100 years old, remain in service throughout the United States.

More recently, two-lift concrete pavements using a “wet-on-wet” approach have been constructed for decades in a number of European countries, including Austria and Germany. These pavements are built almost exclusively for heavy-duty motorways and have been designed for 30 years or more of maintenance-free service life. In Europe, it is common to use recycled concrete aggregate (RCA) in the bottom lift and, in some cases, even intermixed with recycled asphalt pavement (RAP). The bottom lift is placed using very stiff concrete and is typically paved 8.25 in. (21 cm) thick. This is then immediately topped with a 1.6-in. (4-cm)-thick surface lift made with carefully graded smaller (5/16 in. [8 mm]) aggregates. European practice often exposes the aggregates through the application of a retarder and surface brushing, creating the desired skid resistance and noise reduction.


The first large-scale demonstration of this technology in the United States took place in Detroit, Michigan, on I-94 in 1994. But it was not until 2008, with the construction of a two-lift concrete pavement on I-70 near Salina, Kansas, and the conducting of the 2008 National Two-Lift Open House (see <http://www.cptechcenter.org/projects/two-lift-paving/index.cfm>) that the sustainability attributes of this

technique began to be realized in the United States. As a result of this successful demonstration in Kansas, MODOT decided to demonstrate the technology in an urban environment, further improving the sustainability attributes of the surface through the use of a photocatalytic (titanium dioxide) containing cement. The use of this cement in the surface lift actively removes nitrous oxide gases from the atmosphere, makes the surface highly reflective, and keeps it clean, thus lowering the urban heat island effect. This latter effect will lead to significant reductions in carbon dioxide through compensation of the global heating effect. This same project will feature the use of pervious concrete shoulders, which will allow surface runoff to pass through the pavement directly into the ground, naturally treating contaminants, recharging the groundwater, and mitigating storm runoff into surface waters. When completed, the project will feature three different test sections:

- Control section placed conventionally as a single lift; conventional asphalt and concrete shoulders included
- Two-lift concrete pavement section, with the top 2 in. (5 cm) lift containing photocatalytic cement to help abate nitrous oxides and carbon dioxide; conventional concrete shoulders included
- Two-lift concrete pavement section, with top 2 in. lift containing photocatalytic cement to help abate nitrous oxides and carbon dioxide; pervious concrete shoulders included.

Figure 5.5 illustrates the two concrete pavement sections and Table 5.2 shows the conventional concrete mixture and the two concrete mixtures used for the top and bottom lifts. As can be seen, both concrete mixtures in the two lift contain fly ash and reduced cementitious materials content. A comparative environmental impact analysis was conducted, evaluating the carbon footprint of the two concrete surfaces. The analysis considered cradle to placement materials, transportation, and concrete plant operations, with one lane-mile of pavement selected as the functional unit.


Figure 5.6 presents comparative plots of the carbon footprint of the conventional concrete pavement versus the two-lift concrete pavement. It is seen that although the carbon dioxide produced due to batching and transportation is similar, due to materials, it is significantly higher for the conventional concrete pavement. Since the materials are responsible for approximately 95% of the carbon dioxide associated with the



**FIGURE 5.5** Conventional MODOT design (left) compared to two-lift design (right).

**TABLE 5.2**  
**Concrete Mixture Designs Used on MODOT Two-Lift Project**

| Material         | Conventional kg/m <sup>3</sup><br>(lb/yd <sup>3</sup> ) | Top Lift kg/m <sup>3</sup><br>(lb/yd <sup>3</sup> ) | Bottom Lift kg/m <sup>3</sup><br>(lb/yd <sup>3</sup> ) |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Cement           | 332 (560)                                               | 240 (405)                                           | 204 (344)                                              |
| Fly ash          | 0 (0)                                                   | 80 (135)                                            | 68 (115)                                               |
| Water            | 133 (224)                                               | 128 (216)                                           | 109 (184)                                              |
| Fine aggregate   | 698 (1177)                                              | 739 (1246)                                          | 834 (1406)                                             |
| Coarse aggregate | 1143 (1927)                                             | 1114 (1877)                                         | 1110 (1871)                                            |



**FIGURE 5.6** Carbon footprint, in tons of carbon dioxide per lane-mile of conventional versus two-lift pavement sections. (For more information, contact Brett Trautman of the Missouri DOT [brett.trautman@modot.mo.gov] or Tom Cackler of the National Concrete Pavement Technology Center [tcackler@iastate.edu]).

construction of the concrete surface, the overall carbon footprint of the conventional pavement (381 tons of carbon dioxide per lane-mile) is significantly higher than for the two-lift pavement (250 tons of carbon dioxide per lane-mile). This project illustrates how design and materials can be optimized to reduce the carbon footprint of a concrete pavement significantly.

**5.12 SOCIETAL FACTORS RELATED TO SUSTAINABILITY**

Societies, particularly the United States, are utterly dependent on the ability of people and goods to move rapidly and efficiently from place to place. The mobility that pavements provide is one critical social factor in their overall sustainability. Another social factor is the effect of pavements on the quality of life in surrounding communities (by virtue of their appearance, location, contribution to traffic noise, impacts on safety, etc.).

Although the pavement industry has made progress in developing tools for analyzing two sustainability factors—LCCAs for analyzing economic factors and rating systems and early LCAs for measuring environmental factors—no system is yet available for assessing social factors. The following are several potential parameters that would need to be included in such an assessment:

- Safety
- User delays
- Noise
- Energy

### 5.13 WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE?

As discussed previously, concrete pavement stakeholders already have a toolbox of practical solutions that can have a positive impact on pavement sustainability. In addition, progress is being made on developing life cycle systems that will analyze economic, environmental, and social factors related to pavement sustainability. Identified research needs include:

- Development of advanced materials and processes that optimize reuse and conservation and that measurably reduce waste, energy consumption, water usage, and pollutants generated during all phases of the pavement's life cycle
- Creation of innovative designs that make full use of the versatility of concrete as a paving material to improve pavement sustainability
- Adoption of construction practices that directly enhance the overall sustainability of concrete pavements through increased efficiency, reduced emissions and waste, and decreased social disruption
- Application of preservation, rehabilitation, and recycling strategies to newly constructed concrete pavements that enhance the sustainability of the existing network of concrete pavements
- Refinement of life cycle cost analyses to account fully for the economic attributes of sustainable concrete pavements
- Acquisition, preservation, and distribution of data as part of an environmental life cycle inventory (LCI) that accounts for all the individual environmental flows to and from a concrete pavement throughout its entire life cycle and the adoption of an internationally recognized environmental life-cycle analysis (LCA) approach that examines the environmental aspects of concrete pavements through their life cycles
- Identification and quantification of social considerations that are affected by concrete pavement and inclusion of these considerations in the integrated design process
- Development of strategy selection criteria to assist in the decision-making process and to allow various alternatives to be compared based on economic, environmental, and social considerations
- Distribution of technology transfer for existing concrete pavement technologies that support the "triple bottom line" of economic, environmental, and societal sustainability
- Coordination and collaboration, with work being performed under other CP Road Map research tracks

## REFERENCES

- ACI. 2009. Sustainability leads to durability in the new I-35W bridge. *Concrete International* 31 (2).
- Athena Institute. 2009. Athena Institute overview. [www.athenasmi.org/about/](http://www.athenasmi.org/about/) (accessed June 2009).
- BASF. 2009. Eco-efficiency analysis. [www.basf.com/group/corporate/en/content/sustainability/eco-efficiency-analysis/index](http://www.basf.com/group/corporate/en/content/sustainability/eco-efficiency-analysis/index) (accessed June 2009).
- Constantz, B., and T. Holland. 2009. Sequestering carbon dioxide in the built environment. Seminar presented at the 2009 World of Concrete, Las Vegas, NV, February 2–6, 2009.
- CMRA (Construction Materials Recycling Association). 2009. [www.concreterecycling.org/histories.html](http://www.concreterecycling.org/histories.html) (accessed February 2009).
- Correa, A. L., and B. Wong. 2001. Concrete pavement rehabilitation—Guide for diamond grinding. FHWA-SRC-1/10-01(5M). Washington, DC: Federal Highway Administration.
- EPA. 2009. Reducing urban heat islands: Compendium of strategies—Cool pavements. Draft. Washington, DC: US Environmental Protection Agency. [www.epa.gov/heatisland/resources/pdf/CoolPavesCompendium.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/heatisland/resources/pdf/CoolPavesCompendium.pdf) (accessed March 2009).
- FHWA (Federal Highway Administration). 2009. Life-cycle cost analysis software. [www.fhwa.dot.gov/infrastructure/asstmgmt/lccasoft.cfm](http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/infrastructure/asstmgmt/lccasoft.cfm) (accessed June 2009).
- Harrington, D. et al. 2008. Guide to concrete overlays: Sustainable solutions for resurfacing and rehabilitating existing pavements. Ames, IA: National Concrete Pavement Technology Center.
- ISO. 2009. Environmental management—Life-cycle assessment—Principles and framework. ISO 14040:2006. International Standards Organization. [www.iso.org/iso/catalogue\\_detail?csnumber=37456](http://www.iso.org/iso/catalogue_detail?csnumber=37456) (accessed June 2009).
- Marceau, M., M. Nisbet, and M. Vangeem. 2007. Life cycle inventory of Portland cement concrete. PCA R&D Serial no. 3011. Skokie, IL: Portland Cement Association.
- McDonough, W., and M. Braungart. 2002. *Cradle to cradle: Remaking the way we make things*. New York: North Point Press.
- Muench, S.T., Anderson, J. L., Hatfield, J. P., Koester, J. R., & Söderlund, M. et al. 2011. Greenroads Manual v1.5. J. L. Anderson, C. D. Weiland, and S. T. Muench (Eds.). Seattle, WA: University of Washington. <http://www.greenroads.us/1/home.html>
- NRMCA (National Ready Mix Concrete Association). 2009. Pervious concrete pavement: An overview. [www.perviouspavement.org](http://www.perviouspavement.org) (accessed June 2009).
- NYSDOT (New York State Department of Transportation). 2008. GreenLITES. <https://www.nysdot.gov/programs/greenlites/> (accessed June 2009).
- Rasmussen, R. O., R. Bernhard, U. Sandberg, and E. Mun. 2007. The little book of quieter pavements. FHWA-IF-08-004. Washington, DC: Federal Highway Administration.
- Rasmussen, R. O., S. I. Garber, G. J. Fick, T. R. Ferragut, and P. D. Wiegand. 2008. How to reduce tire-pavement noise: Interim best practices for constructing and texturing concrete pavement surfaces. TPF-5(139). Ames, IA: National Concrete Pavement Technology Center.
- RMRC (Recycled Materials Resource Center). 2009. [www.rmrc.unh.edu/](http://www.rmrc.unh.edu/) (accessed June 2009).
- Smith, K., and K. Hall. 2001. Concrete pavement design details and construction practices. NHI course no. 131060. Washington, DC: National Highway Institute, Federal Highway Administration.
- Smith, K., T. Hoerner, and D. Peshkin. 2008. *Concrete pavement preservation workshop reference manual*. Washington, DC: Federal Highway Administration.
- Taylor, P. C., S. H. Kosmatka, G. F. Voigt, et al. 2006. Integrated materials and construction practices for concrete pavements: A state-of-the-practice manual. FHWA publication no. HIF-07-004. Washington, DC: Federal Highway Administration.

- TheRightEnvironment. 2009. Welcome. TheRightEnvironment, Ltd. [www.therightenvironment.net/index.html](http://www.therightenvironment.net/index.html) (accessed June 2009).
- USGBC (US Green Building Council). 2008. LEED. [www.usgbc.org/DisplayPage.aspx?CategoryID=19](http://www.usgbc.org/DisplayPage.aspx?CategoryID=19) (accessed June 2009).
- Van Dam, T. and Taylor, P.C., *Building Sustainable Pavements with Concrete – Briefing Document*, Ames, IA, CP Road Map, 2009, [http://www.cproadmap.org/publications/sustainability\\_briefing.pdf](http://www.cproadmap.org/publications/sustainability_briefing.pdf) (accessed May 2011)

## ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

### CENTERS, ASSOCIATIONS, AND SOCIETIES

- American Concrete Institute Committee 130 Sustainability: [www.concrete.org/COMMITTEES/committeehome.asp?committee\\_code=0000130-00](http://www.concrete.org/COMMITTEES/committeehome.asp?committee_code=0000130-00)
- American Concrete Pavement Association: [www.acpa.org/](http://www.acpa.org/)
- American Society of Civil Engineers: [www.asce.org/professional/sustainability/](http://www.asce.org/professional/sustainability/)
- Cement Association of Canada: [www.cement.ca/](http://www.cement.ca/)
- Context Sensitive Solutions: [www.contextsensitivesolutions.org/](http://www.contextsensitivesolutions.org/)
- CP Tech Center: [www.cptechcenter.org](http://www.cptechcenter.org)
- Green Highways Partnership: [www.greenhighways.org/](http://www.greenhighways.org/)
- International Grooving and Grinding Association: [www.igga.net/](http://www.igga.net/)
- Materials in Sustainable Transportation Infrastructure: [www.misti.mtu.edu/index.php](http://www.misti.mtu.edu/index.php)
- Portland Cement Association: [www.cement.org/SD/index.asp](http://www.cement.org/SD/index.asp)
- Recycled Materials Resource Center: [www.recycledmaterials.org/](http://www.recycledmaterials.org/)
- National Ready Mix Concrete Association: [www.nrmca.org/sustainability/index.asp](http://www.nrmca.org/sustainability/index.asp)

### PRODUCERS

- BASF: [www.basf.com/group/corporate/en/content/sustainability/eco-efficiency-analysis/index](http://www.basf.com/group/corporate/en/content/sustainability/eco-efficiency-analysis/index)
- LCA Tools
- Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design: [www.usgbc.org/ Default.aspx](http://www.usgbc.org/Default.aspx)

### FEDERAL AGENCIES

- Environmental Protection Agency: [www.epa.gov/](http://www.epa.gov/)
- Greenroads: [pavementinteractive.org/index.php?title=Green\\_roads](http://pavementinteractive.org/index.php?title=Green_roads)
- FHWA: [www.fhwa.dot.gov/pavement/concrete/](http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/pavement/concrete/)
- FHWA Context Sensitive Design: [www.fhwa.dot.gov/context/index.cfm](http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/context/index.cfm)
- Green Streets Calculator: <http://1734298.sites.myregisteredsite.com/green11/calculator.aspx>
- Measure of Sustainability: [www.canadianarchitect.com/asf/perspectives\\_sustainability/measures\\_of\\_sustainability/measures\\_of\\_sustainability\\_intro.htm](http://www.canadianarchitect.com/asf/perspectives_sustainability/measures_of_sustainability/measures_of_sustainability_intro.htm)
- National Renewable Energy Laboratory: [www.nrel.gov/lci/](http://www.nrel.gov/lci/)
- RealCost: [www.fhwa.dot.gov/infrastructure/asstgmt/rc21toc.cfm](http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/infrastructure/asstgmt/rc21toc.cfm)



---

# 6 Roller Compacted Concrete Pavements *A Sustainable Alternative*

*Chetan Hazaree*

## CONTENTS

|        |                                                       |     |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 6.1    | Introduction .....                                    | 129 |
| 6.2    | Background.....                                       | 130 |
| 6.3    | RCC as a Pavement Material .....                      | 132 |
| 6.4    | Practical Considerations .....                        | 133 |
| 6.5    | RCC Construction Technique .....                      | 137 |
| 6.6    | A Relative Assessment.....                            | 138 |
| 6.7    | Mixture Proportioning of RCC.....                     | 140 |
| 6.8    | Other Performance Parameters .....                    | 140 |
| 6.9    | Aspects of Sustainability .....                       | 140 |
| 6.10   | A Versatile Material and Construction Technique ..... | 144 |
| 6.11   | Applications of RCC .....                             | 144 |
| 6.11.1 | Airport Applications.....                             | 145 |
| 6.11.2 | Local Streets/Village Roads .....                     | 146 |
| 6.12   | Growing Applications: A Hopeful Future .....          | 146 |
| 6.13   | Concluding Remarks .....                              | 147 |
|        | References .....                                      | 147 |
|        | Additional Reading .....                              | 148 |

## 6.1 INTRODUCTION

Chapter 5 dealt with sustainability and concrete pavements in a classical way and discussed some potential areas for future development. In recent years, roller compacted concrete (RCC) technology has caught up in sustainable pavements from its conventional use in large hydraulic structures, such as dams. This chapter is thus a logical extension to the discussion on concrete pavements. Potential benefits of using Portland cement concrete, including the sustainably beneficial use of supplementary cementitious materials, recycled concrete aggregates, and other wastes, have already been discussed. With the road infrastructure playing a decisive role in influencing the economies of the United States (a developed country) and India (a developing country), it is imperative to appreciate and critically evaluate the existing ways of

using depleting natural or relevant man-made resources from a sustainability perspective. Additionally, there is a need to find sustainable practices for road construction, repair, and rehabilitation.

This chapter aims at providing an understanding of RCC as an alternative pavement material that uses modified construction methods both of which merit attention and application vis-à-vis pavement sustainability. Pavements built using RCC are referred to as RCC pavement (RCCP). RCC is conceived as not only a construction material but also as a construction method. It has been widely used for dam construction all over the world.<sup>1,2</sup> In the last quarter of the past century, RCC has been increasingly acknowledged and used for pavement applications.<sup>3,4</sup> It is also recognized as dry lean concrete,<sup>5</sup> no-slump concrete,<sup>6</sup> and econo-crete.<sup>7</sup>


Starting with the background of RCC technology, this chapter deals with various aspects including applications in both the United States and India. Characterization and performance of RCC are discussed, along with future potentials, and an extensive list of references is provided.

## 6.2 BACKGROUND

RCC is a rapidly evolving concrete material and construction methodology. Due to its unique nature, it is applied widely in diverse engineering applications ranging from hydraulic to pavement structures. Deriving its origin from the soil compaction approach, RCC has evolved significantly, offering sound engineering benefits and cost effectiveness. Because it is distinctly different in its macro- and microstructure, RCC offers itself as an anomalous material at times.

RCC originated almost 80 years ago; the earliest form of RCC pavement was built in Sweden in the 1930s. The US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) built an RCC runway in Yakima, Washington, in 1942. By the 1960s, cement-treated base (CTB) was used in the Oregon logging industry. In Vancouver, it was used for a log-sorting yard in 1976. The CTB was improved in this case by increasing the cement content of the soil–cement mixture from 6% to 12% by weight. This cement base gave good performance even without an asphalt overlay, which led to further development of RCC. This improved CTB was stronger and more resistant to freeze–thaw (F–T) damage, could resist petroleum spillage attacks, allowed faster vehicle speeds, and required less maintenance than gravel surfaces. The USACE started to investigate using RCC pavements in the 1980s and has since become a strong advocate for RCC pavements.<sup>8</sup> Figure 6.1<sup>9</sup> presents a pictorial view of the developments in RCC technology.

Applications of RCC subsequently grew, although at a much slower pace. In the last couple of decades, the applications have increased dramatically, especially in the United States. Although there have not been any significant army applications, industrial and other applications have shown a stimulating increase. Typical applications include industry facilities, where heavy load carrying capacity and rapid wear and tear are anticipated; areas covered by off-road weigh stations; pavement bases in composite pavements; airport aprons; docks and container ports; and multimodal facilities and parking facilities. Recently, the use of RCC in composite pavements has been encouraged along with other pavement layer applications, including pavement shoulders.



**FIGURE 6.1** A glimpse of the historical evolution of RCC. (From Harrington, D. et al. 2010. Guide for roller compacted concrete pavements. Ames, IA: CP Tech Center and PCA.)


### 6.3 RCC AS A PAVEMENT MATERIAL

RCC is manufactured with materials similar to those used in making conventional concrete; the only difference is in the method of compaction (technically correct term) or consolidation. As a material, RCC handles initially like a soil and sets up later to become a true concrete, thus making it a unique combination of soil and concrete. Therefore, engineers designing RCC projects come from diverse backgrounds in structural, geotechnical, geological, general civil, transportation, and hydraulic specialties.<sup>10</sup>


RCC for pavement applications is placed without forms, requires no additional finishing efforts or surface texturing, and does not have any requirements for dowelled joints or other steel reinforcement. Because of its potential ability to accommodate a wide range of materials, this material and construction method offers engineering advantages in the form of reduced material cost, increased placement speed, reduced construction time, and lower maintenance costs. Considering the shrinking time-scales of projects, especially in developed countries, this technology offers a time-efficient alternative to the conventional methods of pavement construction.

The basic raw materials and their properties required for manufacturing RCC are similar to those used in conventional concrete. The difference, however, is in the continuous aggregate grading and enhanced need for fines (passing through a 150- $\mu\text{m}$  sieve). The individual and combined aggregate grading bands are shown in Figure 6.2.<sup>11</sup> The combined aggregate grading is quite similar to that required for making asphalt cement concrete and needs to be controlled properly during concrete production for achieving the target density and consequent properties after compaction.

Higher dosages of fly ash can be used for manufacturing RCC. If the mixtures are properly proportioned and the ingredients carefully selected, RCC offers an excellent material for the application of high-volume fly ash concrete technology, especially in developing countries. The use of other higher volumes of supplementary



**FIGURE 6.2** Aggregate grading required for RCC. Combined aggregate grading. (ACI Committee 325. 1995. State of the art report on roller compacted concrete pavements. Detroit, MI, ACI.)




**FIGURE 6.3** Irregular shape compaction voids (darker areas) in scanning electron microscope.

cementitious materials can be encouraged where environmental regulations restrict the use of fly ash in concrete. It should be noted that the percentage of cement replacement with materials like fly ash and slag that can be comfortably controlled in RCC is usually slightly higher than that for conventional pavement concrete. The use of silica fume or silica-fume-blended cements has been encouraged in Canada<sup>12</sup> for better F–T and frost resistance.

The use of routine chemical admixtures like water reducers and retarders is not widely accepted in RCC. However, the use of air-entraining admixtures is encouraged in North America for enhancing F–T resistance. Due to the inherent nature of compaction, compaction air voids (Figure 6.3) are left behind in the internal structure of hardened RCC. The spatial distribution, size grading, and shape cannot be controlled well. An indirect way of regulating these, however, is by having strict control over the combined aggregate grading and water content of the mixture. These compaction voids can play some role in enhancing the F–T resistance of concrete; however, the use of an air-entraining agent definitely improves concrete's resistance to freezing and thawing.<sup>12,13</sup> There is, however, disparity between the laboratory results and field observations. Some of the RCCP without any air entrainment have withstood the test of time in North America. Care and detailed prior testing of material are strongly recommended before any such applications. F–T response of a mixture is an outcome of individual materials' behaviors as well.

## 6.4 PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Mixed in various types of mixers (e.g., pug mill, continuous flow, rotating drum, horizontal twin shaft, pan, transit trucks), RCC requires vigorous mixing to ensure proper dispersion of cement and admixtures and to reduce segregation. Figure 6.4 shows a sampling of factors that need to be considered during mixing and for mixer




**FIGURE 6.4** Considerations for RCC mixing and mixer selection.


selection. The mixture proportioning needs to be considered from these perspectives to ensure a consistently good product. After mixing, RCC is then transported in covered dump trucks to the paving site within an acceptable time span (usually 45–60 min), with adequate compensation made for the moisture losses and thus loss of workability before concrete starts getting compacted. Minor adjustments to the cement factor might occasionally be required.

RCC is paved on well-prepared, clean, and lightly moistened subgrade. When used in concrete pavements as a base layer, a debonding sheet<sup>14</sup> or thin asphalt coat may also be used. RCC is usually placed using asphalt pavers (regular, with extra screed, heavy tampers, heavy duty) or regular concrete pavers (with vibrators either lifted or removed) to the required thickness. One important aspect of the hauling cycle is maintaining a coordinated throughput. This is usually achieved by planning, matching, and regulating production and paving speeds and by arranging a suitable truck fleet for transportation while anticipating possible breakdowns and disruptions. Figures 6.5–6.8 illustrate subgrade preparation, production, construction, compaction, and curing operations.<sup>15–18</sup>


Compaction (plain–vibratory–plain passes) is started at a suitable point in time, usually after observing the consistency and compactability of concrete. The moisture content is monitored during compaction. Concrete on the drier side will tend




**FIGURE 6.5** Subgrade preparation. (From Thompson, R. R. 2002. In *Roller compacted concrete pavement: Design and construction*. Columbus, OH, PCA and Indiana/Kentucky Cement and Concrete Industry; Poole, B. 2008. In *Roller compacted concrete pavement: Design and construction*. Atlanta, GA, Southeast Cement Association and PCA.)



**FIGURE 6.6** RCC loading, unloading, and paving. (From Poole, B. 2008. In *Roller compacted concrete pavement: Design and construction*. Atlanta, GA, Southeast Cement Association and PCA; Adaska, W. 2009. Personal communication re: RCC-Section 7.)



**FIGURE 6.7** Compaction, density checking, and saw cutting. (From Poole, B. 2008. In *Roller compacted concrete pavement: Design and construction*. Atlanta, GA, Southeast Cement Association and PCA; Adaska, W. 2009. Personal communication re: RCC-Section 7; McQueen, J. 2008. In *Roller compacted concrete pavement: Design and construction*. Atlanta, GA, PCA and Southeast Cement Association.)



**FIGURE 6.8** Curing of RCC. (From Adaska, W. 2009. Personal communication re: RCC-Section 7; Thompson, R. R. 2002. In *Roller compacted concrete pavement: Design and construction*. Columbus, OH, PCA and Indiana/Kentucky Cement and Concrete Industry.)

to consume more fuel because it will demand greater number of passes and often might not achieve the specified degree of compaction. However, mixtures on the wet side will lead to time losses because the roller will not be able to operate without forming a wavy surface or causing edge slump. Subsequent to compacting, the density is checked and verified before concluding the compaction for a given stretch of pavement.

Saw cutting is usually started within the first 16–24 hours. Depending upon the type of joint (transverse or longitudinal), the joint may be sealed with a suitable sealant. Sometimes the pavement is left without any joints and allowed to crack in an uncontrolled way. Saw cutting may not be required when RCC is used as a pavement base or is going to be overlaid with asphalt or concrete. However, it is important to install saw cuts at regular intervals (with center-to-center distance much longer than conventional concrete pavements) to prevent unplanned cracks and associated damage during the lifetime of the pavement.

Water curing is usually specified for the first 7 days. This can be achieved using water tankers fitted with sprayers or sprinklers, spreading plastic sheet after spreading water, or application of curing compounds.

## 6.5 RCC CONSTRUCTION TECHNIQUE

The paving of RCC is quite similar to paving asphalt and is done using asphalt pavers. These pavers are usually attached with extra screed to obtain better compaction during paving. Conventional concrete pavers can also be used either by lifting the needle vibrators or by removing them temporarily during RCC paving. With an assured and a continuous supply of concrete, the paving speed can be kept slightly higher than conventional concrete paving. The material is so stiff that one can stand on freshly paved RCC without damaging the pavement.

Immediately after paving, the concrete is compacted using vibratory drum rollers or pneumatic rollers. The roll-pass chart is developed during the trial patch construction and is used for guiding the compaction effort during actual construction. The density is then monitored using a nuclear density gauge or any other suitable method of measuring in situ density. Refer to Figure 6.9 for laying and compaction operations.




**FIGURE 6.9** RCC laying and compaction. (Courtesy of John Edwards.)

6.6 A RELATIVE ASSESSMENT

Figure 6.10 shows a quantitative comparison of self-consolidating concrete (SCC), pavement-quality concrete (PQC), and roller-compacted concrete (RCC). These data are taken from published literature in recent years and show the averages of water and paste volumes in each of these types of concretes. As compared to SCC and PQC, RCC is a much drier system in terms of water content. Moreover, the paste content is also lower than the other two concretes. Additionally, as the consistency of the concrete type increases, higher amounts of water-reducing admixtures are used. The use of such admixtures is next to negligible in RCC and is highest in SCC.

Although the paste volume in RCC is relatively lower and no water reducers are usually used, the strength of this type of concrete is comparatively higher when compared to SCC. Inversely, for a given strength, the amount of paste required by RCC is much less than that required by SCC. Stating the difference with enhanced clarity, Table 6.1 presents a comparison between the conventionally compacted pavement concrete (CCPC) and RCC.



**FIGURE 6.10** Comparison of SCC, PQC, and RCC: paste volume, strength, and water content.

**TABLE 6.1**  
**Comparison between CPCC and RCC**

| Consideration             | Description                              | CCPC                                                                                                                   | RCC                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Materials                 | Cement content                           | Decided by the water demand of the aggregate system and w/c ratio of the mix<br>Relatively higher for a given strength | Decided on percentage by weight basis required to achieve specified strength<br>Relatively less for comparable compressive strength |
|                           | Aggregate grading                        | Comparatively less well graded                                                                                         | Very well graded to minimize voids                                                                                                  |
|                           | Moisture content                         | Given by w/c ratio by weight                                                                                           | Optimum moisture content (OMC)                                                                                                      |
|                           | Chemical admixtures                      | Primarily retarders, water reducers, air entrainment                                                                   | Not widely used                                                                                                                     |
| Workability               | Consistency measurement                  | Can be measured by slump test, compaction factor, etc.<br>Vebe test is not very helpful                                | Vebe consistometer<br>Value depends on the surcharge weight used                                                                    |
|                           | Theoretical density (NMSA, 19 mm)        | Usually close to or greater than 98%, depending on mix constitution                                                    | Usually close to or less than 98%, depending on the mix proportioning method; could range between 95% and 98%                       |
| Mixing/transport          | Concrete mixer types                     | Drum, pan, twin shaft horizontal, transit                                                                              | Drum, pan, twin shaft horizontal, continuous flow, transit, pug mills                                                               |
|                           | Mixing energy required<br>Transportation | Relatively lower<br>Dump trucks, transits                                                                              | Relatively vigorous<br>By scraper, conveyor, bottom and rear dump trucks or large front end loaders                                 |
| Construction field checks | Spreading and laying                     | Bobcat, concrete pavers                                                                                                | By back hoe, loader, asphalt pavers, concrete pavers, etc.                                                                          |
|                           | Compaction                               | Usually using internal or external vibrators                                                                           | Vibratory, rubber tire rollers                                                                                                      |
|                           | Density checks                           | Not required on fresh concrete                                                                                         | Required on fresh concretes                                                                                                         |
|                           | Fresh concrete specified in terms of:    | Slump, air content, and temperature                                                                                    | Vebe, OMC and maximum fresh (dry) density                                                                                           |

*Continued*

**TABLE 6.1 (Continued)**  
**Comparison between CPCC and RCC**

| Consideration                        | Description                                                                               | CCPC                                                                                               | RCC                                                                                        |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mechanical and durability properties | Strength                                                                                  | Relatively less for the same cement factor                                                         | Relatively more for the same cement factor                                                 |
|                                      | Surface finish                                                                            | Smooth                                                                                             | Rough and wavy due to roller compaction                                                    |
|                                      | Air entrainment for:                                                                      | Required:                                                                                          | May or may not be required                                                                 |
|                                      | Shrinkage, carbonation, sulfate resistance, alkali silica reactivity, abrasion resistance | Relatively easier to entrain<br>Widely studied and reported in the literature and quite conclusive | Quite difficult to entrain at regular doses<br>Not much studied to be conclusive to report |

**6.7 MIXTURE PROPORTIONING OF RCC**

Since RCC has its origins in soil analogy, there are two schools of thoughts according to which the concrete mixture proportioning is performed. The first school proportions RCC mixtures using the soil analogy compaction method while the second school uses the conventional water-to-cement (w/c) ratio and consistency approach. The details of these methods can be found in the literature.<sup>11</sup> However, to offer the reader a sampling of mixture proportions typically used, Table 6.2 has been constructed.

**6.8 OTHER PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS**

As stated earlier, for a given cement factor, RCC will usually offer better mechanical performance in terms of compressive and flexural strength. Not much research has been performed on the durability of RCC in general. However, the real-life performance of RCCP<sup>19</sup> over the past 20–30 years substantiates the fact that RCC can perform very well under severe climatic conditions and traffic loadings. Moreover, the F–T performance of RCC, even without the use of air-entraining admixtures, has been found to be satisfactory. A detailed discussion is considered beyond the scope of this work.

**6.9 ASPECTS OF SUSTAINABILITY**

The key aspects of a holistic sustainability approach could include efforts dovetailed to

- Conserve natural resources effectively
- Reduce initial material processing energy
- Reduce energy required for transportation
- Increase and enhance the use of recycled materials including water
- Improve recycling efficiency

TABLE 6.2  
Sampling of RCC Mixture Proportions

| Ref. | Cement<br>(kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Fly Ash |                                 | Water<br>(kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Coarse Aggregate<br>(kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Fine Aggregate<br>(kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Additive<br>(kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Remarks                                                                                                                                |
|------|--------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|      |                                | Type    | Content<br>(kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) |                               |                                          |                                        |                                  |                                                                                                                                        |
| 19   | 325                            | NA      | —                               | 138                           | 1341                                     | 599                                    | 0.81                             | Conventional pavement concrete                                                                                                         |
| 20   | 256                            | NA      | —                               | 104                           | 1241                                     | 936                                    | 0.64                             | Austin, TX<br>Fort Campbell, KY<br>Spring Hill, TN                                                                                     |
|      | 154.25                         | C       | 154.25                          | 107.98                        | 955.17                                   | 955.17                                 | NA                               |                                                                                                                                        |
|      | 237.31                         | F       | 125.77                          | 121.62                        | 1059                                     | 869.15                                 |                                  |                                                                                                                                        |
| 4    | 237.31                         | F       | 88.99                           | 113.91                        | 1121.29                                  | 919.58                                 |                                  | Port Washington Power Plant, WI<br>Pulliam Power Plant, WI                                                                             |
|      | 178                            | C       | 178                             | 131                           | 1146                                     | 712                                    |                                  |                                                                                                                                        |
| 11   | 220                            | C       | 95                              | 138                           | 1127                                     | 1177                                   |                                  | Silica fume cement                                                                                                                     |
|      | 296                            | NA      | —                               | 102                           | 1347                                     | 747                                    | 1.2                              |                                                                                                                                        |
| 21   | 246                            | NA      | —                               | 107                           | 1355                                     | 758                                    | 1                                | AEA 1000 mL/m <sup>3</sup> + water reducer 960 mL/m <sup>3</sup>                                                                       |
|      | 300                            | C       | 60                              | 105                           | 1000                                     | 1015                                   |                                  |                                                                                                                                        |
|      | 250                            | NA      | —                               | 90                            | 1070                                     | 1130                                   |                                  |                                                                                                                                        |
| 22   | 300                            | NA      | —                               | 105                           | 1000                                     | 1075                                   |                                  | High-volume fly ash application                                                                                                        |
|      | 198.5                          | C       | 47.5                            | 117.1                         | 1038.9                                   | 1018.4                                 |                                  |                                                                                                                                        |
| 23   | 106                            | F       | 177                             | 118.6                         | 1246                                     | 831                                    |                                  | High-volume use of pond ash<br>RCC for base applications; with marginal aggregates<br>RCC for base applications or composite pavements |
| 24   | 133                            | F       | 221                             | 106.2                         | 1204                                     | 802                                    |                                  |                                                                                                                                        |
| 24   | 125                            | F       | 152                             | 141                           | 1247                                     | 789                                    |                                  |                                                                                                                                        |
| 25   | 160                            |         |                                 | 152                           | 1275                                     | 845                                    |                                  |                                                                                                                                        |
| 26   | 160                            | NA      | —                               | 130                           | 1285                                     | 931                                    | 1.6                              |                                                                                                                                        |
|      | 118                            | F       | 62                              | 122                           | 1341                                     | 876                                    | 1.8                              |                                                                                                                                        |
|      | 105                            | F       | 85                              | 122                           | 1384                                     | 830                                    | 1.9                              |                                                                                                                                        |
|      | 100                            | F       | 120                             | 120                           | 1496                                     | 704                                    | 2.2                              |                                                                                                                                        |

Note: There are differences in moisture content considerations reported by different authors. Hence, Table 6.2 should be carefully interpreted.

- Design for longer service life
- Cultivate the culture of sustainable performance specifications
- Maintain the quality of natural water by reducing its consumption
- Reduce the cost of construction and maintenance
- Encourage social involvement and education

RCC is less costly than conventional Portland cement concrete (PCC) pavement. The USACE compared costs of RCC and conventional Portland cement concrete pavements in 1995. The study analyzed 49 different USACE projects where RCC had been used for tank hardstands, tank trails, shipping yards, port facilities, maintenance yards, municipal streets, roads, parking areas, and other applications. Savings ranged from 14% to 58%.<sup>19</sup> Naik and Ramme<sup>3</sup> claim that initial cost savings of 15%–40% can be expected if RCC pavement is specified as a pavement alternative for projects requiring heavy wheel loading compared to conventional paving concrete.


Apart from the direct cost benefits that RCC offers in terms of cement savings and construction speed and constructability, the following features further enhance its sustainability rating:

- For a given cement factor, RCC will usually have higher strength than the conventional pavement concrete due to the dense aggregate packing achieved through proper proportioning of aggregates and compaction.
- Due to dense aggregate packing, the use of higher dosages of supplementary cementitious materials is possible.
- No dowel bars or tie rods are usually used in RCC. This in turn leads to comprehensive savings in materials, materials cost, and associated processing during their manufacture and usage.
- No form works are required.
- No special finishing efforts are required. The pavement is finished during compaction itself. Texturing is usually not required.
- The use of asphalt pavers instead of concrete pavers reduces paving costs.
- The joint spacing can be increased, further reducing the cost of joint cutting and sealing.
- The pavement can be opened to traffic earlier than conventional concrete pavement.
- RCC as a material can accommodate more local materials than conventional concrete mixtures.
- Generally, the use of chemical admixtures is not required. Hence, the processing energies required for manufacturing chemical admixtures are saved.
- Since RCCP offers an integrated and comprehensive pavement alternative, it reduces the overall initial cost, thus saving the taxpayers dollars. This offers a higher social sustainability rating to RCCP.


Harrington et al.<sup>9</sup> and Abdo and Shepherd<sup>20</sup> argue that RCC pavements provide sustainable pavement options because of the following qualities:

- Low embodied energy due to low production and maintenance energy use
- Reduced construction fuel demand compared to asphalt pavements, due to thicker lifts
- Ability to use natural material, such as aggregate, in the most cost-efficient manner (by eliminating the need for substantial granular sub-base) while still providing high structural load-carrying capacity
- Ability to consume industrial by-products such as fly ash; ground, granulated blast furnace (GGBF) slag; and silica fume
- Ability to use more nonplastic fines, which reduces waste materials at quarries
- Longevity
- Low wheel-rolling resistance, which increases fuel economy
- Negative texture (needed for quiet pavements)
- Recyclability for use as future concrete or granular base
- High heat and light reflectance, with an RCC solar reflectance of greater than 29

It is also important not only to view materials from the sustainability perspective, but also to look at the overall integration of sustainability principles in the design and construction of pavements. Considerations for such value-engineered, integrated paving solutions should be encouraged during the conceptualization and design phase of projects. Figures 6.11 and 6.12 highlight two examples showing sustainable savings achieved through such efforts.



**FIGURE 6.11** Sustainable alternative considered at Choctaw Point terminal, Mobile, Alabama. The key sustainability benefits include cost savings, longevity, reduced excavation, use of local materials, cooler pavement, and less damage to area roads. (From Abdo, F. Y., and D. D. Shepherd. 2010. Innovative sustainable pavement solutions. Concrete Sustainability Conference, NRMCA, Tempe, AZ.)



**FIGURE 6.12** Options at BMW plant, Spartanburg, South Carolina. Significant sustainability benefits include reduced fuel cost, less processing energies, reduced excavation, and faster construction. (From Abdo, F. Y., and D. D. Shepherd. 2010. Innovative sustainable pavement solutions. Concrete Sustainability Conference, NRMCA, Tempe, AZ.)

### 6.10 A VERSATILE MATERIAL AND CONSTRUCTION TECHNIQUE

A very good aspect of RCC as a sustainable material and construction technique is its versatility of applications. This can also be seen as the adaptability of this material and construction practice to suit specific applications. Whether small or large scale or for regular or tough wear and tear, RCC can be used in a wide range of applications. The types of loads that RCCP can carry and the nature of traffic that it can handle vary widely. Depending on the type of application, the design methodology can differ. The following is a partial list of RCC applications:

- Airport apron areas (e.g., Denver International airport)
- Composite construction consisting of RCC overlaid with a layer of asphalt
- Pavement bases (e.g., dry lean concrete base course beneath the wearing course in rigid pavements in India)
- Low-maintenance roads and parking areas (General Motors, Spring Hill, Tennessee)
- Industrial access roads surfaced with or without asphalt or concrete overlay (Tennessee DOT)
- Inlay rehabilitation (McMurray, Alberta, Canada)
- Fast-track intersections (Calgary, Alberta, Canada)
- Shoulder reconstruction (I285, Atlanta, Georgia)
- City streets (Lane Avenue, Columbus, Ohio)

### 6.11 APPLICATIONS OF RCC

There are number of applications in various areas that were mentioned in the earlier sections. These are presented in this section to demonstrate that ports and heavy industrial facilities are large, open areas with few obstructions that may delay the



**FIGURE 6.13** Port facility in Houston, Texas. (From Harrington, D. et al. 2010. Guide for roller compacted concrete pavements. Ames, IA: CP Tech Center and PCA.)

construction process, making them ideal candidates for RCC. Pavements for ports and other heavy industrial facilities must be strong and durable because container-handling equipment can have wheel loads of 30–60 kips (13.6–27.2 metric tons) or more per tire (Figure 6.13). In applications where the desired thickness is greater than 10 in. (25.4 cm), two lifts are required.<sup>9</sup>

### 6.11.1 AIRPORT APPLICATIONS

Airports commonly use unsurfaced RCC pavements for maintenance areas, parking lots, and snow storage areas. The pavement can withstand large loads, such as heavy snow plowing and heavy truck traffic during snow events. Moreover, RCC will not deteriorate under the saturated conditions caused by melting snow. Composite sections made up of an RCC base with a thin overlay of asphalt or unbonded concrete as depicted in Figure 6.14<sup>21</sup> have been used for runways, taxiways, and aprons.



**FIGURE 6.14** DFW airport perimeter taxiway being paved with RCC. (From Johnson, J. 2008. RCC pavement at Denver International Airport, PCA.)



**FIGURE 6.15** RCC pavement/street used as a local road. (From Harrington, D. et al. 2010. Guide for roller compacted concrete pavements. Ames, IA: CP Tech Center and PCA.)

Unsurfaced RCC pavements are not recommended for airplane traffic due to the possible dislodging of loose surface aggregate for the first 2 years.<sup>9</sup>


### 6.11.2 LOCAL STREETS/VILLAGE ROADS

Speed of construction, economy, and early opening to traffic are the key reasons to use RCC for streets and local roads (see Figure 6.15). In addition, using RCC for new residential developments provides a strong working platform during site work and construction. Surface treatments can be applied when the development nears completion. When traffic speeds are greater than 30 mph (48.3 km/h), surface smoothness is important. To achieve better surface smoothness, most projects use high-density pavers and/or diamond grinding. A thin asphalt surface course placed on top of the RCC is another option. In some cases, light traffic has been placed on the RCC pavement within 24 hours of construction in order to accommodate nearby businesses.<sup>9</sup>

## 6.12 GROWING APPLICATIONS: A HOPEFUL FUTURE

The applications of RCC are growing in the United States (see Figure 6.16).<sup>22</sup> Initially, RCC was used for military applications. However, in recent years, RCC applications have been widely accepted for private and industrial applications and include an array of applications as listed in the previous section. In the last decade, the Portland Cement Association has invested substantial efforts in marketing RCC and educating contractors and consultants. Its efforts have led to widespread acceptance and applications of RCC in the United States. On the other hand, the Cement Association of Canada has invested substantial efforts in promoting the use of RCC.

The ambitious golden quadrilateral project<sup>23</sup> in India led to rapid construction of road infrastructure over the past 10–12 years. This work is continuing. With 65% of



**FIGURE 6.16** Growing applications of RCC in the United States. (From Pittman, D. W., and G. L. Anderton. 2009. The use of roller-compacted concrete (RCC) pavements in the United States. Sixth International Conference on Maintenance and Rehabilitation of Pavements and Technological Control (MAIRE PAV 6), July 8–10, Turin, Italy.)

freight and 80% of passenger traffic carried by road infrastructure, there is a huge potential for further expansion. With several plans of constructing road infrastructure under way (National Highways Authority of India 2010), an integrated and sustainable choice of RCC as an alternative pavement material and construction method presents itself as an obvious choice.

### 6.13 CONCLUDING REMARKS

With obvious technical and sustainability advantages, Portland cement concrete pavements stand as better pavement options. However, depleting natural resources and environmental concerns are driving engineering efforts toward sustainability. The practice of compartmentalizing the process starting from conceptualization through ending in recycling is no longer workable. An integrating approach taking account of every aspect in a sustainable way is required for a sustainable future. RCC pavements offer such a consciously and sustainably engineered alternative solution. Although RCC has some inherent limitations as a material and construction practice and requires investment of some research efforts, it is still an environmentally, socially, and economically viable option. There is also a need for sustainable performance parameters and indices for pavement materials, including the overall pavement cross-section selection.

### REFERENCES

1. Hansen, K. D., and W. G. Reinhardt. 1991. *Roller-compacted concrete dams*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 298 pp.
2. Hansen, K. D. 2008. Design considerations for small RCC dams. *Hydropower and Dams* 3:1–4.

3. Naik, T. R., and B. W. Ramme. 1997. Roller compacted no-fines concrete for road base course. Third CANMET/ACI International Symposium on Advances in Concrete Technology, Detroit, MI.
4. Naik, T. R. et al. 2001. Strength and durability of roller compacted HVFA concrete pavements. *Practice Periodical on Structural Design and Construction* 6 (4): 154–165.
5. Indian Roads Congress IRC. 1998. Guidelines for the use of dry lean concrete as sub-base for rigid pavement. New Delhi, India, SP 49-1998, 10 pp.
6. Marchand, J. et al. 1998. Air entrainment in no-s slump mixes. *Concrete International* April: 38–44.
7. Econocrete, Concrete Construction, October 1975; <http://www.concreteconstruction.net/paving/econocrete.aspx>
8. Luhr, D. R. 2003. Design and construction of roller-compacted concrete pavements for container terminals. Skokie, IL: PCA.
9. Harrington, D. et al. 2010. Guide for roller compacted concrete pavements. Ames, IA: CP Tech Center and PCA.
10. Choi, Y. K., and J. L. Groom. 2001. RCC mix design—Soils approach. *ASCE Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering* 13(1):71–76.
11. ACI Committee 325. 1995. State of the art report on roller compacted concrete pavements. Detroit, MI, ACI.
12. Service d'Expertise en Matériaux Inc. 2004. Frost durability of roller compacted concrete pavements. Skokie, IL: PCA R&D 135.
13. Hazaree, C. V. 2007. Transport properties and freeze–thaw resistance of roller compacted concrete for pavement applications. MS thesis. Iowa State University, Ames, IA.
14. Ministry of Road Transportation and Highways (MoRTH). 2003. Guidelines for bridges and roads, 3rd ed. New Delhi, India.
15. Thompson, R. R. 2002. Roller compacted concrete pavement: Design and construction. In Roller compacted concrete pavement: Design and construction. Columbus, OH, PCA and Indiana/Kentucky Cement and Concrete Industry.
16. Poole, B. 2008. Roller compacted concrete GDOT applications. In Roller compacted concrete pavement: Design and construction. Atlanta, GA, Southeast Cement Association and PCA.
17. Adaska, W. 2009. Personal communication re: RCC-Section 7.
18. McQueen, J. 2008. Project report—Houston port. In *Roller compacted concrete pavement: Design and construction*. Atlanta, GA, PCA and Southeast Cement Association.
19. US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 1995. Roller compacted concrete pavement. Engineering technical letter 1110-3-475, Washington, DC.
20. Abdo, F. Y., and D. D. Shepherd. 2010. Innovative sustainable pavement solutions. Concrete Sustainability Conference, NRMCA, Tempe, AZ.
21. Johnson, J. 2008. RCC pavement at Denver International Airport. Skokie, IL: PCA.
22. Pittman, D. W., and G. L. Anderton. 2009. The use of roller-compacted concrete (RCC) pavements in the United States. Sixth International Conference on Maintenance and Rehabilitation of Pavements and Technological Control (MAIRE PAV 6), July 8–10, Torino, Italy.
23. National Highways Authority of India [online]. [www.nhai.org](http://www.nhai.org) (accessed December 10, 2010).

## ADDITIONAL READING

- Bapat, J. D., S. S. Sabnis, C. V. Hazaree, and A. D. Deshchougule. 2006. Ecofriendly concrete with high volume of lagoon ash. *ASCE Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering* 18 (3): 453–461.

- Delagrave, A., J. Marchand, M. Pigeon, and J. Boisvert. 1997. De-icer salt scaling resistance of roller-compacted concrete pavements. *ACI Materials Journal* 94(2):164–169.
- Hazaree, C. 2008. Marginal aggregates and RCC bases. *International Conference on Sustainable Concrete Construction*, Feb. 8–10, 2008, Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India, pp. 219–227.
- Hazaree, C., H. Ceylan, and K. Wang. 2006. Optimizing mix proportions of roller compacted concrete for pavement applications in Indian conditions. *Proceedings of the 2006 Airfield and Highway Pavement Specialty Conference*, April 30–May 3, 2006, Atlanta, GA, pp. 543–556.
- Pigeon, M., and V. M. Malhotra. 1995. Frost resistance of roller compacted high volume fly ash concrete. *ASCE Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering* 7 (4): 208–211.
- Piggot, R. W. 1999. Roller compacted concrete pavements—A study of long-term behavior. Report no. RP366.01P, PCA, Skokie, IL.
- Pittman, D. W., and S. A. Ragan. 1998. Drying shrinkage of roller compacted concrete for pavement applications. *ACI Materials Journal* 95 (1): 19–26.
- Rapid to construct, rapid to open for traffic. Concrete pavement, RCCP. <http://www.watanabegumi.co.jp/pavements/concretes/rccpe.html> (accessed May 8, 2008).



---

# 7 Pervious Concrete for Sustainable Development

*Karthik H. Obla and Gajanan Sabnis*

## CONTENTS

|      |                                                                                             |     |
|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 7.1  | Introduction .....                                                                          | 151 |
| 7.2  | What Is Pervious Concrete?.....                                                             | 152 |
| 7.3  | The EPA and Pervious Concrete .....                                                         | 153 |
| 7.4  | Characteristics and Design of Materials.....                                                | 154 |
| 7.5  | Cementitious Materials.....                                                                 | 154 |
| 7.6  | Mixture Proportions .....                                                                   | 156 |
| 7.7  | Properties of Pervious Concrete .....                                                       | 156 |
| 7.8  | Design .....                                                                                | 158 |
| 7.9  | Construction.....                                                                           | 158 |
| 7.10 | Standards for Testing and Maintenance .....                                                 | 160 |
| 7.11 | Comparison of Conventional and Pervious Concrete.....                                       | 161 |
| 7.12 | Performance.....                                                                            | 161 |
| 7.13 | Applications .....                                                                          | 162 |
| 7.14 | Sustainability .....                                                                        | 164 |
|      | References .....                                                                            | 165 |
|      | Additional Reading .....                                                                    | 165 |
|      | Appendix: Pore Structure of Pervious Concretes and Its Relationship to<br>Performance ..... | 166 |
|      | A.1 Pore Sizes and Methods for Representation .....                                         | 167 |
|      | A.2 Specific Surface Area and Mean Free Spacing of Pores .....                              | 169 |
|      | A.3 Connectivity of the Pore Structure .....                                                | 170 |
|      | A.4 Permeability and Its Relationship to Pore Structure .....                               | 170 |
|      | References for Appendix A.....                                                              | 174 |

## 7.1 INTRODUCTION

Concrete has always been known as good, dense (solid), and durable construction material. In recent years, however, it has been found that even less solid (“pervious,” as we call it) concrete can also be useful and durable and can contribute to sustainability in various ways. The purpose of this chapter is to introduce the reader to this new aspect of concrete and to the different benefits that can be derived and used to satisfy many criteria of sustainability applied earlier to other concretes. Although

considerably more information is available, only a selected version is presented. The reader may pursue the topic further in his or her own way if it is of special interest.

## 7.2 WHAT IS PERVIOUS CONCRETE?


Pervious concrete (PC) is, by definition, concrete that has high porosity and allows water to drain freely—unlike dense, high-strength concrete. Its applications are therefore in situations where water from precipitation or other sources needs to be drained (see Figure 7.1). The high porosity is achieved by the absence of fine aggregates. Pervious concrete thus may also be referred to as “no fines concrete.” It has been in the news recently for the role that it can play in creating a sustainable habitat. Globally, considerable research is being done on pervious concrete, which is a special type of concrete with high porosity that is usually used for concrete flatwork applications. Pervious concrete helps reduce runoff from a site and allows for groundwater recharge.

This environmentally friendly material is widely used for construction of low loading intensity parking pavements, residential streets, greenhouses, areas with light traffic, sidewalks, and walkways in several developed countries today. It is also considered one of the most important low-impact development techniques that are available today to protect water quality during construction. As a special type of concrete with a high porosity, PC is used for concrete flatwork applications. It allows water from precipitation and other sources to pass through it, thereby reducing the runoff from a site and recharging groundwater levels (see Figure 7.2). The high porosity is attained by a highly interconnected void content. Typical PC has little to no fine aggregates.

The material structure of any porous material influences its performance characteristics to a significant degree. Pervious concrete is no exception. It has a complex pore structure and its characterization is not trivial. Identification of the critical pore structure features, their dependence on material parameters, and methods to



**FIGURE 7.1** Demonstration of water draining in pervious concrete. (Courtesy of Green Builder.)



**FIGURE 7.2** Typical pervious concrete. (Courtesy of Green Builder.)

characterize those features are important in ensuring that the performance of pervious concretes be related to material design and that proper performance-based material design procedures are developed. Recent studies have shown that porosity can be significantly the most distinguishing feature of the pore structure of porous materials. Therefore, pervious concrete can be studied at a different level, based on its porosity; however, it alone is insufficient in providing a complete description of the material performance. For the interested reader, recent studies by Neithalath and others are presented in the Appendix\* to distinguish them from the general discussion.

### 7.3 THE EPA AND PERVIOUS CONCRETE

The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has recognized PC as a best-management practice. Pervious concrete has the capability to control storm-water overflow by allowing the water to percolate down to the earth, replenishing the earth's groundwater reserves in the process. In parking areas where spillage of coolants, engine oil, brake oil, etc. is an issue, this property of PC comes in handy because the material is capable of arresting the polluted water. This polluted water is then absorbed by the soil, where the natural elements take over and decompose the pollutants. Since pervious concrete reduces rainwater runoff, it also helps in reducing load on drainage systems. The replenishment of the groundwater table around pavement blocks made up of PC also can be utilized for planting and growing trees.

In addition to federal regulations, there has been a strong move in the United States toward sustainable development, which is development that meets the needs of the present generation without compromising the needs of future generations. In the United States, the US Green Building Council (USGBC), through its leadership in the energy and environmental design (LEED) green building rating system, fosters

---

\* The Appendix was contributed by Dr. Narayanan Neithalath, P.E., associate professor of civil engineering at Arizona State University; his efforts are gratefully acknowledged.

sustainable construction of buildings. Projects are awarded certified, silver, gold, or platinum certification depending on the number of credits they achieve. Pervious concrete pavement qualifies for LEED credits and is therefore sought by owners desiring a high LEED certification.

Pervious concrete also naturally filters storm water and can reduce pollutant loads entering into streams, ponds, and rivers. It captures the first flush of rainfall (the first 30 minutes of rainfall, which will lead to a runoff with most pollutants) and allows that to percolate into the ground so that soil chemistry and biology can treat the polluted water. Pervious concrete functions like a storm-water retention basin to allow the storm water to infiltrate the soil over a large area, thus facilitating recharge of precious groundwater supplies locally. All of these benefits lead to more effective land use. Pervious concrete can also reduce the impact of development on trees. A pervious concrete pavement allows the transfer of both water and air to root systems, thus allowing trees to flourish even in highly developed areas.


## 7.4 CHARACTERISTICS AND DESIGN OF MATERIALS

Pervious concrete (also known as porous, gap-graded, permeable, or enhanced porosity concrete) mainly consists of normal Portland cement, coarse aggregate, and water. In normal concrete, the fine aggregates typically fill in the voids between the coarse aggregates. In pervious concrete, fine aggregate is nonexistent or present in very small amounts. Also, there is insufficient paste to fill the remaining voids, with the result that pervious concrete has a porosity anywhere from 15% to 35%, but most frequently about 20%. Aggregate gradings used in pervious concrete are typically either single-sized coarse aggregate or grading between 3/4 and 3/8 in. (19 and 9.5 mm). All types of cementitious materials conforming to their ASTM specifications have been used.

Pervious concrete can be made without chemical admixtures, but it is not uncommon to find several types of chemical admixtures added to influence the performance in a favorable manner. Pervious concrete uses the same materials as conventional concrete, with the exceptions that the fine aggregate typically is eliminated entirely, and the size distribution (grading) of the coarse aggregate is kept narrow, allowing for relatively little particle packing. This provides the useful hardened properties, but also results in a mix that requires different considerations in mixing, placing, compaction, and curing. The mixture proportions are somewhat less forgiving than conventional concrete mixtures; tight controls on batching of all of the ingredients are necessary to provide the desired results. Often, local concrete producers will be able to best determine the mix proportions for locally available materials based on trial batching and experience.

## 7.5 CEMENTITIOUS MATERIALS

As in traditional concreting, Portland cements (ASTM C 150, C 1157) and blended cements (ASTM C 595, C 1157) may be used in pervious concrete. In addition, supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) such as fly ash, pozzolans (ASTM C 618), and ground-granulated blast furnace slag (ASTM C 989) may be used. Testing materials beforehand through trial batching is strongly recommended so that properties



**FIGURE 7.3** Samples of pervious concrete with different water contents, formed into a ball: (a) too little water, (b) proper amount of water, and (c) too much water.

that can be important to performance (setting time, rate of strength development, porosity, and permeability, among others) can be determined. Supplementary cementitious materials such as fly ash, natural pozzolans, and slag cement can be added to the cement.

*Water-to-cement ratios* between 0.27 and 0.34 are used generally with proper inclusion of chemical admixtures, and those as high as 0.40 have been used successfully. The relation between strength and water-to-cement ratio is not clear for pervious concrete because, unlike conventional concrete, the total paste content is less than the voids content between the aggregates. Therefore, making the paste stronger may not always lead to the increased overall strength. The void content has a stronger influence on compressive strength. Water content should be tightly controlled. The correct water content has been described as giving the mixture a wet metallic sheen surface, without flowing off the aggregate. A handful of pervious concrete formed into a ball will not crumble or lose its void structure as the paste flows into the spaces between the aggregates, as shown in Figure 7.3. Water quality is discussed in ACI 301. As a general rule, water that is drinkable is suitable for use in concrete, although recycled water from concrete production operations may be used as well if it meets provisions of ASTM C 94 or AASHTO M 157. If there is a question as to the suitability of a water source, trial batching with job materials is recommended.

*Chemical admixtures* are used in pervious concrete to obtain special properties, as in conventional concrete. Because of the rapid setting time associated with pervious concrete, retarders or hydration-stabilizing admixtures are commonly used. Use of chemical admixtures should closely follow manufacturers' recommendations. Air-entraining admixtures can reduce freeze–thaw damage in pervious concrete and are

**TABLE 7.1**  
**Typical Ranges of Material Proportions in Pervious Concrete**

|                                             | Proportions (lb/yd <sup>3</sup> ) | Proportions (kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Cementitious materials                      | 450–700                           | 270–415                          |
| Water/CM ratio <sup>a</sup>                 | 0.27–0.34                         |                                  |
| Aggregate/cement ratio <sup>a</sup>         | 4–4.5 to 1                        |                                  |
| Fine to coarse aggregate ratio <sup>b</sup> | 0–0.1                             |                                  |

Source: <http://www.torromeo.com/Services/Mix-Design-and-Materials.html>

Note: These proportions are given for information only. Successful mixture design will depend on properties of the particular materials used and must be tested in trial batches to establish proper proportions and determine expected behavior. Concrete producers may have mixture proportions for pervious concrete optimized for performance with local materials. In such instances, those proportions are preferable. Chemical admixtures, particularly retarders and hydration stabilizers, are also commonly used in dosages recommended by the manufacturer. Use of supplementary cementitious materials, such as fly ash and slag, is common as well.

<sup>a</sup> Higher ratios have been used, but significant reductions in strength and durability may result.

<sup>b</sup> Addition of fine aggregate will decrease the void content and increase strength.

used where freeze–thaw is a concern. ASTM C 494 governs chemical admixtures, and ASTM C 260 governs air-entraining admixtures. Proprietary admixture products that facilitate placement and protection of pervious pavements are also used.

The use of different materials and their design produces pervious concrete of different textures for different applications. Such applications make the user anticipate new uses for such concrete. Many of these are presented later in another section. Two mixes with different gradation of aggregates can be used for two different surface structures, making architectural applications of such concretes very feasible and new developments possible.

7.6 MIXTURE PROPORTIONS

Table 7.1 provides typical ranges of materials proportions in pervious concrete; ACI 522R-10 also provides a procedure for producing pervious concrete mixture proportions.

7.7 PROPERTIES OF PERVIOUS CONCRETE

The plastic pervious concrete mixture is generally stiff compared to traditional concrete. Slumps, when measured, are generally less than 3/4 in. (20 mm), although slumps as high as 2 in. (50 mm) have been used. However, slump of pervious concrete has no correlation with its workability and hence should not be specified as

acceptance criteria. When placed and compacted, the aggregates are tightly adhered to one another and exhibit the characteristic open matrix that looks like popcorn. In-place densities on the order of 100–125 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> (1600–2000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>) are common. Pervious concrete mixtures can develop compressive strengths in the range of 500–4000 psi (3.5–28 MPa), which is suitable for a wide range of applications. Typical values are about 2500 psi (17 MPa).

The infiltration rate (permeability) of pervious concrete will vary with aggregate size and density of the mixture, but will fall into the range of 2–18 gal/min/ft<sup>2</sup> (80–720 L/min/m<sup>2</sup>). A moderate-porosity pervious concrete pavement system will typically have a permeability of 3.5 gal/min/ft<sup>2</sup> (143 L/min/m<sup>2</sup>). Converting the units to inches per hour (millimeters per hour) yields 336 in./h (8534 mm/h). Perhaps nowhere in the world would one see such a heavy rainfall. In contrast, the steady-state infiltration rate of soil ranges from 1 in./h (25 mm/h) to 0.01 in./h (0.25 mm/h). This clearly suggests that, unless the pervious concrete is severely clogged up due to possibly poor maintenance, it is unlikely that the permeability of pervious concrete is the controlling factor in estimating runoff (if any) from a pervious concrete pavement. For a given rainfall intensity, the amount of runoff from a pervious concrete pavement system is controlled by the soil infiltration rate and the amount of water storage available in the pervious concrete and aggregate base (if any) under the pervious concrete.

Generally, for a given mixture, proportion strength and permeability of pervious concrete are a function of the concrete density. The greater the amount of consolidation is, the higher is the strength and the lower is the permeability. Since it is not possible to duplicate the in-place consolidation levels in a pervious concrete pavement, one has to be cautious in interpreting the properties of pervious concrete specimens prepared in the laboratory. Such specimens may be adequate for quality assurance—namely, to ensure that the supplied concrete meets specifications. Core testing is recommended for knowing the in-place properties of the pervious concrete pavement. The relationship between the *water to cementitious material ratio* (*w/cm*) and compressive strength of conventional concrete is not significant. A *high w/cm* can result in the paste flowing from the aggregate and filling the void structure. A *low w/cm* can result in reduced adhesion between aggregate particles and placement problems. Flexural strength in pervious concretes generally ranges between about 150 psi (1 MPa) and 550 psi (3.8 MPa).

Limited testing in freezing and thawing conditions indicates poor durability if the entire void structure is filled with water (NRMCA 2004). Numerous projects have been successfully executed and have lasted several winters in harsh northern climates in Indiana, Illinois, and Pennsylvania. This is possibly because pervious concrete is unlikely to remain saturated in the field. The freeze–thaw resistance of pervious concrete can be enhanced by the following measures:

- Use of fine aggregates to increase strength and slightly reduce voids content to about 20%
- Use of air entrainment of the paste
- Use of a 6- to 18-in. (15- to 45-cm) aggregate base, particularly in areas of deep frost depths
- Use of a perforated PVC pipe in the aggregate base to capture all the water and let it drain away below the pavement

Abrasion and raveling could be a problem. Good curing practices and appropriate w/cm (not too low) are important to reduce raveling. Whereas severe raveling is unacceptable, some loose stones on a finished pavement are always expected. Use of snow ploughs could increase raveling. A plastic or rubber shield at the base of the plow blade may help prevent damage to the pavement.

## 7.8 DESIGN

Two factors determine the design thickness of pervious pavements: the hydraulic properties, such as permeability and volume of voids, and the mechanical properties, such as strength and stiffness.

ACI 522R-10 states that pervious concrete used in pavement systems must be designed to support the intended traffic load and contribute positively to the site-specific storm-water management strategy. The designer selects the appropriate material properties, the appropriate pavement thickness, and other characteristics needed to meet the hydrological requirements and anticipated traffic loads simultaneously. Separate analyses are required for both the hydraulic and the structural requirements, and the larger of the two values for pavement thickness will determine the final design thickness. Numerous applications have used a 5- to 6-in. (12- to 15-cm)-thick pervious concrete over an aggregate base generally of the same dimension. Field performance of these projects has shown that they are adequate to handle the traffic loads expected in parking lot type applications (passenger cars), where the heaviest loads are generally from garbage trucks. If heavier loads and higher traffic are expected, then a thicker pavement (8–12 in. [20–30 cm]) is used. Another approach would be to try to use the structural design techniques outlined in the ACI 522R report, which could help optimize the pavement thickness.

Initial recommendations had been that pervious concrete should be used only in sandy soils with an infiltration rate greater than 0.5 in./h. However, a detailed hydrologic analysis for a specific example with soils with infiltration rate of 1, 0.5, 0.1, and 0.01 in./h has shown that the postconstruction runoff was lower in all four soils when compared to the preconstruction runoff. The draw-down time in all cases was acceptable, except for the soil with the lowest infiltration rate, and that, too, only when an aggregate base was used. The authors concluded that pervious concrete can be used in silty soils with a soil infiltration of only 0.1 in./h and that there is no need to limit its use arbitrarily only to sands. In soils with infiltration rates considerably less than 0.1 in./h, one way to reduce the draw-down time could be to use buried perforated pipes that can transfer the collected water elsewhere. If that is not feasible, the pervious concrete system could be placed without an aggregate base and the resulting excess runoff over the *pervious concrete* (but still lower than if an impervious system had been used) could be handled using additional detention devices.

## 7.9 CONSTRUCTION

The success of pervious concrete pavements depends on the experience of the installer. As with any concrete pavement, proper *subgrade* preparation is important. The subgrade should be properly compacted to provide a uniform and stable surface.

When pervious pavement is placed directly on sandy or gravelly soils, it is recommended to compact the subgrade to 92%–95% of the maximum density (ASTM D 1557). With silty or clayey soils, the level of compaction will depend on the specifics of the pavement design, and a layer of open graded stone may have to be placed over the soil. Engineering fabrics are often used to separate fine-grained soils from the stone layer. Care must be taken not to overcompact soil with swelling potential. The subgrade should be moistened prior to concrete placement to prevent the pervious concrete from setting and drying too quickly. Also, wheel ruts from construction traffic should be raked and recompact.

The pervious concrete is sensitive to changes in *water content*, so field adjustment of the fresh mixture is usually necessary. The correct quantity of water in the concrete is critical. Too much water will cause segregation and too little water will lead to balling in the mixer and very slow mixer unloading. Water content that is too low can also hinder adequate curing of the concrete and lead to a premature raveling surface failure. Pervious concrete has little excess water in the mixture. Anytime the fresh material is allowed to sit exposed to the elements is time that it is losing water needed for curing. Drying of the cement paste can lead to a raveling failure of the pavement surface.

All *placement* operations and equipment should be designed and selected with this in mind and scheduled for rapid placement and immediate curing of the pavement. A pervious concrete pavement may be placed with either fixed forms or slip-form pavers. The most common approach to placing pervious concrete is in forms on grade that have a riser strip on the top of each form such that the strike-off device is 3/8–1/2 in. (9–12 mm) above final pavement elevation. Strike-off may be by vibratory or manual screeds. After striking off the concrete, the riser strips are removed and the concrete compacted by a manually operated roller that bridges the forms. Rolling consolidates the fresh concrete to provide a strong bond between the paste and aggregate, creating a smoother riding surface. Excessive pressure when rolling should be avoided because it may cause the voids to collapse. Rolling should be performed immediately after strike-off. Since floating and troweling tend to close up the top surface of the voids, they are not carried out.

*Jointing* pervious concrete pavement follows the same rules as for concrete slabs on grade, with a few exceptions. With significantly less water in the fresh concrete, shrinkage of the hardened material is reduced significantly; thus, joint spacing may be wider. The rules of jointing geometry, however, remain the same. Joints in pervious concrete are tooled with a rolling jointing tool. This allows joints to be cut in a short time and allows curing to continue uninterrupted. Saw-cutting joints also is possible, but is not preferred because slurry from sawing operations may block some of the voids, and excessive raveling of the joints often results. Removing covers to allow sawing can reduce the effectiveness of curing, and it is recommended that the surfaces be rewet before the covering is replaced. Some pervious concrete pavements are not jointed because random cracking is not viewed as a significant deficit in the aesthetics of the pavement (considering its texture) and has no significant effect on the structural integrity of the pavement.

*Proper curing* is essential to the structural integrity of a pervious concrete pavement. The open structure and relatively rough surface of pervious concrete expose more surface area of the cement paste to evaporation, making curing even more essential

than in conventional concreting. Curing ensures sufficient hydration of the cement paste to provide the necessary strength in the pavement section to prevent raveling. Curing should begin within 20 minutes of final consolidation and continue through 7 days. Plastic sheeting is typically used to cure pervious concrete pavements.

Realizing the importance of the installer, the National Ready Mixed Concrete Association (NRMCA) developed and administers a pervious concrete contractor certification. The goal of the certification program is to ensure that knowledgeable contractors are selected to place the product and thereby minimize the chance for failure.

## 7.10 STANDARDS FOR TESTING AND MAINTENANCE

An ASTM subcommittee on pervious concrete, ASTM C09.49, was formed in 2008. ASTM C1688, Standard Test Method for Density and Void Content of Pervious Concrete, has been standardized to measure the density and void content of freshly mixed pervious concrete. Efforts continue to determine the density and void content of hardened pervious concrete for core samples that might be obtained from a pavement. It should be realized that the values obtained on a freshly mixed pervious concrete sample using standardized consolidation procedures can be significantly different from those measured on a pavement core. Various methods are used to place and consolidate pervious concrete in pavements. One should not expect equivalence of the measured densities by ASTM C1688 and that in hardened concrete cylinders or cores.

ASTM C1701 is a standard test method to calculate the infiltration rate of in-place pervious concrete (Figure 7.4). An infiltration ring is temporarily sealed to the surface of a pervious pavement. After prewetting the test location, a given mass of water is introduced into the ring and the time for the water to infiltrate the pavement is recorded. The infiltration rate is calculated using the equations provided in the standard. Tests performed at the same location across a span of years may be used to detect a reduction of infiltration rate of the pervious concrete, possibly by clogging, thereby identifying the need for remediation. A low infiltration-rate reading on a new pervious concrete pavement suggests paste sealing during construction due to either improper mixture proportions or construction practices. This test should be conducted at several locations and the average infiltration rate calculated.



**FIGURE 7.4** Infiltration rate testing of in-place pervious concrete. (From ASTM C1701-09.)

ACI Committee 522.1 is a pervious concrete specification that requires the following test methods. Job site acceptance must be based on the density (unit weight) of fresh concrete measured according to ASTM C1688. An acceptable tolerance is  $\pm 5 \text{ lb/ft}^3$  ( $80 \text{ kg/m}^3$ ) of the design density. This ensures that the concrete that is supplied to the job site is the same as the concrete that was ordered for the project. Once the pavement has been constructed, cores are taken and tested for thickness and density. Density is determined according to ASTM C 140. Slump and air content tests are not applicable to pervious concrete. ACI 522.1 also requires that each project include at least three pervious concrete installers or one craftsman which are levels of the pervious concrete contractor certification conducted by the NRMCA.

If the pervious concrete pavement is an element of the storm-water management plan, the designer should ensure that it is functioning properly through visual observation of its drainage characteristics prior to opening of the facility.

Maintenance of pervious concrete pavement consists primarily of prevention of clogging of the void structure. In preparing the site prior to construction, drainage of surrounding landscaping should be designed to prevent flow of materials onto pavement surfaces. The two commonly accepted maintenance methods are pressure washing and power vacuuming. Pressure washing forces the contaminants down through the pavement surface. This is effective, but care should be taken not to use too much pressure because this will damage the pervious concrete. Power vacuuming removes contaminants by extracting them from the pavement voids. The most effective scheme, however, is to combine the two techniques and power vacuum after pressure washing.

7.11 COMPARISON OF CONVENTIONAL AND PERVIOUS CONCRETE

Conventional and pervious concretes can be compared to indicate their usefulness in many applications:

|                      |                                                                                       |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Strengths            | Less (due to lower density—15%–25% voids)                                             |
| Durability           | Similar (freeze–thaw separately discussed)                                            |
| Appearance           | Open graded                                                                           |
| Aggregate            | 3/8 in. (10 mm) maximum size round pea gravel—typical (few fines)                     |
| Shrinkage (cracking) | Less (15–20 ft [4.5–6 m] joint pattern)                                               |
| W/C ratio            | Lower                                                                                 |
| Set time             | Faster (most cases, but modifiable)                                                   |
| Curing sensitivity   | Much higher                                                                           |
| Costs                | Incremental in place is more than offset by reduction in storm-water handling systems |

7.12 PERFORMANCE

While pervious concrete can attain a compressive strength ranging from 400 to 4,000 psi (2.8–28 MPa), it is not specified or accepted on the basis of strength since to date there are no standardized testing methods available. In the case of pervious

concrete, the more important component that needs careful attention is the void content. The structure of pervious concrete is such that it consists of interconnected voids, which help drain water very quickly. Typically, hardened pervious concrete will have a void content of 15%–25%, with a water flow rate exceeding 100 in./h, as measured by ASTM C1701.

The *durability* of pervious concrete in a freeze–thaw environment is a subject of extensive debate. There have been questions raised about the materials' durability under these conditions. The solution to the problem lies in ensuring that pervious concrete in freeze–thaw conditions does not become fully saturated. This can be ensured by installing the pervious concrete pavement on a thick layer (between 6 and 18 in.) with open graded stone base. Practical application in several countries has proven beyond doubt the high durability of this environmentally friendly structural material. Pathways built with pervious concrete have lasted for well over four decades in some instances. The key to longevity of structures made up of the material is also dependent on proper compaction and curing.


### 7.13 APPLICATIONS

Common applications for pervious concrete are parking lots, sidewalks, pathways, tennis courts, patios, slope stabilization, swimming pool decks, greenhouse floors, zoo areas, road shoulders, drains, noise barriers, friction courses for highway pavements, permeable bases under a normal concrete pavement, and low-volume roads. Pervious concrete is generally not used solely for concrete pavements for high traffic and heavy wheel loads. Examples of a few applications of pervious concrete are shown in Figures 7.5 through 7.8.

The parking area of 70,000 ft<sup>2</sup> of pervious concrete at Linden High School in California provides an excellent annual groundwater recharge of 700,000 gallons, or 2.2 acre-feet (Figure 7.9). A similar example is the pervious parking area of the Vacaville



**FIGURE 7.5** Sidewalk and low-volume pavements.



**FIGURE 7.6** Parking lot with pervious concrete.



**FIGURE 7.7** Driveway. (Courtesy of Wisconsin RMCA.)



**FIGURE 7.8** Pervious concrete in Miller Park, Fair Oaks, California, saved 23 mature olive trees. This parking area is designed to retain aesthetics while providing function and ADA compliance. (From Youngs, A. California–Nevada Cement Association presentation, available at [www.concreteresources.net](http://www.concreteresources.net); click “Pervious Concrete.”)



**FIGURE 7.9** Parking area in Linden High School, California. (From Youngs, A. California–Nevada Cement Association Presentation; available at [www.concreteresources.net](http://www.concreteresources.net); click “Pervious Concrete.”)

Police Department building, which allowed the use of about 30,000 ft<sup>2</sup> of otherwise unusable space under the drip lines of landmark trees. The pervious area recharges the groundwater with about 350,000 gallons (1.1 acre-feet) every year (Youngs, 2005).

## 7.14 SUSTAINABILITY

Another important factor leading to renewed interest in pervious concrete is an increasing emphasis on sustainable construction. Because of its benefits in controlling storm-water runoff and pollution prevention, pervious concrete has the potential to earn up to seven LEED credits:

LEED credit SS-C6.1: Storm-water design—quantity control

LEED credit SS-C6.2: Storm-water design—quantity control

The intent of these credits is to limit disruption and pollution of natural water flows by managing storm-water runoff, increasing on-site infiltration, and eliminating contaminants.

**LEED credit SS-C7.1: Heat island effect—nonroof**

The intent of this credit is to reduce heat islands (thermal gradient differences between developed and undeveloped areas) to minimize impact on microclimate and human and wildlife habitats.

**LEED credit WE C1.1: Water-efficient landscaping**

The intent of this credit is to limit or eliminate the use of potable water or other natural surface or subsurface water resources available on or near the project site for landscape irrigation.

**LEED credits MR-C4.1 and MR-C4.2: Recycled content**

The intent of this credit is to increase the demand for building products that have incorporated recycled content material, reducing the impacts resulting from the extraction of new material.

**LEED credit MR-C5.1 AND MR-C5.2: Regional materials**

The intent of this credit is to increase demand for building products that are extracted and manufactured locally, thereby reducing the environmental impacts resulting from their transportation and supporting the local economy.

## REFERENCES

- NRMCA (National Ready Mixed Concrete Association). 2004. Freeze–thaw resistance of pervious concrete, Silver Spring, MD, 17 pp.
- Youngs, A. 2005. California–Nevada Cement Association presentation ([www.concretetere-sources.net](http://www.concretetere-sources.net); click on “pervious concrete”).

## ADDITIONAL READING


- ACI Committee 522. Pervious concrete, 522R-10. American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI, <http://www.concrete.org>
- Brown, H. J. 2008. Pervious concrete research compilation: Past, present and future. CIM report, 30 pp.
- Florida Concrete and Products Association Inc. Pervious pavement manual. <http://www.fcpa.org> (Orlando, FL).
- Ghafoori, N., and S. Dutta. 1995. Building and nonpavement applications of no-fines concrete. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering* 7 (4): 286–289.
- Leming, M. L., M. H. Rooney, and P. D. Tennis. 2007. Hydrologic design of pervious concrete. PCA R&D serial no. 2829, Skokie, IL.
- Meininger, R. C. 1988. No-fines pervious concrete for paving. *Concrete International* 10 (8): 20–27.
- NRMCA (National Ready Mixed Concrete Association). 2004. What, why, and how? Pervious concrete. Concrete in Practice Series, CIP 38, Silver Spring, MD, 2 pp.
- Obla, K. H. 2010. Pervious Concrete. *Indian Concrete Journal* 84 (8): 9–18.
- PCA. 2007. Pervious concrete: Hydrological design and resources, CD063, CD-ROM, Skokie, IL.
- Pervious Concrete Contractor Certification, NRMCA, [http://www.nrmca.org/Education/Certifications/Pervious\\_Contractor.htm](http://www.nrmca.org/Education/Certifications/Pervious_Contractor.htm)

- Tennis, P., M. L. Leming, and D. J. Akers. 2004. Pervious concrete pavements, EB 302. PCA, Skokie, IL, 25 pp.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. 2008. Storm water. Phase II. Final rule fact sheet series. <http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/swfinal.cfm>
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1999. Storm water technology fact sheet. Porous pavement. EPA 832-F-99-023. [www.epa.gov/npdes](http://www.epa.gov/npdes)
- US Green Building Council. Leadership in energy and environmental design (LEED) green building rating system. <http://www.usgbc.org/DisplayPage.aspx?CategoryID=19>

## APPENDIX: PORE STRUCTURE OF PERVIOUS CONCRETES AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO PERFORMANCE

The material structure of any porous material influences its performance characteristics to a significant degree. Pervious concrete has a complex pore structure (pore volume fraction and its distribution, sizes, shapes, and connectivity of pores), and its characterization is nontrivial. Identification of the critical pore structure features, their dependence on material parameters, and methods to characterize those features are important in ensuring that the performance of pervious concretes could be related to material design and that proper performance-based material design procedures are developed.

Porosity is generally considered to be the most distinguishing feature of the pore structure of porous materials. In general, pervious concretes are described based on porosity; however, this alone is insufficient in providing a complete description of the material performance. Porosity is a volumetric property of the material that does not depend on the configuration of the pores that contribute to porosity. To show this,  $400 \times 400$  pixel<sup>2</sup> images of planar sections from pervious concretes proportioned using three different aggregate sizes are shown in Figure A.1. The methodology for obtaining planar sections and image analysis has been detailed elsewhere (Sumanasooriya and Neithalath 2009; Neithalath, Bentz, and Sumanasooriya 2010). All three parent pervious concretes corresponding to these images have similar porosities between 18% and 22%, but their permeabilities were found to differ by more than 100%.



**FIGURE A.1** Two-dimensional images of planar sections from pervious concretes proportioned with (a) 2.36 mm, (b) 4.75 mm, and (c) 9.5 mm maximum size aggregates. The dark areas are the voids. (From Neithalath, N., Bentz, et al. 2010. *Concrete International* 32 (5): 35–40.)

A.1 PORE SIZES AND METHODS FOR REPRESENTATION

From Figure A.1, it can be seen that the pore structure of pervious concretes is very irregular. Description of features of such media is fairly complex, and simple means of representation do not always suffice. Stereological or mathematical morphological theories are commonly used to describe the pore sizes in such random media (Sumanasooriya and Neithalath 2009).

Stereology deals with the three-dimensional interpretation of planar sections and it can be used to evaluate the geometrical and statistical aspects of the chosen features of the material structure. Mathematical morphology quantitatively describes the geometrical structure based on measuring the changes in an image when it is subjected to a particular transformation. The most common method to express pore size is the use of pore size histogram, which is a stereological measure. The area of each individual pore can be obtained from two-dimensional images (as shown in Figure A.1) and the equivalent diameters can be calculated by considering the pores as circles. Figure A.2 shows the pore size histogram and its cumulative frequency distribution of a typical pervious concrete mixture; this can be obtained from a simple image analysis procedure using any of the commercially available image analysis packages. From the cumulative frequency distribution, the effective pore size ( $d_{50}$ ), which is defined as the pore size corresponding to 50% of the cumulative frequency distribution, can be obtained.

Advanced characterization methods such as the two-point correlation (TPC) function, which is a morphological method, can also be used to characterize a particular phase in a two-phase material. This methodology is more attractive since it provides extra information on the material structure, which is particularly useful in material modeling. This function contains information about the pore area fraction, the characteristic pore sizes, and the specific surface area of pores. The TPC function can be obtained by randomly throwing line segments of length  $l$  with a specific orientation





FIGURE A.2 Pore size distribution using area histogram and its cumulative frequency distribution curve.



**FIGURE A.3** Two-point correlation function for pore size determination for a typical pervious concrete specimen.


into a two-dimensional image of a two-phase material and counting the fraction of times when both end points of the line lie in the phase of interest (Torquato 2002).

Figure A.3 shows a typical TPC function ( $S_2(l)$ ) for a pervious concrete mixture. The value of the TPC function at  $l = 0$  provides the porosity of the image. The correlation length ( $l_{TPC}$ ), which is defined as the abscissa of the intersection point of the slope of TPC function at  $l = 0$  and the horizontal asymptote at which  $l \rightarrow \infty$ , provides an estimate of the pore diameter ( $d_{TPC}$ ) as

$$d_{TPC} = \frac{l_{TPC}}{1 - \phi_A}$$

where  $\phi_A$  is the pore area fraction of the image, which corresponds to the value of the TPC function at  $l = 0$ .

Another morphological method to determine the pore size is the granulometric distribution function, which is a morphological opening distribution function typically used to characterize the feature size distribution in two-dimensional images. The method consists of applying a morphological opening with structuring elements (SEs) of increasing size. In other words, if an SE of 1-mm radius is used to “open” the image, the resultant image will have only pores larger than 1 mm in radius. Figure A.4 shows an original image and the images obtained by “opening” using structuring elements of two different sizes (Neithalath, Bentz, et al. 2010; Neithalath, Sumanasooriya, and Deo 2010). The size distribution is obtained by plotting the area fraction of the pore space remaining after opening by structuring elements of gradually increasing size, as shown in Figure A.4. The first derivative function of the area fraction of porosity is termed the granulometric density function, also shown in this figure. The radius of the circular SE corresponding to the local maximum in



**FIGURE A.4** (a) Original image and the resultant images after opening using structuring elements. (b) Critical pore radius from opening granulometry. (From Neithalath, N., Bentz, et al. 2010. *Concrete International* 32 (5): 35–40; Neithalath, N., Sumanasooriya, et al. 2010. *Materials Characterization* 61 (8): 802–813.)

the derivative function relates to the critical pore radius ( $r_{\text{crit}}$ ) of the material. The critical pore size is associated with the percolation threshold of porosity in the material and thus could be a useful parameter in permeability prediction (Neithalath, Sumanasooriya, et al. 2010; Sumanasooriya and Neithalath 2009).

## A.2 SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA AND MEAN FREE SPACING OF PORES

A stereological method, such as using the perimeter length of the pores, or a morphological method, such as using the slope of the TPC at origin, can be used to determine the specific surface area ( $s_p$ ), which is equal to the pore surface area ( $S_p$ ) per unit volume. It has been rigorously shown (Torquato 2002) that specific surface area can also be extracted from the TPC function, as shown in Figure A.3:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial S_2(l)}{\partial r} = -\frac{S_p}{4V} = -\frac{s_p}{4}$$

where  $S_2(l)$  is the TPC function.

The inverse of  $s_p$  is sometimes referred to as a characteristic length scale of the pores. For monosized, nonoverlapping spherical pores of diameter  $d$ , it has been shown (Garboczi et al. 1999) that  $1/s_p = d/6\phi$ , where  $\phi$  is the porosity. When empirical or semiempirical relationships like Kozeny–Carman equations are used for permeability prediction of porous media, specific surface area plays an important role (Neithalath, Bentz, 2010).

Dispersion of the phases in a two-phase random composite medium can be obtained using a stereological mean free spacing parameter. Mean free spacing ( $\lambda$ ) is

defined as the average value of uninterrupted surface-to-surface distances between all the neighboring pores. Lambda influences the mechanical properties of porous material like strength and fracture behavior (Deo and Neithalath 2010) and can be related to the pore area fraction ( $\phi_A$ ) and the perimeter length of the pore features per unit area of the image ( $L_A$ ) using the equation

$$\lambda = \frac{\pi(1 - \phi_A)\phi_A}{L_A}$$

### A.3 CONNECTIVITY OF THE PORE STRUCTURE

The effective electrical conductivity ( $\sigma_{\text{eff}}$ ) of pervious concretes can be determined using electrical techniques (Neithalath, Weiss, and Olek 2006). The specimens sealed on the sides using latex sleeves are attached to a stainless steel plate at the bottom with a piece of porous foam in between the specimen and the plate to ensure electrical contact. Sodium chloride of known concentration (say, 3%, and known conductivity  $\sigma_{\text{pore}}$  (4.4 S/m) is used to fill the pores in the pervious concrete specimen, and the top surface is sealed using another stainless steel plate. The electrical measurements can be made at a single frequency or over a chosen frequency range. From the value of measured bulk resistance (recorded resistance if a single frequency is used or from a Nyquist\* plot if a frequency range is used) ( $R_b$ ), the effective conductivity ( $\sigma_{\text{eff}}$ ) can be obtained as

$$\sigma_{\text{eff}} = \frac{l}{R_b A}$$

where  $A$  is the area of cross section of the specimens and  $l$  is the length between the electrodes.

The effective electrical conductivity ( $\sigma_{\text{eff}}$ ) of pervious concrete specimens can be stated as the product of the conductivity of the solution filling the pores in pervious concrete ( $\sigma_{\text{pore}}$ ), the porosity ( $\phi$ ), and the pore connectivity factor ( $\chi$ ) as

$$\sigma_{\text{eff}} = \sigma_{\text{pore}} \phi \chi$$


### A.4 PERMEABILITY AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO PORE STRUCTURE

Contrary to conventional concretes, the larger and more connected voids in pervious concrete facilitate the measurements of porosity and permeability relatively easily. A simple falling head permeameter that can be used to determine the permeability of pervious concrete specimens that is commonly used is shown in Figure A.5.

Figure A.5 shows a compilation of porosity–permeability relationships from a few reported studies (ACI 522R-06; Neithalath 2004; Low, Harz, and Neithalath 2006;

---

\* Plot of real versus imaginary impedance measurements. The meeting point of the bulk and electrode arcs is the bulk resistance.




**FIGURE A.5** Falling head permeability test setup for pervious concrete. (From Neithalath, N. et al. 2006. *Cement and Concrete Research* 36:2074–2085.)

Montes and Haselbach 2006; Wang et al. 2006). Though a general trend of increasing permeability with increasing porosity can be observed, it is seen from this figure that representing the permeability as a function of porosity alone is not adequate. In the previous section, it was stated that porosity is not dependent on the constitution of the components (pores) that make it. Permeability prediction relationships for porous media such as the Kozeny–Carman equation or Katz–Thompson equation use other features of the pore structure, such as the characteristic length scale and pore connectivity, to estimate the transport parameters, such as permeability based on the pore structure (see Figure A.6).

The influence of pore structure features such as the measured porosity ( $\phi$ ), pore connectivity factor ( $\chi$ ), and critical pore sizes ( $d_{crit}$ ) on the intrinsic permeability can be quantified through the use of established models. Previous studies (Katz and Thompson 1986; Banavar and Johnson 1987) have shown that, for a porous media, the intrinsic permeability can be stated as

$$k = C \frac{\sigma_{eff}}{\sigma_0} l_c^2$$

where  $C$  is a constant and  $l_c$  is the characteristic length of the pores. The effective electrical conductivity ( $\sigma_{eff}$ ) of pervious concrete specimens can be stated as the product of the conductivity of the solution filling the pores in pervious concrete ( $\sigma_{pore}$ ), the porosity ( $\phi$ ), and the pore connectivity factor ( $\chi$ ) as



**FIGURE A.6** Porosity–permeability relationships for several pervious concretes mixtures.

$$\sigma_{\text{eff}} = \sigma_{\text{pore}}\phi\chi$$

From the known value of  $\sigma_{\text{pore}}$  and the measured  $\sigma_{\text{eff}}$ , the value of  $\phi\chi$ , which is a pore structure parameter, can be extracted for all the pervious concrete mixtures.

Katz and Thompson (1986) used a proportionality constant, C, of 1/226 based on their study of porous rocks. For a variety of porous media, the characteristic length has been approximated by the hydraulic radius, a critical pore diameter, or a diffusion limited trapping length (Martys and Garboczi 1992). The pore size obtained from granulometric distribution ( $d_{\text{crit}}$ ) relates to the percolation threshold in pervious concretes and is hence believed to be a realistic indicator of the characteristic length scale that controls permeability. The empirical constant ( $C = 1/226$ ) can be related to the critical pore sizes.

The intrinsic permeability,  $k$  (units of square of the length), of porous media can also be described using the Kozeny–Carman equation as

$$k = \frac{\phi^3}{F_s \tau^2 S_0^2 (1 - \phi)^2}$$

where

- $\phi$  is the porosity
- $F_s$  is the generalized factor to account for different pore shapes (two for circular tubes)
- $\tau$  is the tortuosity
- $S_0$  is the specific surface area of pores

The tortuosity ( $\tau$ ) can be related to the pore connectivity factor ( $\chi$ ) as

$$\tau = \chi^{-1/2}$$

Using this expression and substituting  $\sigma_{\text{eff}}/\sigma_{\text{pore}}$  for  $\phi\chi$  helps rewrite the equation for  $k$  as


$$k \propto \frac{\phi}{1-\phi} \frac{\sigma_{\text{eff}}^2}{\sigma_{\text{pore}}}$$

This is similar to relationships that relate the intrinsic permeability to characteristic length of the pores ( $l_c$ ) as

$$k \propto \beta \phi l_c^2 = \frac{\sigma_{\text{eff}}}{\sigma_{\text{pore}}} l_c^2$$

The right-hand portion of this equation is exactly the same as the Katz–Thompson equation.

The relative influence of the terms of the preceding equation (i.e.,  $\phi\chi$  and  $d_{\text{crit}}^2$ ) on intrinsic permeability is shown using a contour plot of  $k$  as a function of both these parameters in Figure A.7. For a given  $\phi\chi$ , an increase in  $l_c^2$  or  $d_{\text{crit}}^2$  results in increased permeability. Therefore, increasing the pore sizes, which can be easily accomplished by using larger-sized aggregates, is an easy method to increase the permeability. At higher values of  $\phi\chi$ , the pore size does not seem to influence the permeability significantly for lower values of  $d_{\text{crit}}^2$  as seen from contour lines that are essentially parallel to each other. However, at higher values of  $\phi\chi$  and higher  $d_{\text{crit}}^2$ , there is a permeability increase. Higher values of  $\phi\chi$  can be obtained by increasing the porosity



**FIGURE A.7** Influence of  $d_{\text{crit}}^2$  and  $\phi\beta$  on intrinsic permeability of pervious concretes. (From Deo, O., and N. Neithalath. 2010. *Materials Science and Engineering A* 528 (1): 402–412.)

or the connectivity factor. Very high porosities (typically more than 25%–30%) are generally undesirable from a viewpoint of mechanical properties.

Increasing the connectivity factors by careful material design procedures (including aggregate gradation, cement content, and compaction method) is perhaps the best possible means to obtain desirable transport properties. While designing for adequate porosity is straightforward and porosity is easier to measure, material design of pervious concrete should also ensure adequate connectivity of the pore structure in order for the material to be efficient functionally.

## REFERENCES FOR APPENDIX A

- American Concrete Institute Committee. 2006. ACI 522R–06. 2006. Pervious concrete.
- Banavar, J. R., and D. L. Johnson. 1987. Characteristic pore sizes and transport in porous media. *Physical Review B* 35 (13): 7283–7286.
- Deo, O., and N. Neithalath. 2010. Compressive behavior of pervious concretes and a quantification of the influence of random pore structure features. *Materials Science and Engineering A* 528 (1): 402–412.
- Garboczi, E.J.; Bentz, D.P.; and Martys, N.S., “Digital Images and Computer Modeling,” *Experimental Methods in the Physical Science, Methods in the Physics of Porous Media*, V. 35, 1999, pp. 1–41.
- Katz, A. J., and A. H. Thompson. 1986. Quantitative prediction of permeability in porous rock. *Physical Review B* 34 (11): 8179–8181.
- Low, K., D. Harz, and N. Neithalath. 2008. Statistical characterization of the pore structure of enhanced porosity concrete. Proceedings in CD of the 2008 Concrete Technology Forum, Denver, National Ready Mix Concrete Association.
- Martys, N., and E. J. Garboczi. 1992. Length scales relating the fluid permeability and electrical conductivity in random two-dimensional model porous media. *Physical Review B* 46:6080–6095.
- Montes, F., and L. Haselbach. 2006. Measuring hydraulic conductivity in pervious concrete. *Environmental Engineering Science* 23:960–969.
- Neithalath, N. 2004. Development and characterization of acoustically efficient cementitious materials. PhD thesis, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN.
- Neithalath, N., D. P. Bentz, and M. S. Sumanasooriya. 2010. Advances in pore structure characterization and performance prediction of pervious concretes. *Concrete International* 32 (5): 35–40.
- Neithalath, N., M. S. Sumanasooriya, and O. Deo. 2010. Characterizing pore volume, sizes, and connectivity in pervious concretes towards permeability prediction. *Materials Characterization* 61 (8): 802–813.
- Neithalath, N., J. Weiss, and J. Olek. 2006. Characterizing enhanced porosity concrete using electrical impedance to predict acoustic and hydraulic performance. *Cement and Concrete Research* 36:2074–2085.
- Sumanasooriya, M. S., and N. Neithalath. 2009. Stereology and morphology based pore structure descriptors of enhanced porosity (pervious) concretes. *ACI Materials Journal* 106 (5): 429–438.
- Torquato, S. 2002. *Random heterogeneous materials—Microstructure and macroscopic properties*. New York: Springer Science and Business Media LLC.
- Wang, K., V. R. Schaefer, J. T. Kevern, and M. T. Suleiman. 2006. Development of mix proportion for functional and durable pervious concrete. Proceedings in CD of the 2006 Concrete Technology Forum, Nashville, National Ready Mix Concrete Association.

---

# 8 Heat Island Effects

*Pushpa Devanathan and Kolialum Devanathan*

## CONTENTS

|          |                                                                                                              |     |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 8.1      | Introduction: What Is an Urban Heat Island?.....                                                             | 176 |
| 8.2      | Urban Heat Island Effect .....                                                                               | 177 |
| 8.2.1    | What Is an Urban Heat Island?.....                                                                           | 178 |
| 8.3      | The Impact of Urban Warming .....                                                                            | 180 |
| 8.4      | Formation of Heat Islands .....                                                                              | 181 |
| 8.5      | Existing Causes of Urban Heat Island Effect .....                                                            | 181 |
| 8.5.1    | Existing Parameters Known to Cause an Urban Heat Island Effect.....                                          | 181 |
| 8.5.2    | Increase in the Built Form and Its Geometric Effect .....                                                    | 182 |
| 8.5.3    | Heat Island, Traffic, and Pollution Levels—Air Quality.....                                                  | 189 |
| 8.5.4    | Loss of Tree Protection.....                                                                                 | 191 |
| 8.5.5    | Use of Asphalt in Road Topping.....                                                                          | 196 |
| 8.5.6    | Greenhouse Gas Emissions—Ozone Depletion .....                                                               | 196 |
| 8.5.7    | Population Increase—Demographic Changes.....                                                                 | 197 |
| 8.5.7.1  | Population Growth, Consumption Patterns, and Emissions .....                                                 | 198 |
| 8.5.8    | Urban Development and Infrastructure Activities of Cities .....                                              | 200 |
| 8.5.8.1  | Excess Energy Consumption in Buildings.....                                                                  | 200 |
| 8.5.8.2  | Sustainability and Development .....                                                                         | 201 |
| 8.5.8.3  | Unplanned Urban Development.....                                                                             | 202 |
| 8.5.9    | Use of Darker and Nonreflective Materials .....                                                              | 203 |
| 8.5.10   | Roofing Materials .....                                                                                      | 204 |
| 8.5.10.1 | Various Materials in Sunlight.....                                                                           | 204 |
| 8.5.11   | Paving Materials .....                                                                                       | 206 |
| 8.5.12   | Climate and Topography .....                                                                                 | 207 |
| 8.6      | Mitigation of Heat Island Effects.....                                                                       | 208 |
| 8.6.1    | Singapore Recommendations .....                                                                              | 212 |
| 8.6.2    | Indian Recommendations .....                                                                                 | 213 |
| 8.6.3    | Other Mitigation Strategies .....                                                                            | 214 |
| 8.6.4    | Examples of Greenhouse Mitigation Options .....                                                              | 214 |
| 8.7      | Conclusions and Recommendations .....                                                                        | 215 |
| 8.7.1    | Summary of Recommendations for Improving, Understanding, and Reducing Health Impacts of Climate Change ..... | 215 |
|          | Glossary .....                                                                                               | 216 |
|          | References.....                                                                                              | 220 |

|                                 |     |
|---------------------------------|-----|
| Additional Reading .....        | 221 |
| Books .....                     | 221 |
| Conference Papers .....         | 222 |
| Journals/Publications .....     | 222 |
| Reports on Climate Change ..... | 224 |
| News Articles .....             | 224 |
| Websites .....                  | 225 |

8.1 INTRODUCTION: WHAT IS AN URBAN HEAT ISLAND?

As urban areas develop, changes occur in their landscape. Buildings, roads, and other infrastructure replace open land and vegetation. Surfaces that were once permeable and moist become impermeable and dry. These changes cause urban regions to become warmer than their rural surroundings, forming “islands” of higher temperatures in the landscape.

Increased temperatures, especially in summer, may turn city centers into unwelcome hot areas, with direct effects on energy consumption for cooling buildings and morbidity and mortality risks for the population. These increased temperatures in the city center derive from the altered thermal balances in urban spaces, mainly due to the materials used and activities taking place in cities, which are, by far, different from those in rural areas. The notably raised thermal capacity of urban materials, their low albedo, and their lack of porosity are the main characteristics of urban materials responsible for the formation of raised urban temperatures. The general lack of vegetation and the low albedo of urban surfaces are strong characteristics of the formation of the heat island effect.

Heat islands occur on the surface and in the atmosphere. On a hot, sunny summer day, the sun can heat dry, exposed urban surfaces, such as roofs and pavement, to temperatures hotter than the air, while shaded or moist surfaces—often in more rural surroundings—remain close to air temperatures. Surface urban heat islands (UHIs) are typically present day and night, but tend to be strongest during the day when the sun is shining (see Figure 8.1).


In contrast, atmospheric urban heat islands are often weak during the late morning and throughout the day and become more pronounced after sunset due to the slow release of heat from urban infrastructure. The annual mean air temperature of a city with 1 million people or more can be 1.8°F–5.4°F (1°C–3°C) warmer than its surroundings. On a clear, calm night, however, the temperature difference can be as much as 22°F (12°C).

Surface and atmospheric temperatures vary over different land-use areas. Surface temperatures vary more than air temperatures during the day, but they both are fairly similar at night. The dip and spike in surface temperatures over a pond show that water maintains a fairly constant temperature day and night, due to its high heat capacity. Temperatures will fluctuate based on factors such as season, weather condition, sun intensity, and ground cover.

Regional climate change induced by rapid urbanization is responsible for and may result from changes in coupled human–ecological systems. Specifically, the distribution of urban vegetation may be an important intermediary between patterns



**FIGURE 8.1** Schematic representation of UHI effect. (From earthobservatory.nasa.gov/.)



**FIGURE 8.2** Schematic depictions of the main components of the urban atmosphere.

of human settlement and regional climate spatial variability. The heat island sketch pictured in Figure 8.2 shows a city’s heat island profile. It demonstrates how urban temperatures are typically lower at the urban–rural border than in dense downtown areas. The graph also shows how parks, open land, and bodies of water can create cooler areas.

**8.2 URBAN HEAT ISLAND EFFECT**

According to the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the urban heat island effect is “a measurable increase in ambient urban air temperatures resulting primarily from the replacement of vegetation with buildings, roads, and other heat

absorbing infrastructure.” The heat island effect can result in significant temperature differences between rural and urban areas.

### 8.2.1 WHAT IS AN URBAN HEAT ISLAND?

An urban heat island (UHI) is a metropolitan area that is significantly warmer than its surroundings. As population centers grow in size from village to town to city, they tend to have a corresponding increase in average temperature. The term “heat island” refers to urban air and surface temperatures that are higher than nearby rural areas. Many US cities and suburbs have air temperatures up to 10°F (5.6°C) warmer than the surrounding natural land cover.


Unplanned and unsustainable urban development has led to severe environmental pressures. The green cover and groundwater resources have been forced to give way to rapidly developing urban centers. There is no controversy about cities generally tending to be warmer than their surroundings. Scientists compiling the historical temperature record are aware of the UHI effect.

Due to anthropogenic causes, climate change and variations are perceptible. Urbanization; industrialization; deforestation; increased numbers of concrete, glass, and metal-clad buildings; and changes in land-use patterns are some of the anthropogenic activities related to development that have had an effect on the climate.

From an ecological perspective, roofs, roads, and paving are perhaps the single most critical factor that sets cities apart from the countryside. The consequences of such a concentration of impervious surfaces, usually in the form of dark asphalt and roofing materials, extend to influencing the local climate and the local hydrology in varying degrees, depending upon the particulars of locational and ecological contexts. Taha (1997, p. 99) notes that “Northern Hemisphere urban areas annually have an average of 12% less solar radiation, 8% more clouds, 14% more rainfall, 10% more snowfall, and 15% more thunderstorms than their rural counterparts.” Impervious surfaces are the hallmark of urbanization. Vitousek (1994) argues that land-use and land-cover change, taken together, are one of the three most significant global change processes that ecologists must take into account.

This concept has been recognized in publications since early in the Industrial Revolution. Oke (1995) simply defines a UHI as the “characteristic warmth” of a town or city. This warmth is a consequence of human modification of the surface and atmospheric properties that accompany urban development. This phenomenon is given its “island” designation due to the isotherm patterns of near-surface air temperature, which resembles the contours of an island rising above the cooler conditions that surround it.

This analogy is further illustrated in Figure 8.3, which shows a schematic representation of near-surface temperature for a large city, traversing from countryside to the city center. A typical “cliff” rises steeply near the rural/suburban boundary, followed by a “plateau” over much of the suburban area and then a “peak” over the city center (Oke 1987, 1995). The maximum difference in the urban peak temperature and the background rural temperature defines the urban heat island intensity. Over large metropolitan areas, there may be several plateaus and peaks in the surface temperature. Cooler patches coincide with open areas where vegetation or water is found.



**FIGURE 8.3** Generalized cross section of a typical urban heat island. (After Oke, T. R. 1982. *Journal of Royal Meteorological Society* 108 (455): 1–24.)

The physical mechanisms through which the urban heat island effect is driven are well documented. Primary constituents of urban construction, such as asphalt, cement, and roofing tile, have a much greater heat capacity than forest vegetation and other natural features that have been increasingly displaced within metropolitan regions. As a result, urban structures absorb a large quantity of thermal energy during the daylight hours and slowly re-emit this stored heat during the late afternoon and into the night. The displacement of vegetation and soils further enhances heat retention by limiting the effectiveness of a natural cooling mechanism known as evapotranspiration.

Evapotranspiration is the process through which intercepted radiation is utilized by plants, soils, and water bodies to convert water to water vapor. The use of this energy in the evapotranspiration process reduces the amount of incoming solar and terrestrial radiation available to be absorbed by surface features and re-emitted as heat energy. The excess heat energy that is absorbed as a result of urban construction and deforestation is great enough to raise the average temperature of a city by several degrees over that of peripheral nonurbanized regions (Oke 1987).

Urban designs and forms that neglect local climatic conditions and lose the cooling effects of green areas tend to aggravate the heat island effect. Cities of poor countries in the tropics are particularly affected. Rapid urban growth, combined with the potent impacts of climate variability and climate change, will probably have severe consequences for environmental health in the tropics (causing, for example, heat stress and the buildup of tropospheric ozone), which can affect the urban economy (for example, yield of labor and economic activities) and social organization.

Heat islands may be measured as either surface or atmospheric phenomena. The temperature profile depicted in Figure 8.2 illustrates a much generalized distribution of near-surface (measured 1–2 m from the ground) air temperatures across varying intensities of urbanized land use. An elevation in near-surface air temperatures is known as the “canopy layer” heat island. Heat islands are also manifested through an elevation in the surface temperature of urban regions (the “surface” heat island; Roth et al. 1989).

Surface-based measurements are also preferable for an analysis of land use and urban warming in that surface temperature may be measured through remote sensing techniques. In contrast to air temperature measurements that must be made through in situ observations on the ground, radiant emissions from surfaces can be measured

remotely from radiometers mounted on aircraft or satellites. An advantage of remote sensing techniques is that these methods facilitate the collection of a very large number of thermal observations.

An important theoretical premise is that increments in surface thermal emissions directly contribute to an elevation in atmospheric temperatures, with significant implications for air quality and human health. Evidence of a significant relationship between the surface and near-surface heat islands is provided from a number of studies. It is believed that surface-based measures provide a reliable basis for examining the interaction between urban design and elevations in both surface and near-surface air temperatures.

It is established that there is a recorded documented phenomenon called the urban heat island effect. There is a need to study the climatic changes in growing metropolitan cities around the world.

### 8.3 THE IMPACT OF URBAN WARMING

Heat island formation can influence air quality through a number of mechanisms. Most directly, elevated atmospheric temperatures are known to facilitate the series of chemical reactions through which ozone is formed (Cardelino and Chameides 1990). Toxic to humans at ground level, ozone inflames lung tissue and aggravates a range of respiratory ailments, such as asthma. Urban warming can elevate ozone concentrations by increasing the rate at which volatile organic compounds (VOCs), a class of precursors to ozone, are emitted from vehicle engines and natural sources such as trees.

In recent years, the relationship between urban land use and environmental quality has received increased attention in both planning research and practice. Evidence provided from a range of studies on the interaction between land use and air quality has illustrated that moderate to high levels of density and an intermixing of compatible land uses can reduce vehicle travel and offset pollutant emissions. Urban intensification has the added benefit of reducing the acreage of rural land converted to suburban uses over time. In response to such findings, advocates of neotraditional design and “smart growth” management are re-embracing compact, pedestrian-scaled urban forms to achieve, in part, an environmental objective.

In addition to the potential for urban design to reduce air pollution through the facilitation of nonvehicle travel, there may be a more direct relationship between urban development patterns and air quality. Through a climatological phenomenon known as the *urban heat island effect*, large urbanized regions have been shown to alter their climates physically in the form of elevated temperatures relative to rural areas at their periphery.

Similar to the effects of global warming, the implications of “urban warming” for air quality and human health within affected regions can be substantial. While global warming forecasts predict a rise in temperature of 3.5°F–6°F (2°C–3.5°C) over the next century (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 1995), large urbanized regions are already routinely measured to be 6°F–8°F (3.5°C–4.5°C) warmer than surrounding rural regions.

Increasing at a rate of 0.25°F–2°F (0.1°C–1.1°C) per decade, the heat island effect within urban cores of rapidly growing metropolitan regions may double within 50

years. In light of the roughly 2.9 billion new residents projected to arrive in urban regions between 1990 and 2025, there is a pressing need to ascertain the implications of urban warming for metropolitan regions and to identify potential strategies to counteract regional climate change.

Urban heat islands are of interest primarily because they affect so many people. The impact of UHIs on the world's populace has the potential to be large and far-reaching. As UHIs are characterized by increased temperature, they can potentially increase the magnitude and duration of heat waves within cities. Research has found that the mortality rate during a heat wave increases exponentially with the maximum temperature, an effect that is exacerbated by the UHI. Over the years, concern for the catastrophic effects on human health has prompted the development of strategies for reducing the UHI effect. These strategies have included reducing heat radiation and other emissions, expanding vegetated spaces, and, most recently, the implementation of cool roofs and green roofs

## **8.4 FORMATION OF HEAT ISLANDS**


Heat islands form as cities replace natural land cover with pavement, buildings, and other infrastructure. These changes contribute to higher urban temperatures in a number of ways. Waste heat from vehicles, factories, and air conditioners may add warmth to their surroundings, further exacerbating the heat island effect. Displacing trees and vegetation minimizes the natural cooling effects of shading and evaporation of water from soil and leaves (evapotranspiration). Tall buildings and narrow streets can heat air trapped between them and reduce air flow. In addition to these factors, heat island intensities depend on an area's weather and climate, proximity to water bodies, and topography. Measuring heat islands can help determine how these factors influence the heat island effect.

## **8.5 EXISTING CAUSES OF URBAN HEAT ISLAND EFFECT**

### **8.5.1 EXISTING PARAMETERS KNOWN TO CAUSE AN URBAN HEAT ISLAND EFFECT**

The following parameters can cause a UHI effect (see Figure 8.4):

- Increase in the built form and the geometric effect of built form
- Increase in traffic and pollution levels—air quality
- Loss of tree cover
- Topping of roads using asphalt
- Greenhouse gas emissions—ozone depletion
- Population increase—demographic changes
- Unplanned and unsustainable urban development
- Use of dark materials and surfaces that do not reflect heat
- Roofing materials
- Paving materials
- Increase in infrastructure activities of cities
- Climate and topography




**FIGURE 8.4** Pictorial depiction of parameters causing heat island effect. (Courtesy of the authors.)

**8.5.2 INCREASE IN THE BUILT FORM AND ITS GEOMETRIC EFFECT**

Urban areas generate more heat than other areas because of population, manufacturing, transportation, and other causes. Urban areas also are heat traps and this compounds the problem. The EPA discusses one of the reasons when it says that “heat islands form as vegetation is replaced by asphalt and concrete for roads, buildings, and other structures necessary to accommodate growing populations. These surfaces absorb—rather than reflect—the sun’s heat, causing surface temperatures and overall ambient temperatures to rise.”


*Albedo*, or solar reflectance, is a measure of a material’s ability to reflect sunlight on a scale of 0 to 1 (see Figure 8.5). An albedo value of 0.0 indicates that the surface absorbs all solar radiation, and a 1.0 albedo value represents total reflectivity. With a remarkable increase in the built form, the albedo of concrete and steel, modern construction materials add to the number of surfaces reflecting the heat. Less absorption takes place, which increases the temperature.

The urban heat island phenomenon was first discovered in the early 1800s in London. The focus of research now is on the driving forces, magnitude, and overall



**FIGURE 8.5** Albedo of various materials. (From [www.heatislandeffect/EPA.gov](http://www.heatislandeffect/EPA.gov))

extent of the effect. As the heat in a city builds, it causes the hot air to rise. Colder air from outside the city then rushes into the vacuum, creating winds. The warmer rising air begins to cool, forming convective clouds that typically produce localized thunderstorms and rain (see Figure 8.6). “Heat hunters” Dr. Dale Quattrochi and Dr. Jeff Luvall study the urban heat island effect for the Global Hydrology and Climate Center, managed by NASA’s Marshall Space Flight Center in Huntsville, Alabama. Quattrochi (1999) says that two major goals of their studies are to “understand how the characteristics of the urban landscape drive this urban heat island effect and how urbanization and growth shape the dynamics of the effect.”



**FIGURE 8.6** Relationship to climate change. (Courtesy of Cynthia Rosenzweig and Bill Solecki. From [earthinstitute.columbia.edu](http://earthinstitute.columbia.edu))


A description of the very first report of the UHI by Luke Howard, in 1820, states:

Howard was also to discover that the urban center was warmer at night than the surrounding countryside, a condition we now call the urban heat island. Under a table presented in *The Climate of London* (1820) of a nine-year comparison between temperature readings in London and in the country, he commented: “Night is 3.7°F warmer and day 0.34° cooler in the city than in the country.” He attributed this difference to the extensive use of fuel in the city. (IPCC 2001)

In the late 1980s and early 1990s, scientists at the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratories studied what came to be known as the urban heat island effect. In essence, they found that large urban centers are 3°C–7°C warmer in the summer than the surrounding countryside. This extra heat buildup was found to be due to

- Dark-colored pavement and roofs absorbing solar energy
- High concentration of air conditioners pumping heat outside
- Increased concentration of greenhouse gases, caused by higher temperatures
- Dark-colored roofs causing 38% of the total increased heat

A study presented to the American Geophysical Union (AGU) documents that the concentration of concrete, large buildings and other human activities artificially raises urban temperatures in such cities as Atlanta (see Figure 8.7) and Houston by an average of 10° on hot summer days. The study supports a wide body of evidence



**FIGURE 8.7** Thermal image of Atlanta, Georgia. On a scale of white to black, warmer areas appear white, cooler black. Daytime air temperatures were only about 26.7°C (80°F), but some surface temperatures reached 47.8°C (118°F).

suggesting that ground-based temperature readings do not provide reliable evidence of significant global warming.

On a summer day in Houston, the urban temperature is approximately 8°F higher than the surrounding rural temperature. This difference is expected to increase as more and more green space is lost to roads, parking lots, and buildings. Even within a city, temperatures vary significantly, with large urban forests typically being 7°F cooler than the surrounding neighborhood. Dr. Quattrochi notes that the temperature of artificial surfaces can be 20°F–40°F higher than that of vegetated surfaces. The heat emitted by these surfaces creates a heat dome over cities (Steitz and Drachlis 1997).


The problem is exacerbated by the increasing development, pollution, and use of concrete that accelerate tree loss. In New York City, for example, 20% of its urban forest has been lost in the past decade (US Department of Energy 1993). The causes of the increased temperatures in cities are well understood. Concrete, asphalt, bricks, and buildings absorb and store solar energy (heat), creating urban heat islands. These surfaces then release this heat during the night, preventing significant overnight cooling in the city. The higher heat increases the volatilization of VOC (which is heat dependent), which then creates more pollution. The cloud of pollution lying over the city further traps heat.

Surface cover data help scientists determine an area's heat island. In May 1988, the US Department of Energy's Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) modeled Baton Rouge's near-surface heat island (south-central Louisiana, east bank of the Mississippi River), which represents near-ground air temperatures as opposed to surface temperatures measured by thermal images. LBNL conducted this modeling analysis over an area several times larger than the city center. These simulations indicate that Baton Rouge's heat island ranges from 3.6°F to 7.2°F.


The severity and impact of the UHI phenomenon have been explored through different methods in Singapore. The startling difference in temperature between the rural and urban areas was shown clearly in satellite images. This indicates the occurrence of the UHI effect during the day in Singapore. The hot spots are normally observed on exposed hard surfaces in the urban context, such as the industrial area, airport, and central business district (CBD).

The satellite image also shows some cool spots, which are mostly observed on the large parks, the landscape in between the housing estates, and the catchment area. The survey routes near large green areas experienced lower temperatures compared with other land uses like the industrial areas, the residential areas, CBD area, and the airport. Both the lowest temperature and mean temperature, 24.3°C and 25.01°C, respectively, were observed in a well-planted area—Lim Chu Kang. It can be concluded that large green areas definitely have a positive effect on mitigating the UHI effect in the city (see Figures 8.8 and 8.9).


Other causes of a UHI are due to geometric effects of the built form. The tall buildings within many urban areas provide multiple surfaces for the reflection and absorption of sunlight, increasing the efficiency with which urban areas are heated. This is called the "canyon effect." Another effect of buildings is the blocking of wind, which also inhibits cooling by convection. Tall buildings and narrow streets can heat air trapped between them and reduce air flow.



**FIGURE 8.8** Temperature distribution of Japan.



**FIGURE 8.9** Thermal images of urban heat island of one of the warmest areas of Tel-Aviv as acquired from the airborne INFRAMETRICS video radiometer during day and night and a year apart. Also provided is an air photo image of the area. (With the support of Belfer and Forter funds.)



**FIGURE 8.10** Air flow currents. (From web.mit.edu.)


Other causes of a UHI are due to geometric effects of the built form. The tall buildings within many urban areas provide multiple surfaces for the reflection and absorption of sunlight, increasing the efficiency with which urban areas are heated. This is called the “canyon effect.” Another effect of buildings is the blocking of wind, which also inhibits cooling by convection. Tall buildings and narrow streets can heat air trapped between them and reduce air flow (see Figure 8.10).

It is useful to discuss the one aspect of the urban setting that influences the formation of the urban heat island effect. The urban canyon has two opposing effects: It can increase the heat island effect by significantly reducing nighttime radiational cooling, but it also can decrease urban temperatures by shading pavements during the day. The ability of a surface to cool at night by emitting long-wave radiation into the sky depends on its “sky-view factor”: the proportion of its viewing hemisphere that is occupied by sky rather than surrounding buildings. A pavement surrounded by tall buildings will have less exposure to the sky, so the buildings will block and absorb the heat emitted by the road and pavements. This prevents the heat from escaping the canopy air layer and exacerbating the heat island effect.


Marked differences in air temperature are some of the most important contrasts between the urban and rural areas shown. For instance, Chandler (1965) found that, under clear skies and light winds, temperatures in central London during the spring reached a minimum of 11°C, whereas in the suburbs they dropped to 5°C (see Figure 8.11). The term “urban heat island” is used to describe the dome of warm air that frequently builds up over towns and cities (see Figure 8.12).

The formation of a heat island is the result of the interaction of the following factors:

- The release (and reflection) of heat from industrial and domestic buildings
- The absorption by concrete, brick, and tarmac of heat during the day and its release into the lower atmosphere at night
- The reflection of solar radiation by glass buildings and windows. (The central business districts of some urban areas can therefore have quite high albedo rates [proportion of light reflected].)
- The emission of hygroscopic pollutants from cars and heavy industry acting as condensation nuclei, leading to the formation of clouds and smog, which can trap radiation (In some cases, a pollution dome can also build up.)




**FIGURE 8.11** London heat island effect.



**FIGURE 8.12** Urban pollution dome and plume.

- Recent research on London's heat island showing that the pollution domes can also filter incoming solar radiation, thereby reducing the buildup of heat during the day (At night, the dome may trap some of the heat from the day, so these domes might be reducing the sharp differences between urban and rural areas.)
- The relative absence of water in urban areas, which means that less energy is used for evapotranspiration and more is available to heat the lower atmosphere
- The absence of strong winds to disperse the heat and bring in cooler air from rural and suburban areas (Indeed, UHIs are often most clearly defined on calm summer evenings, often under blocking anticyclones.)

The precise nature of the heat island varies from urban area to urban area, and it depends on the presence of large areas of open space, rivers, the distribution of industries, and the density and height of buildings. In general, the temperatures are highest in the central areas and gradually decline toward the suburbs. In some cities, a temperature cliff occurs on the edge of town. This can be clearly seen in the heat profile for Chester, England, in Figure 8.13.




**FIGURE 8.13** Urban heat island in Chester, England. (From [www.metoffice.gov.uk](http://www.metoffice.gov.uk))

**8.5.3 HEAT ISLAND, TRAFFIC, AND POLLUTION LEVELS—AIR QUALITY**

Waste heat from vehicles, factories, and air conditioners may add warmth to their surroundings, further exacerbating the heat island effect. Some causes of a UHI are anthropogenic, though they are relatively minor in summer and generally in low- and mid-latitude areas. In winter and especially in high latitudes, when solar radiation is considerably smaller, these effects can contribute the majority of UHI. As urban areas are often inhabited by large numbers of people, heat generation by human activity also contributes to the UHI. Such activities include the operation of automobiles, air-conditioning units, and various forms of industry. High levels of pollution in urban areas can also increase the UHI because many forms of pollution can create a local greenhouse effect (see Figure 8.14).

Meteorologists Robert Bornstein and Qing Lu Lin from San Jose State University in California presented this finding at the annual meeting of the Association of



**FIGURE 8.14** Surfaces and their effects on causal factors of UHI. (From [www.ruf.rice.edu](http://www.ruf.rice.edu))

American Geographers in Honolulu, Hawaii. Quattrochi and Luvall of NASA's Global Hydrology Center led this NASA-sponsored study. The Atlanta Land-Use Analysis: Temperature and Air Quality (ATLANTA) project began in 1996 in order to study the impact of urban heat islands on the environment.


Reradiated heat, waste heat generated by industry, vehicles, and mechanical equipment, and increased levels of air pollution have combined to raise urban temperature levels up to 8°C warmer than their surroundings on warm summer evenings. If estimates are correct, global warming will exacerbate the UHI effect by raising summer temperatures an additional 5°C. Higher urban temperatures increase the instability of the atmosphere, which in turn can increase the chance of rainfall and severe thunderstorms. The city of Cologne, Germany, for example, receives 27% more rainfall than surrounding areas. In cities already plagued by overextended storm-water systems and combined sewage overflows, the problems caused by severe rainfall are likely to worsen with global climate change.

Higher temperatures also have a direct effect on air quality since heated air stirs up dust and airborne particulates as it rises. On a hot summer day, a typical insulated gravel-covered roof in central Europe tends to heat up by 25°C, to between 60°C and 80°C. This temperature increase means that a vertical column of moving air is created over each roof which, for 1,075 square feet (ft<sup>2</sup>; 100 square meters [m<sup>2</sup>]) of roof surface area, can be moving upward at 0.5 m/sec. Studies have shown that there is no vertical thermal air movement over grass surfaces. These surfaces will not heat up to more than 25°C.

Vehicle emissions and rising temperatures also contribute to an increase in ozone, a pollutant detrimental to the environment and human health (see Figure 8.15). During last year's ozone season in Atlanta, Georgia, which runs from the end of April to the end of September, the city suffered through 62 straight days of ozone alerts. Dale Quattrochi (1999) says that, based on models, there is potential for a temperature decrease of 2°C in Atlanta to lower the ozone by 10%–14%, a significant



**FIGURE 8.15** Smoke pollution in Mumbai, India. (Photo credit: Applied Power Corporation.)



**FIGURE 8.16** Smog and temperature. (Source: <http://heatisland.lbl.gov/>)

drop. The increase in urban temperature contributes to an increase in ozone, a particularly destructive type of smog. Ozone interferes with photosynthesis, the process by which plants make food, and also damages the lungs of people and animals.


More recently, Richard Lindzen (2006), who still opposes the idea that humans have caused global warming, has acknowledged that the warming itself is real: “First, let’s start where there is agreement. The public, press and policy makers have been repeatedly told that nineteenth century levels of carbon dioxide ( $\text{CO}_2$ ) in the atmosphere have increased by about 30% over the same period and  $\text{CO}_2$  should contribute to future warming. These claims are true.”

Urban heat islands are not only uncomfortably hot, they are also smoggier. Smog is created by photochemical reactions of pollutants in the air. These reactions are more likely to occur and intensify at higher temperatures. In Los Angeles, for example, for every degree Fahrenheit the temperature rises above 70°F, the incidence of smog increases by 3%.

Higher ambient temperatures in heat islands also increase air-conditioning energy use. As power plants burn more fossil fuels, they increase both pollution levels and energy costs. The impact of these pollution levels is seen in smog. The formation of smog is highly sensitive to temperatures; the higher the temperature is, the higher the formation and, hence, the concentration of smog (see Figures 8.16 and 8.17). In Los Angeles, at temperatures below 70°F, the concentration of smog (measured as ozone) is below the national standard. At temperatures of about 95°F, all days are smoggy. Cooling the city by about 5°F would have a dramatic impact on smog concentration. An additional consequence is that the probability of smog also increases by 5% for every 0.5°F rise in daily maximum temperature above 70°F. An important theoretical premise is that increments in surface thermal emissions directly contribute to an elevation in atmospheric temperatures, with significant implications for air quality and human health.

#### 8.5.4 LOSS OF TREE PROTECTION

Displacing trees and vegetation minimizes the natural cooling effects of shading and evaporation of water from soil and leaves (evapotranspiration). There are fewer trees,



**FIGURE 8.17** Measured at Los Angeles, North Main, 1985, as temperature rises, so does the likelihood that smog will exceed the national standard. (From <http://heatisland.lbl.gov>)


shrubs, and other plants to shade buildings, intercept solar radiation, and cool the air by evapotranspiration. The energy balance is also affected by the lack of vegetation and standing water in urban areas, which inhibits cooling by evapotranspiration.

Heat islands are created through the process of urbanization (see Figure 8.18). As a city grows, trees, which normally reduce the amount of heat and smog, are cut down to make room for commercial development, roads, and suburban growth. Plants and soil absorb heat during the day and then carry the heat away through evaporation. In Atlanta, urban development has increased so drastically between 1973 and 1992 that almost 380,000 acres of forest were cleared to accommodate that growth (see Figure 8.19). Heat islands are created when city growth alters the urban fabric by substituting man-made asphalt roads and tar roofs and other features for forest growth. Trees provide shade and cool the air through evaporation.

Urban forests are a vital component of the urban ecosystems. Very little information is available to planners and legislators in India regarding the costs and benefits



**FIGURE 8.18** Schematic representation of causes of UHI effect. (From [www.heatislandeffect/EPA.gov](http://www.heatislandeffect/EPA.gov))



**FIGURE 8.19** Atlanta in 1972 and 1993. The growing urban heat island corresponds to the replacement of trees and other vegetation with concrete, asphalt, and other surfaces. The centers of the heat islands in 1993 are up to 12° hotter than the surrounding countryside.

of urban trees because few Indian studies have been carried out. The pollutant-removing, oxygen-producing, and heat-mitigating qualities of trees were known many decades ago, but the quantification of these abilities has begun only recently.

Plants play a crucial role in the survival of life on our planet. Through the photosynthesis process, which takes place within green leaves and stems, plants convert carbon dioxide, water, and sunlight/energy (solar radiation) into oxygen and glucose. Plants supply humans and other animals with oxygen and food, and animals, in turn, produce the carbon dioxide and manure required by the plants. Studies have shown that one mature beech tree (80–100 years old), with a crown diameter of 15 m, shades 170 m<sup>2</sup> of surface area, has a combined leaf surface area of 1600 m<sup>2</sup>, and creates 1.71 kg of oxygen and 1.6 kg of glucose every hour (using 2.4 kg of carbon dioxide, 96 kg of water, and 25.5 kJ heat energy). This level of production equals the oxygen intake of 10 humans every hour.

One of the crucial elements in selecting plant types and densities is the green leaf and stem surface area available for photosynthesis. For example, 25 m<sup>2</sup> of leaf surface area produce 27 g of oxygen per hour during the day, which equals the amount of oxygen a human would require for the same time period. However, considering the effects of nature, nighttime (no sunlight), and winter (no green leaves on deciduous plants), 150 m<sup>2</sup> of leaf surface area would be required to balance the human intake of oxygen for 1 year (Peck et al. 1999).

Scientists used satellite data as well as sensors on board a Lear jet to study urban growth trends and effects on weather. Data showed that temperatures in parking lots could exceed 120°F, while small tree islands in the same lot had temperatures of only 89°F. NASA scientists are using space age technology to understand how characteristics of the urban environment create urban heat islands.


A study by Haider Taha (1997) found that the primary contributors to urban heat islands are reduced vegetation and darker surfaces. Replacing vegetation with paved surfaces leads to higher air temperatures because the sun's energy that was previously used for evapotranspiration is now used to heat these surfaces. These surfaces usually have a lower albedo and thus reflect less of the sun's rays. Pavement, especially dark pavement, sitting in the hot sun during the day will readily absorb the sun's heat, and then it will release that heat into the air to warm the environment around it.

In large cities, land surfaces with vegetation are relatively few and are replaced by nonreflective, water-resistant surfaces such as asphalt, tar, and building materials that absorb most of the sun's radiation. These surfaces hinder the natural cooling that would otherwise take effect with the evaporation of moisture from surfaces with vegetation. The urban heat island occurrence is particularly pronounced during summer heat waves and at night when wind speeds are low and sea breezes are light. During these times, New York City's air temperatures can rise 7.2°F higher than in surrounding areas.

In one project, NASA researchers set out to recommend ways to reduce the UHI effect in New York City. They looked at strategies such as promoting light-colored surfaces such as roofs and pavements that reflect sunlight, planting "urban forests" and creating "living roofs" on top of buildings where sturdy vegetation can be planted and thrive. Using a regional climate computer model, the researchers wanted

to calculate how these strategies lower the city’s surface and close-to-surface air temperatures and what the consequences of these strategies would be on New York’s energy system, air quality, and health of its residents.

The researchers conducted a citywide case study over the summer of 2002 to measure changes in air temperatures (see Figure 8.20). They also used six smaller case studies during the same period in places like lower Manhattan, the Bronx’s Fordham section, Brooklyn’s Crown Heights section, and the Maspeth section of Queens. The areas were chosen for the different ways in which land was used and their nearness to areas with high electrical use. They also had warmer than average near-surface air temperatures, called “hot spots” and boasted available spaces to test ways to reduce the UHI effect.



**FIGURE 8.20** Thermal (top) and vegetation (bottom) infrared satellite data measured by NASA’s Landsat 7 Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus on August 14, 2002, one of the hottest days in New York City’s summer. A comparison of the images shows that where vegetation is dense, temperatures are cooler.

8.5.5 USE OF ASPHALT IN ROAD TOPPING

Asphalt roads and tar roofs absorb and hold nearly all of the heat around them. There is little material in urban areas that reflects heat. Atlanta is 5°F–8°F hotter than outlying areas and this excess heat produces increased rainfall and thunderstorms.

Concrete roads can be used to mitigate the UHI because its albedo value is between 0.1 and 0.35; asphalt has an albedo value between 0.05 and 0.2. Thin concrete can be placed on the existing asphalt roads. This will have greater wearing resistance than asphalt, resulting in economically viable solutions as well as increased albedo values.

8.5.6 GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS—OZONE DEPLETION

Greenhouse gas emissions, such as carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide, contribute to global warming and climate change. According to the US-based think tank, the World Resources Institute, India was responsible for over 4% of total emissions in 2000—making the country the sixth-largest emitter in the world. Emissions are set to rise further still over the next 20 years as the Indian economy rapidly develops. Both the International Energy Agency and the US Energy Information Administration predict over 90% growth in carbon dioxide emissions alone by 2025.


According to Bhattacharya and Mitra, carbon dioxide emissions account for over 60% of greenhouse gases released in India. Most of this comes from the energy sector burning fossil fuels, such as coal, oil, and natural gas (see Figures 8.21–8.24).

Studies have shown that urban streets with trees have only 10%–15% of the total dust particles found on similar streets without trees. In Frankfurt, Germany, for example, a street without trees had an air pollution count of 10,000–20,000 dirt particles per liter of air, but a street with trees in the same neighborhood had an air pollution count of only 3,000 dirt particles per liter of air.


Shukla predicts a rise of almost ten times current levels. According to A. P. Mitra, emeritus scientist at Delhi’s National Physical Laboratory and former director general

| Country                                                                | 1990                                     |                        | 2000                                     |                        |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                                                                        | Million Tonnes Carbon Dioxide Equivalent | Percent of World Total | Million Tonnes Carbon Dioxide Equivalent | Percent of World Total |
| United States of America                                               | 5,630.00                                 | 14.62                  | 6,525.20                                 | 15.81                  |
| China                                                                  | 3,973.50                                 | 10.32                  | 4,890.40                                 | 11.85                  |
| Indonesia                                                              | 2,498.80                                 | 6.49                   | 3,065.60                                 | 7.43                   |
| Brazil                                                                 | 2,641.80                                 | 6.86                   | 2,223.20                                 | 5.39                   |
| Russian Federation                                                     | 2,916.00                                 | 7.57                   | 1,969.40                                 | 4.77                   |
| India                                                                  | 1,305.00                                 | 3.39                   | 1,843.80                                 | 4.47                   |
| Japan                                                                  | 1,216.70                                 | 3.16                   | 1,321.00                                 | 3.20                   |
| Germany                                                                | 1,198.50                                 | 3.11                   | 1,009.40                                 | 2.45                   |
| Indian greenhouse gas emissions compared to other significant emitters |                                          |                        |                                          |                        |


FIGURE 8.21 Comparison of Indian greenhouse gases to other emitters.



**FIGURE 8.22** Carbon dioxide emissions in India by sector in 2000. (From Climate Analysis Indicators Tool, version 3.0. World Resources Institute.)



**FIGURE 8.23** Methane emissions in India by sector in 2000. (From Climate Analysis Indicators Tool, version 3.0. World Resources Institute.)



**FIGURE 8.24** Nitrous oxide emissions in India by sector in 2000. (From Climate Analysis Indicators Tool, version 3.0. World Resources Institute.)

of the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), a fourfold increase in the country’s gross domestic product (GDP) would require a 2.8-fold increase in carbon dioxide emissions, 1.3 times more methane, and 2.6 times more nitrous oxide unless action is taken. The World Resources Institute, a US-based environmental think tank, estimates that by 2025, India will rank fourth in the world for total greenhouse gas emissions.

**8.5.7 POPULATION INCREASE—DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGES**

In 2005, 50% of the world’s population lived in cities consuming over 75% of the world’s energy; as human development (as measured by the UN index), energy use will increase faster than the increase in population. By 2030, it is predicted that over

60% of the world's population will live in cities with this percentage continuing to rise to the end of the century. Urban areas are particularly vulnerable to the effects of global warming, particularly extreme weather events such as floods, storm surges, drought, and heat waves.

### 8.5.7.1 Population Growth, Consumption Patterns, and Emissions

The United Nations projects that world population will, under the most likely scenario, have increased from 5.3 billion in 1990 to 6.3 billion by 2000, growing thereafter to 8.5 billion in 2025, 10.0 billion in 2050, and 11.2 billion in 2075. The World Bank's projections are very similar. Nearly all of this growth is anticipated in today's developing countries (see Figure 8.25). Increases in world population would mean increased global demand for energy, which, with current energy technologies, would result in increased greenhouse gas emissions. Population growth would also probably result in further deforestation and expansion of irrigated agriculture; both activities are sources of greenhouse gases. Population policy is therefore becoming increasingly important for long-range planning within developing countries. Therefore, the industrial growth that would be necessary to meet the population requirements, if population levels were to continue to rise so rapidly, would place enormous stresses on the environment in future decades (World Bank 1992).

Surface-based temperature readings reflect localized human population growth rather than any significant increase in global temperatures. Researcher David Streutker analyzed two sets of infrared temperature measurements for the city of Houston, Texas. The findings were published in *Remote Sensing of the Environment*, a research journal for environmental scientists (Rice University Department of Physics and Astronomy). By comparing ground-based and satellite temperature readings, Streutker demonstrated that over the course of 12 years (between 1987 and 1999), the Houston urban heat island effect increased nearly a full degree Celsius. Urban population growth, rather than any external warming, explained the rise in temperatures in and around Houston, said Streutker.

A study recently published in Australian Meteorological Magazine documented that the urban heat island effect artificially raises temperature readings in towns as small as 1,000 people. According to the Center for the Study of Carbon Dioxide and




**FIGURE 8.25** Center of São Paulo, one of the largest metropolises in the world. (From [www.urbanization/saopaulo](http://www.urbanization/saopaulo))

Global Change, “Changes in population, which have generally been positive nearly everywhere in the world over this period, could easily explain” why ground-based temperature readings, usually taken in and near cities, show an apparent warming trend that is not substantiated by other data.

An American Geophysical Union (AGU) study bolsters the evidence that surface-based temperature readings reflect localized human population growth rather than any significant increase in global temperatures. “The majority of evidence is pointing to some sort of urban modification,” said Daniel Rosenfield of Hebrew University.

India is a part of the global trend toward increasing urbanization in which more than half of the world’s population is currently residing in cities and towns. According to the 2001 census, there were 4,378 towns and cities in India and 35 metropolitan cities having a population of over one million. In India, of the total population of 1,027 million, as of March 1, 2001, about 742 million (72.2%) lived in rural areas and 285 million (27.8%) in urban areas. The percentage growth of population in rural and urban areas during the last decade was 17.9% and 31.2%, respectively. It is important to note that the contribution of the urban sector to GDP is currently expected to be in the range of 50%–60%. The increased urbanization seen today is a result of this overall growth. With a shift in demography toward urban areas (see Figure 8.26), deterioration of air quality, formation of heat islands and poorly constructed dwelling units make over urban centers prone to weather hazards. Anthropogenic heat production figures for the top 20 cities in the United States are given in Figure 8.27.

We need to be aware that a lower population density may translate into additional heat generated by a greater use of transportation energy. As Houston grows, the population density should not be allowed to increase radically if we do not want



**FIGURE 8.26** Population age comparison between rural Pocahontas County, Iowa, and urban Johnson County, Iowa, illustrating the flight of young adults to urban centers in Iowa.

| Rank | Place             | Population | Land Area (sq miles) | Persons per sq mile | Persons per sq meter | Anthropogenic Heat (kWhr/m <sup>2</sup> day) |
|------|-------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| 1    | New York City, NY | 7,322,564  | 308.9                | 23,705              | 0.00853              | 2.3                                          |
| 2    | Los Angeles, CA   | 3,485,398  | 469.3                | 7,427               | 0.00267              | 0.72                                         |
| 3    | Chicago, IL       | 2,783,726  | 227.2                | 12,252              | 0.00441              | 1.19                                         |
| 4    | Houston, TX       | 1,630,553  | 539.9                | 3,020               | 0.00109              | 0.29                                         |
| 5    | Philadelphia, PA  | 1,585,577  | 135.1                | 11,736              | 0.00422              | 1.14                                         |
| 6    | San Diego, CA     | 1,110,549  | 324                  | 3,428               | 0.00123              | 0.33                                         |
| 7    | Detroit, MI       | 1,027,974  | 138.7                | 7,411               | 0.00267              | 0.72                                         |
| 8    | Dallas, TX        | 1,006,877  | 342.4                | 2,941               | 0.00106              | 0.29                                         |
| 9    | Phoenix, AZ       | 983,403    | 419.9                | 2,342               | 0.00084              | 0.23                                         |
| 10   | San Antonio, TX   | 935,933    | 333                  | 2,811               | 0.00101              | 0.27                                         |
| 11   | San Jose, CA      | 782,248    | 171.3                | 4,567               | 0.00164              | 0.44                                         |
| 12   | Baltimore, MD     | 736,014    | 80.8                 | 9,109               | 0.00328              | 0.88                                         |
| 13   | Indianapolis, IN  | 731,327    | 361.7                | 2,022               | 0.00073              | 0.2                                          |
| 14   | San Francisco, CA | 723,959    | 46.7                 | 15,502              | 0.00558              | 1.5                                          |
| 15   | Jacksonville, FL  | 635,230    | 758.7                | 837                 | 0.0003               | 0.08                                         |
| 16   | Columbus, OH      | 632,910    | 190.9                | 3,315               | 0.00119              | 0.32                                         |
| 17   | Milwaukee, WI     | 628,088    | 96.1                 | 6,536               | 0.00235              | 0.63                                         |
| 18   | Memphis, TN       | 610,337    | 256                  | 2,384               | 0.00086              | 0.23                                         |
| 19   | Washington, DC    | 606,900    | 61.4                 | 9,884               | 0.00356              | 0.96                                         |
| 20   | Boston, MA        | 574,283    | 48.4                 | 11,865              | 0.00427              | 1.15                                         |


**FIGURE 8.27** Anthropogenic heat production for the top 20 cities in the United States.

the temperature to increase significantly with it. Increasing at a rate of 0.25°F–2°F (0.1°C–1.1°C) per decade, the heat island effect within urban cores of rapidly growing metropolitan regions may double within 50 years (McPherson 1994). In light of the roughly 2.9 billion new residents projected to arrive in urban regions between 1990 and 2025, there is a pressing need to ascertain the implications of urban warming for metropolitan regions and to identify potential strategies to counteract regional climate change (World Resources Institute 1990).

## 8.5.8 URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACTIVITIES OF CITIES

### 8.5.8.1 Excess Energy Consumption in Buildings

Unplanned and unsustainable urban development has led to severe environmental pressures. The green cover and groundwater resources have been forced to give way to rapidly developing urban centers. Modern buildings built in our cities have high levels of energy consumption because of requirements of air conditioning and lighting. Another consequence of urban heat islands is the increased energy required for air conditioning and refrigeration in cities that are in comparatively hot climates.



**FIGURE 8.28** An image taken from Astronomy Picture of the Day of the surface of the earth. It is a composite of hundreds of satellite images taken at night. It is a perfect indicator of urbanization on earth. The greatest urbanization is over the continental United States, Europe, India, Japan, Eastern China, and primarily coastal South America.

Yoshinobu Ashie, chief researcher at the Building Research Institute in Tsukuba, Japan, said that in the late nineteenth century, Japan began heavily importing Western culture and technology that radically transformed lifestyles. Modern technology brought electrically powered fans and air conditioners. Skyscrapers and big apartments crowded the city, adding to the increasing heat. Ashie said that there was a vicious cycle: The rising heat spurs heavier use of air conditioning, which in turn generates more heat.

Materials that absorb heat hold in the heat long after the sun sets, keeping the cities hotter for longer periods of time. Atlanta experiences early morning rain showers because urban heat islands retain their temperature long after nightfall. This causes an even greater difference in temperature between urban and outlying areas. While much of the growth in Atlanta has been residential in nature, Quattrochi (1999) cautions that commercial and residential development often go hand in hand. With no indication that urban sprawl will slow in the near future, scientists are searching for ways to curb urban heat islands (see Figure 8.28).

### 8.5.8.2 Sustainability and Development

What is sustainable development? It is environmental, economic, and social well-being for today and tomorrow. Sustainable development has been defined in many ways, but the most frequently quoted definition is from “Our Common Future” also known as the Brundtland Report.

Sustainable development is development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.

It is made up of two key concepts:

- the concept of needs, in particular the essential needs of the world’s poor, to which overriding priority should be given;
- and the idea of limitations imposed by the state of technology and social organization on the environment’s ability to meet present and future needs.

All definitions of sustainable development require that we see the world as a system—a system that connects space and a system that connects time.

Sustainable building maximizes use of efficient building materials and construction practices; optimizes use of on-site sources and sinks by bioclimatic architectural practices; uses minimum energy to power itself; uses efficient equipment to meet its lighting, air-conditioning, and other needs; and maximizes use of renewable sources of energy. Sustainable or “green” building is an integrated approach to the design, construction, and operation that minimizes negative environmental effects.

On April 8, 2005, Washington became the first US state to enact a law requiring public buildings to be constructed with standards encouraging energy conservation and recycling. Governor Christine Gregoire signed the historic bill into law at Washington Middle School in Olympia, which became among the first buildings in the state to incorporate “green” standards (<http://www.theolympian.com/home/news/20050409/southsound/122219.shtml>).

The basic precepts of green building are based on tenets of sustainability, such as the CERES and Hannover Principles buildings, which are models of resource conservation:

- Treatment of water and energy
- Efficiency and renewable energy use
- Minimizing waste and preventing pollution
- Reducing operation and maintenance costs


Stabilization of population would contribute toward sustainable development to a large extent by reducing the population pressure on resource utilization.

#### **8.5.8.3 Unplanned Urban Development**

Heat islands form as cities replace natural land cover with pavement, buildings, and other infrastructure. These changes contribute to higher urban temperatures in a number of ways. According to the country’s report to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC 2004), India would continue to meet its development needs, but is concerned about the likely impact of severe floods on its infrastructure, such as roads and railways, as well as the likely increase in electricity needs to pump underground water and cool houses and offices in hot areas. Since considerable investment is planned for improving infrastructure, especially for irrigation and technology in the agriculture sector, it will have a beneficial effect on the greenhouse gas emission from this sector by improving reduced energy consumption.

Protecting biodiversity is one of the basic tenets of sustainable development. The government of India has initiated and implemented several activities aimed at protecting biodiversity. Sustainable development and concern for the environment are major concerns and driving forces behind the Indian planning process. Integrating climate change concerns with the national planning process is important.

According to The Energy Resource Institute (TERI), modern buildings built in our cities have high levels of energy consumption because of requirements of air conditioning and lighting. At the national level, domestic and commercial buildings account for more than 30% of annual electricity consumption. TERI studies show that air conditioning and lighting are the two end uses in the building sector



**FIGURE 8.29** Satellite imagery showing all the various surfaces causing urban heat island effect over Bangalore. All areas of concrete surfaces are shown covering most of the area of Bangalore city limits. (Imagery courtesy Remote Sensing.)

that account for maximum energy consumption. About 50%–60% of energy consumed in a fully air-conditioned building is by air conditioning, followed by lighting, which consumes 20% of the energy. TERI's experience shows that over 20% of energy savings is possible in existing buildings by retrofitting them with efficient lighting, air-conditioning, and electrical systems. New buildings can save up to 50% energy by appropriate design interventions in building envelope, lighting, and air-conditioning systems. In a recently concluded study by TERI in an office building in Delhi, annual energy consumption of 400 kWh/m<sup>2</sup> (kilowatt-hour per square meter) was recorded. It was further analyzed and inferred that 30% of its present energy consumption could be reduced by adopting efficiency measures such as chiller and pump replacement, lighting retrofit, and resizing of the capacitor bank.

In Bangalore, tall avenue trees are giving way to glass and metal-clad buildings of a commercial nature. The growing transportation needs, along with the increased traffic density, have also necessitated building a large number of grade separators (see Figure 8.29).

### 8.5.9 USE OF DARKER AND NONREFLECTIVE MATERIALS

Causes of the heat island effect include dark surfaces, which absorb more heat from the sun, and less vegetation to provide shade and cool the air. Buildings and pavement made of dark materials absorb the sun's rays instead of reflecting them away, causing the temperature of the surfaces and the air around them to rise. Materials commonly used in urban areas, such as concrete and asphalt, have significantly different thermal bulk properties and surface radiative properties (albedo and emissivity) than the surrounding rural areas. This initiates a change in the energy balance of

the urban area, often causing it to reach higher temperatures (measured both on the surface and in the air) than its surroundings. These higher temperatures contribute to a trend of increasing temperatures.

8.5.10 ROOFING MATERIALS

The Heat Island Group has monitored buildings in Sacramento with light-colored, more reflective roofs. We found that these buildings used up to 40% less energy for cooling than buildings with darker roofs. The Florida Solar Energy Center performed a similar study that also showed up to 40% cooling energy savings. The Heat Island Group continues to monitor buildings and measure or simulate the effects of increased roof reflectivities for:

- Different types of buildings
- Different climate zones and seasons
- Different roof insulation levels, angles, and orientations

This important research is needed to find the best ways to save energy and money using reflective roofing.

8.5.10.1 Various Materials in Sunlight

Outdoor measurements on the 12 samples in the graph in Figure 8.30 show how the temperature rise in full sun is inversely correlated with the solar reflectance values measured with our instruments in the laboratory. Materials with emittance of approximately 0.9 fall near the straight line. Materials with lower emittance, particularly galvanized steel, fall above the line, due to their limited ability to emit thermal radiation (Berdahl and Bretz 1997). There are, unfortunately, many problems in measuring emittance.





FIGURE 8.30 Various materials in sunlight. (From Berdahl, P., and S. Bretz. 1997. *Energy and Buildings* 25:149.)



**FIGURE 8.31** Roof heat flows. Diagram of heat flows into and out of a roof. The heat flowing through a roof is a function of the difference in temperatures on either side of the roof. (From <http://heatisland.lbl.gov/>)

*Roof heat transfer.* The surface temperature of a roof is mainly determined by the vigorous heat flows at the outside surface. Of these external energy flows, convective cooling is the least precisely known. The solar and infrared radiative cooling can be readily calculated if the solar reflectance and infrared emittance are known. Once the roof temperature is known, the heat flow leaking into the interior is readily computed (see Figure 8.31). Decreasing a roof's emittance may lead to an increase in energy use. Energy-efficient roofing systems can reduce roof temperatures significantly during the summer.


Materials specialist Paul Berdahl is developing a new rating system called the solar reflectance index (SRI) to measure how hot materials are in the sun. The extremes of white and black paint (on the graph in Figure 8.32) define the SRI. Solar reflectivity is measured according to ASTM E903. Traditional roofing materials have an SRI of between 5% (brown shingles) and 20% (green shingles). White shingles with SRIs of around 35% were popular in the 1960s, but they lost favor because they get dirty easily. The current trend is to make white shingles more reflective. Berdahl compiles and measures the solar reflectance and infrared emittance of roofing materials.

Cool or heat-reflective roofs:

- Reduce the need for air-conditioning costs during the summertime
- Can reduce the need for roof insulation in southern climates
- Moderate the local microclimate, thereby helping reduce the urban heat island effect (in turn, reducing air-conditioning energy use, pollution, and global warming)

Heat-reflective roofs are not simply light-colored roofs. To be a true heat-reflective roof, the roof surface must possess two qualities:

- High albedo, or light reflectivity
- High emissivity, or the ability to release absorbed energy



**FIGURE 8.32** White, heat-reflective membranes can help reduce the urban heat island effect.

The relative albedos and emissivities of various roof surfaces are shown in Figure 8.33.

Widespread use of heat-reflective roofing in urban areas can potentially lead to significant reductions in the urban heat island effect and even help reduce global warming. For this reason, heat-reflective roofs are evaluated and recognized by both the Energy Star™ and the Leadership in Environmental Engineering and Design (LEED) programs. In addition, certain jurisdictions provide tax credits or other monetary incentives for building owners choosing a heat-reflective roof.

**8.5.11 PAVING MATERIALS**

Pavements are critical to transportation in all of its aspects—walking, riding in passenger vehicles, carrying goods in commercial vehicles, providing mobile services, and parking. They account for a significant percentage of the land surface in an

| Material                | Albedo | Emissivity |
|-------------------------|--------|------------|
| Clean Pea Gravel        | 0.72   | 0.28       |
| Gray Pigment            | 0.03   | 0.87       |
| Bright Galv. Steel      | 0.35   | 0.13       |
| Aluminum Paint          | 0.80   | 0.27–0.67  |
| White Paint on Aluminum | 0.80   | 0.91       |
| Black EPDM Membrane     | 0.04   | 0.88       |
| White Hi-Tuff Membrane  | 0.78   | 0.90       |

**FIGURE 8.33** Relative albedos and emissivities of various roof surfaces for all materials except single ply membranes. (From *Implementation of Solar-Reflective Surfaces; Materials and Utility Programs*. Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, June 1992.)

urban area. By altering land cover, pavements have important localized environmental effects in urban areas. As with roofing materials, paving materials can reach 150°F in the daytime, radiating this excess heat during both day and night into the air in the urban canopy layer (as well as heating storm water that reaches the pavement surface). Due to the large area covered by pavements in urban areas, they are an important element to consider in heat island mitigation.

Frequent storms can cause flooding because paved ground does not allow water to soak into the soil (Environment Ministry of Japan 2001). In place of conventional asphalt for road pavement, the metropolitan government has also been laying a new type of concrete block that retains moisture. The material properties of pavements cause them to absorb and store a larger amount of heat than vegetated land cover. The impervious nature of most pavements reduces cooling due to evaporation in comparison to vegetation. As a result, pavements become considerably hotter than ambient canopy temperature and radiate this excess heat into the canopy layer throughout the day and into the night.

As part of a heat island reduction strategy, cool pavements contribute to the general benefits of heat island mitigation, including increased comfort, decreased energy use, and likely improved air quality. Cool pavements also can be one component of a larger sustainable pavements program or a “green” transportation infrastructure.

The cool pavement mechanisms are based on the idea that by increasing the reflectance of the pavement surface, less sunlight will be absorbed, lowering the daytime temperature of the pavement. This lowered temperature would result in lower air temperature near ground level. Cool pavement strategies seek to control the temperature of the pavement (and hence its ability to transfer heat to the air above) by controlling one or more of the material properties that influence the way pavements absorb, store, and radiate heat.

**8.5.12 CLIMATE AND TOPOGRAPHY**

In addition to these factors, heat island intensities depend on an area’s weather and climate, proximity to water bodies, and topography. Scientists warn that big Japanese cities may soon become too hot to live in and that preventive measures must be taken

immediately. Known collectively as urban heat island phenomenon, many experts say it is more pronounced in Japan than in other developed countries because of the concentration of heat-producing factors and the high humidity multiplying the effect of the rising heat. Except for the northern part of the country, such as the Hokkaido and Tohoku regions, Japanese cities often post temperatures in the mid- to high 30°C. In early August, Tokyo registered 35.8°C (96°F); Shizuoka, a prefecture near Tokyo, registered 39°C. Japan's meteorological agency said that the average temperature in Tokyo rose by 3°C over the last 100 years up to 2000; the average temperature growth for Japan's small cities was 1°C. Average temperatures for the world rose by only 0.7°C in the same period.

## 8.6 MITIGATION OF HEAT ISLAND EFFECTS

- According to the recommendations of the EPA, planting trees and vegetation is a simple and effective way to reduce heat islands. Widespread planting in a city can decrease local surface and air temperatures. Strategic planting around homes and buildings directly cools their interiors. Shade reduces the amount of solar radiation. Studies suggest that a forest cleans the air of microparticles of all sizes 20 times better than barren land.
- Trees and other vegetation can mitigate the urban heat island effect because they shade buildings, intercept solar radiation, and cool the air by evapotranspiration. These islands result from storage of thermal energy in concrete, steel, and asphalt. Heat islands are 3°–10° warmer than the surrounding countryside. The collective effect of a large area of transpiring trees (evapotranspiration) reduces the air temperature in these areas. Trees lower air temperature through shade, and increase humidity in dry climates through evaporation of moisture. Shade reduces the amount of solar radiation transmitted to underlying surfaces, keeping them cool. Shaded walls may be 9°F–36°F (5°C–20°C) cooler than the peak surface temperatures of unshaded surfaces. These cooler walls decrease the quantity of heat transmitted to buildings, thus lowering air-conditioning cooling costs. Cooler surfaces also lessen the heat island effect by reducing heat transfer to the surrounding air. A mature tree with a 30-ft crown transpires approximately 40 gal of water per day. Evapotranspiration alone can result in peak summer temperature reductions of 2°F–9°F (1°C–5°C). While this process reduces air temperatures, it does add moisture to the air. The US Department of Agriculture Forest Service estimates that every 1% increase in canopy cover results in maximum midday air temperature reductions of 0.07°F–0.36°F (0.04°C–0.2°C). However, trees and vegetation are one factor among many that affect prevailing weather conditions.
- Urban forests are a vital component of the urban ecosystems and are gaining importance as the quality of this ecosystem is deteriorating. By cooling, trees reduce evaporative emissions from vehicles and other fuel storage, and by cooling homes and offices, trees reduce power generation emissions.
- General cooling also reduces the speed of chemical reactions that lead to the formation of ozone and particulate matter. Trees absorb CO<sub>2</sub> and other

dangerous gases and, in turn, replenish the atmosphere with oxygen. Trees and other plants make their own food from  $\text{CO}_2$  in the atmosphere, water, sunlight, and a small amount of soil elements. In the process, they release oxygen ( $\text{O}_2$ ) for us to breathe. Trees help to settle out, trap, and hold particulate pollutants (dust, ash, pollen, and smoke) that can damage human lungs. Trees and other vegetation also can improve air quality as well as provide other amenities and aesthetic benefits such as shade and beauty. Plants also remove many toxic chemicals, such as formaldehyde and benzene, from the air and clean the soil in their root zones of toxic chemicals. In 1996, in Fort Worth, trees removed approximately 29 tons of ozone, 13 tons of sulfur dioxide, 17 tons of nitrogen dioxide, a small amount of carbon monoxide, and 592 tons of airborne particulates. Those parts of the earth's biosphere that remove pollutants from the air and store, metabolize, or transfer them are called "sinks" (Warren 1973). The soil, roots, and vegetative portions (leaves, stems, and bark) of urban forest ecosystems all function as sinks for atmospheric pollution. In addition to the soil, vegetative surfaces, especially the leaves, remove gaseous pollution from the atmosphere (Somers 1978).

- Studies suggest that a forest cleans the air of microparticles of all sizes 20 times better than barren land. Leaves with complex shapes and large circumferences collect particles most efficiently, indicating that conifers may be more effective particle traps than deciduous species. Trees mainly absorb gases through their stomata; gases then diffuse into intercellular spaces and are absorbed by water films or react with inner-leaf surfaces to form new compounds or get broken down (Smith 1990). Although some smaller particles are absorbed by leaves, most particulate matter is deposited on leaf surfaces and, consequentially, tree surfaces are mainly temporary retainers of particulate matter ([www.coloradotrees.org/benefits.htm](http://www.coloradotrees.org/benefits.htm)).
- In 1994, trees in New York City removed an estimated 1,821 metric tons of air pollution. Air pollution removal by urban forests in New York was greater than in Atlanta (1,196 t) and Baltimore (499 t), but pollution removal per square meter of canopy cover was fairly similar among these cities (New York: 13.7  $\text{g/m}^2/\text{yr}$ ; Baltimore: 12.2  $\text{g/m}^2/\text{yr}$ ; Atlanta: 10.6  $\text{g/m}^2/\text{yr}$ ). These standardized pollution removal rates differ among cities according to the amount of air pollution, length of in-leaf season, precipitation, and other meteorological variables. Large, healthy trees greater than 77 cm in diameter remove approximately 70 times more air pollution annually (1.4  $\text{kg/yr}$ ) than small, healthy trees less than 8 cm in diameter (0.02  $\text{kg/yr}$ ). Scientists suggest that increasing tree cover from 8% to 50% in Sacramento parking lots may reduce evaporative emissions by 2%.
- In thin-screen plantation, the incoming air current can enter easily and settle the impurities inside the plantation because the wind current carrying capacity is largely reduced. The maximum dust concentration here occurs behind the plantation and from there it falls steadily with the distance from the source of the dust. On the other hand, dust concentration falls rapidly inside the thicker plantation, reaching the maximum on the *luff side* and the minimum on the *lee side*. But, from lee side, the concentration of dust again increases due to

increased wind velocity; the lighter particles are easily carried along over the obstacle (plantation) and whorled along with the air currents.

- In thicker plantation, a fallout of dust also occurs as a side effect of turbulence, but not as in thin plantation. Therefore, dense plantation has a less filtering effect compared to thin plantation. In both these plantations, the heavier particles settle down immediately on the leaf surface, through impact due to gravitational force. The lighter particles (especially of a microscopic nature) are found suspended in air for a longer time because gravity does not affect them.
- Another alternative is a rooftop garden, or “green roof.” A green roof consists of vegetation and soil, or a growing medium, planted over a waterproof membrane.
- Green roofs also help improve urban air quality by filtering airborne particulates and converting  $\text{CO}_2$  into oxygen through the process of photosynthesis. Onmura et al. (2001) conducted a field measurement on a planted roof in Japan. The evaporative cooling effect of a rooftop lawn garden showed a 50% reduction in heat flux in the rooms below the garden. This research also revealed a reduction in surface temperature from  $60^\circ\text{C}$  to  $30^\circ\text{C}$  during the day. The importance of evaporation in reducing the heat flux was quantitatively simulated in a series of wind tunnel experiments. Reviews by Wong et al. (2003) and Kohler et al. (2002) have shown that, under a green roof, indoor temperatures were found to be at least  $3^\circ\text{C}$ – $4^\circ\text{C}$  lower than outside temperatures of  $25^\circ\text{C}$ – $30^\circ\text{C}$ . In the only Canadian study, Liu and Baskaran (2003) report that field research in Ottawa has revealed that the energy required for space conditioning due to the heat flow through the green roof was reduced by more than 75%. The study focused on controlled conditions featuring a reference roof and a green roof of equal dimensions; the experimental roof surface area was  $72\text{ m}^2$  ( $800\text{ ft}^2$ ) with the green roof on one half and the reference roof on the other half. An energy reduction from 6.0 to 7.5 kWh/day for cooling was demonstrated (Liu and Baskaran 2003; Bass and Baskaran 2003).
- Green roof and vertical garden technologies can simultaneously address a number of important economic, social, and environmental challenges facing Canadian cities. They provide an outstanding number of public benefits in areas such as air-quality improvement, reduction in greenhouse gases, and storm-water quality and quantity improvements, as well as long-term economic benefits for building owners. In Europe, policy makers have established various measures to support the application of such technologies, resulting in the formation of a new green roof industry. The many public benefits attainable from green roofs and vertical gardens present a strong case for federal, provincial, and municipal government support of the proposed national action plan. Such support is fundamental to overcoming market barriers and thereby creating a viable market for such sustainable development technologies (Peck et al. 1999).
- Switching to cool paving materials is another method that could be adopted for the reduction of heat island effect. The use of cool pavements is meant to reduce pavement temperature by increasing pavement reflectivity or

controlling temperature by other means, with the selected technique applied as appropriate throughout the urban area.

- Manufacturers have recently developed clean, “self-washing” white shingles with even higher SRIs—up to 62%. This is useful because the labor costs of maintaining the high albedo of a roof coating may exceed the cost of conserved energy. Reroofing with shingles rated SRI 50% or higher will keep a home cooler and reduce energy bills. Reroofing offers a quicker and even less expensive method to cool a home than planting trees, as well as making buildings and cities cooler and more comfortable.
- A porous or permeable ground surface that allows water to percolate through it can exert a cooling effect through evaporation of water in the pavement voids or from beneath (depending on the type of surface and thickness). In addition, permeable surfaces are sometimes more conducive to cooling from convective airflow. Permeable surfaces have been used to date to control storm-water runoff; the evaporative cooling effect also could be used for heat island reduction. Both asphalt roads and concrete pavements can be built with porous surfaces, and unbound surfaces (e.g., grass, gravel) can be constructed using grids for reinforcement.
- Porous concrete helps reduce local UHI effects in several ways. First and foremost, its relatively light color has a higher albedo, or reflectance, than darker pavements such as asphalt. Further, the pores associated with porous concrete allow it to store relatively less heat than typical concrete (Tennis, Leming, and Akers 2004); consequently, porous concrete absorbs less solar radiation, stores less heat, and transfers less heat to its surroundings than most paving materials. Water infiltration associated with porous concrete limits UHI effects following periods of rainfall by keeping the recesses of the pavement cool (EPA 2007). Additionally, by eliminating storm-water pooling, porous concrete dries faster and restores its surface albedo more quickly. Infiltration associated with porous concrete also provides nearby trees and plants relatively better access to oxygen and nutrients from soils beneath the pavement while reducing the temperatures near trees’ upper root zones. As a result, vegetation grows faster and larger. This reduces UHI effects in the long term by providing more shade and increasing local evapotranspiration (Golden and Kaloush 2007).
- Ways to mitigate the heat island effect as well as to save energy include cool roofs, cool pavements, and vegetation.

The UHI and air quality studies seek to observe, measure, model, and analyze how rapid growth of urban areas impact the region’s climate and air quality. The site describes the UHI pilot project sponsored by the EPA and NASA, which is developing “best practices” for cities to mitigate the UHI effect. A survey of UHI research is provided to describe how heat islands develop, urban landscape and meteorological characteristics that facilitate development, use of aircraft remote sensing data, and why heat islands are of interest to planners, elected officials, and the public.

In its 2001 report, the Environment Ministry of Japan recognized for the first time that warming through the heat island phenomenon was a “pollution” that must

be tackled and has started field studies to determine and quantify the causes of the urban heat island phenomenon and to devise appropriate measures—moves that some scientists criticize as being too slow to cope with the rapidly deteriorating condition. The Tokyo Prefecture has recently introduced a regulation that imposes newly built structures to reserve 20% of roofs for green space. Last year, when the regulation took effect, 369 plans for new buildings were submitted. An official said that the number is expected to increase this year. In place of conventional asphalt, the metropolitan government has also been laying a new type of concrete block that retains moisture for road pavement.

Since 1950, rapid urbanization in major cities has made many local authorities adopt and adapt the concept of the “garden city” in Malaysia. In Singapore, the garden city is defined as a green, shady city filled with fruits and flowers—a city worthy of industrious people whose quest for progress is matched by their appreciation for the beauty of nature. Trees, flowers, and birds within a typical garden can soften the harshness of tarmac and concrete. The idea of sustainable development was applied in Singapore beginning in 1968. However, the initial step is to plant as much greenery as possible to improve the quality of the environment. The concept of a garden city became more defined and clearer only in the 1980s.

Green space has played an important role in the environmental health of urban dwellers. Implementing garden city planning will emphasize the allocation and function of green spaces for cities and towns to achieve environmental health in urban settings. Garden city planning provides sufficient open space in a network system that links residential areas to other land uses, including institutional, commercial, and recreational areas. The planning will ease people into interacting through circulation systems including roads, pedestrian ways, and waterways.

### 8.6.1 SINGAPORE RECOMMENDATIONS

Through a series of studies, some general guidelines related to mitigating the UHI effect in Singapore have been generated:

- Through satellite images, the “hot” spots are normally observed on exposed hard surfaces in the urban context during the daytime. It is suggested that these exposed hard surfaces should be strategically shaded by greenery or artificial sun-shading devices.
- Historical analysis of the long-term climatic data of Singapore indicates that the rise of temperature is associated with the land uses. It is believed that implementing greening of Singapore and minimizing the release of anthropogenic heat can mitigate the UHI effect at the macrolevel.
- Temperature mapping surveys show that temperatures of the developed areas are associated with the greenery coverage within the sites. The well-planted areas have lower temperatures and locations with less greenery incur higher temperatures.
- The further exploration on the greenery indicates the positive impacts of plants on mitigating the UHI effect in Singapore. It is strongly recommended that plants can be introduced not only into a developed site as a

cooling buffer but also into buildings as an insulating layer. The greenery can be introduced into the built environment in the forms of parks, rooftop gardens, and vertical landscaping.

- Through lab testing and simulations, it was indicated that the colors of building materials had significant impacts on surface temperatures, which subsequently influenced ambient temperatures. It is suggested that more light-colored materials should be employed to save cooling energy and mitigate the UHI effect.
- It was found that the heat from the asphalt road surface contributes much to the temperature increase inside the canyons. In fact, the high-rise towers randomly placed above the continuous canyons enhance the airflow and help reduce the temperature inside the canyons.
- Façade materials and especially their colors play a very important role in the formulation of the thermal environment inside urban canyons. At very low wind speeds, the effect of materials was found to be significant and the temperature at the middle of the narrow canyon increases significantly with façade material having a low albedo.
- Air-conditioning condenser units spaced widely apart did not contribute much to the heat buildup inside a canyon as long as there is some wind flow. Only the immediate surroundings next to the condenser units were affected. When the condensing units were arranged vertically, a significant change was seen in the thermal environment, especially when the wind flows were perpendicular to the canyon.

The UHI effect can be counteracted slightly by using white or reflective materials to build houses, pavements, and roads, thus increasing the overall albedo of the city. This is a long established practice in many countries. A second option is to increase the amount of well-watered vegetation. These two options can be combined with the implementation of green roofs.

### 8.6.2 INDIAN RECOMMENDATIONS

Experts like Mitra remind us that although mitigation can reduce the effects of climate change, it cannot halt it. Some countries have begun emphasizing the need for adaptation strategies. The New Delhi Declaration of the Eighth Conference of Parties to the UNFCCC urged countries to include adaptation in their development strategies.

Sustainable building maximizes use of efficient building materials and construction practices; optimizes use of on-site sources and sinks by bioclimatic architectural practices; uses minimum energy to power itself; uses efficient equipment to meet its lighting, air conditioning, and other needs; and maximizes use of renewable sources of energy. It has been recorded that in India wind farms can help mitigate climate change.

Mitigation efforts can be summarized as:

- Reduction of energy use (per person)
- Shifting from carbon-based fossil fuels to alternative energy sources

- Carbon capture and storage
- Geoengineering including carbon sequestration
- Birth control, to lessen demand for resources such as energy and land clearing
- Strategies for mitigation of global warming including development of new technologies
- Urban planning, which includes compact community development, multiple transportation choices, mixed land uses, and practices to conserve green space (These programs offer environmental, economic, and quality-of-life benefits and also serve to reduce energy usage and greenhouse gas emissions.)
- New urbanism and transit-oriented development seek to reduce distances traveled, especially by private vehicles; encourage public transit; and make walking and cycling more attractive options.
- Building design: the possibility of using lighter-colored, more-reflective materials in the development of urban areas (e.g., by painting roofs white) and planting trees.

8.6.3 OTHER MITIGATION STRATEGIES

| Strategy                     | Mitigation Scenario                                                       |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Urban forestry               | 1. Urban forestry/grass to trees (open-space planting)                    |
|                              | 2. Urban forestry/street to trees/curbside plantings                      |
|                              | 3. Urban forestry/grass + street to tree (open space + curbside planting) |
| Light surfaces               | 4. Light surfaces/roof to high albedo (light roofs)                       |
|                              | 5. Light surfaces/impervious to high albedo (light surfaces)              |
| Living roofs                 | 6. Living roofs; roofs to grass                                           |
| Ecological infrastructure    | 7. Urban forestry/grass + street to trees and living roofs                |
| Urban forestry + light roofs | 8. Urban forestry/grass + street to trees and light roofs                 |
| Combination of all           | 9. 50% Open space + 50% curbside + 25% living roofs + 25% light roofs     |

8.6.4 EXAMPLES OF GREENHOUSE MITIGATION OPTIONS<sup>a</sup>

|               |                                                                                                                                                                           |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Agriculture   | • Reduced land conversion through improvement of farming techniques                                                                                                       |
|               | • Improved tillage to reduce fossil fuel consumption                                                                                                                      |
|               | • Improved feed use for ruminants to reduce methane emissions                                                                                                             |
|               | • Reduce biomass burning to reduce methane emissions                                                                                                                      |
|               |                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Energy supply | • More efficient power generation                                                                                                                                         |
|               | • Natural gas turbines in place of oil or coal use                                                                                                                        |
|               | • Gasification of fossil fuels prior to combustion                                                                                                                        |
|               | • Combined heat and power production and district heating                                                                                                                 |
|               | • Alternate energy sources: hydroelectricity, solar, nuclear, or geothermal energy                                                                                        |
|               | • Coal conversion technologies                                                                                                                                            |
|               |                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Forestry      | • Reduced deforestation with concurrent improvement in agricultural productivity (Note: Tropical forests have the potential to sequester the largest quantity of carbon.) |

|                   |                                                                    |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Industry          | • Regeneration of degraded lands for reforestation                 |
|                   | • Cogeneration and steam recovery                                  |
|                   | • Efficient lighting and electric motors                           |
|                   | • Alternate materials (e.g., replace concrete with wood)           |
|                   | • Use of solar power                                               |
| Human settlements | • Heat cascading to use energy by-products of industrial processes |
|                   | • Recycling of energy-intensive materials                          |
|                   | • Buildings with improved thermal integrity                        |
|                   | • Condensing furnaces and heat exchanges                           |
|                   | • Solar water heaters and insulated water storage                  |
| Transportation    | • Financial incentives for conservation                            |
|                   | • Recycling                                                        |
|                   | • Heat island mitigation by planting shade trees                   |
|                   | • Utility regulations and building codes                           |
|                   | • More efficient cook stoves                                       |
|                   | • Improved public transportation                                   |
|                   | • Facilitation of cycling and walking                              |
|                   | • Urban traffic control for shorter transit times                  |
|                   | • Car-tuning programs                                              |
|                   | • Improved fuel-efficient engines                                  |
|                   | • Improved energy-efficient designs of ship and aircraft           |
|                   | • Use of ethanol and methanol fuels                                |

Source: Houghton, J. T. et al., 1996. *The science of climate change*. IPCC (International Panel on Climate Change). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.

<sup>a</sup> Primarily carbon dioxide emission reduction unless otherwise indicated.

## 8.7 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 8.7.1 SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVING, UNDERSTANDING, AND REDUCING HEALTH IMPACTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE

| Goals                                                                             | Means                                                                                                                                                                               |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Empowerment of research institutes to pursue long-term multidisciplinary research | • Education campaigns for the public-health and policy-making communities about the health outcome of climate change                                                                |
|                                                                                   | • Incentive (financial or award oriented) for researchers and institutions to undertake multidisciplinary, collaborative research                                                   |
| Appropriate and increased research                                                | • Establishment of scientifically diverse panels within key international organizations to advise on needed research areas (CGCP 1995) (The current IPCC serves as a good example.) |
|                                                                                   | • Establishment of electronically networking systems for international communication and data management                                                                            |
|                                                                                   | • System-based analysis of climate–ecosystem–human health relationship                                                                                                              |
|                                                                                   | • Use of mathematical modeling and scenario-based predictions                                                                                                                       |

**Goals****Means**

Monitoring for early warning and quantification of health outcomes

- Integration of research methods and relevant monitoring
- Incorporation of relevant health indices into global observing systems (CGOS, GOOS, GTOS)
- Establishment of comprehensive surveillance of anticipated changes in health trends (e.g., mortality from heat waves in sentinel cities, geographic distribution of vector-borne diseases at their current margins)
- Linkages between present environmental monitoring and public-health monitoring
- Use of geographic information systems
- Precautionary action to reduce global greenhouse warming including efforts to (1) reduce greenhouse gas emissions, (2) achieve cooperation between rich and poor nations, and (3) implement sound population and development policies in the interest of both short- and long-term health benefits
- Primary prevention of anticipated health consequences on a regional or local level

Preventive measures to avoid potentially adverse health outcomes, global climatic change

**GLOSSARY**

**Absorption coefficient:** Measure of the amount of radiant energy incident normal to a planar surface that is absorbed per unit distance or unit mass of a substance.

**Albedo:** Albedo or solar reflectance is a measure of a material's ability to reflect sunlight (including visible, infrared, and ultraviolet wavelengths) on a scale of 0 to 1. An albedo value of 0.0 indicates that the surface absorbs all solar radiation, and a 1.0 albedo value represents total reflectivity.

**Anthropogenic:** Man-made.

**Anthropogenic heat:** Man-made heat generated by buildings, people, or machinery. Estimates of anthropogenic heat generation can be made by totaling all the energy used for heating and cooling, running appliances, transportation, and industrial processes. Anthropogenic heat is small in rural areas and large in dense urban areas.

**Bioremediation and phytoremediation:** Ability of trees and vegetation to remove pollution from rain water. Green roofs and shade trees mitigate urban runoff and nonpoint source nitrogen and phosphorous pollution through these processes.

**British thermal unit (Btu):** Quantity of heat required to raise the temperature of 1 lb of water 1°F at a specified temperature.

**Canopy:** The tree cover in an urban setting. Canopy size is an important determinant of a city's heat island potential. The "urban fabric" can be characterized both above and below the canopy for a better understanding of the area's surface cover.

**Carbon sink:** Pool (reservoir) that absorbs or takes up released carbon from another part of the carbon cycle.

- Climate change:** Climate change is sometimes referred to as all forms of climatic inconsistency. But because the earth's climate is never static, the term is properly used to imply a significant change from one climate to another. In some cases, climate change has been used synonymously with global warming. Scientists, however, tend to use climate change in the wider sense to include both human-induced and natural changes in climate.
- Cool roofs:** Term used to describe roofing material that has high solar reflectance. This characteristic can reduce heat transfer to the indoors and enhance roof durability. Cool roofs may also be highly emissive, releasing a large percentage of the solar energy that they absorb.
- Deforestation:** Removal of forest stands by cutting and burning to provide land for agricultural purposes, residential or industrial building sites, roads, etc. or by harvesting the trees for building materials or fuel. Oxidation of organic matter releases CO<sub>2</sub> to the atmosphere, and regional and global impacts may result.
- Desertification:** Progressive destruction or degradation of vegetative cover, especially in arid or semiarid regions bordering existing deserts. Overgrazing of rangelands, large-scale loss of forests and woodlands, drought, and burning of extensive areas all serve to destroy or degrade the land cover. The climatic impacts of this destruction include increased albedo leading to decreased precipitation, which in turn leads to less vegetative cover. Increased atmospheric dust loading could lead to decreased monsoon rainfall and greater wind erosion and/or atmospheric pollution.
- Ecosystem:** Dynamic complex of plant, animal, fungus, and microorganism communities and associated nonliving environments interacting as an ecological unit.
- Elastomeric roof coatings:** Coatings that have elastic properties and can stretch in the summertime heat and then return to their original shape without damage. Elastomeric coatings include acrylic, silicone, and urethane materials.
- Emissions:** Materials (gases, particles, vapors, chemical compounds, etc.) that come out of smokestacks, chimneys, and tailpipes.
- Emittance:** A material's ability to release absorbed heat. Scientists use a number between 0 and 1, or 0% and 100%, to express emittance. With the exception of metals, most construction materials have emittances above 0.85 (85%).
- Evapotranspiration:** Process through which plants release water to the surrounding air, dissipating ambient heat. According to the US Department of Energy's Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, a single mature and properly watered tree with a crown of 30 ft can "evapotranspire" up to 40 gal of water in a day. Tree planting on a large scale can reduce surrounding air temperatures.
- Global warming:** Gradual rise of the earth's surface temperature. Global warming is believed to be caused by the greenhouse effect and is responsible for changes in global climate patterns and an increase in the near-surface temperature of the earth. Global warming has occurred in the distant past as the result of natural influences, but the term is most often used to refer

to the warming predicted to occur as a result of increased emissions of greenhouse gases.

**Greenhouse effect:** Popular term used to describe the roles of water vapor, carbon dioxide, and other trace gases in keeping the earth's surface warmer than it would be otherwise. These radioactively active gases are relatively transparent to incoming shortwave radiation and relatively opaque to outgoing long-wave radiation. The latter radiation, which would otherwise escape to space, is trapped by these gases within the lower levels of the atmosphere. The subsequent reradiation of some of the energy back to the surface maintains surface temperatures higher than they would be if the gases were absent. There is concern that increasing concentration of greenhouse gases, including carbon dioxide, methane, and man-made chlorofluorocarbons, may enhance the greenhouse effect and cause global warming.

**Greenhouse gas:** Any gas that absorbs infrared radiation in the atmosphere. Greenhouse gases include water vapor, carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), halogenated fluorocarbons (HCFCs), ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), perfluorinated carbons (PFCs), and hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs).

**Green roofs:** Rooftops planted with vegetation. Intensive green roofs have thick layers of soil (6–12 in. or more) that can support a broad variety of plants or even tree species. Extensive roofs are simpler green roofs with a soil layer of 6 in. or less to support turf, grass, or other ground cover.

**Heat island effect:** Dome of elevated temperatures over an urban area caused by the heat absorbed by structures and pavement.

**Infrared radiation:** Heat energy emitted from a material. The term “infrared” refers to energy in the region of the electromagnetic radiation spectrum at wavelengths longer than those of visible light, but shorter than those of radio waves.

**Isotherm:** Line on a chart that connects all points of equal or constant temperature.

**Microclimate:** Climate in a small area that varies significantly from the overall climate of a region. Microclimates are formed by natural or man-made geography and topography, such as hills, buildings, and the presence or absence of trees and vegetation.

**Nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>):** Collective term for nitrogen compounds such as NO and NO<sub>2</sub>. These two nitrogen oxides are an environmental and public-health concern because human activity has increased their concentration in the atmosphere. NO and NO<sub>2</sub> are interconvertible and are precursor molecules for the production of ground-level ozone.

**Ozone:** Colorless gas with a pungent odor that has the molecular formula of O<sub>3</sub>. It is found in two layers of the atmosphere: the stratosphere and the troposphere. In the stratosphere, ozone provides a protective layer shielding the earth from ultraviolet radiation's potentially harmful health effects. At ground level (the troposphere), ozone is a pollutant that affects human health and the environment and contributes to the formation of smog.

**Percolation:** Movement of water downward and radially through the subsurface soil layers, usually continuing downward to the groundwater.

- Pyranometer:** Instrument for measuring the solar reflectance, or albedo, of materials.
- Radiation:** Energy emitted in the form of electromagnetic waves. Radiation has differing characteristics depending upon the wavelength.
- Recharge:** Process by which water is added to a reservoir or zone of saturation, often by runoff or percolation from the soil surface.
- Remote sensing:** Method of visualizing the radiative properties of the earth's surface using instrumentation mounted on satellites or aircraft. Remote sensing instrumentation measures the radiation reflected and emitted from the earth at different wavelengths, primarily at those wavelengths not absorbed by the atmosphere. Remotely sensed data can be converted to maps showing the visible or thermal properties of an area.
- Runoff:** That part of precipitation, snow melt, or irrigation water that flows from the land to streams or other surface waters.
- Smog:** Air pollution associated with pollutants.
- Solar radiation:** Heat energy from the sun, including infrared, visible, and ultraviolet wavelengths.
- Solar reflectance:** Measure of a surface material to reflect sunlight, including visible, infrared, and ultraviolet wavelengths, on a scale of 0–1. Solar reflectance is also called “albedo.”
- Solar reflective index (SRI):** Composite index used by the US Green Building Council and others to estimate how hot a surface will get when exposed to full sun. The temperature of a surface depends on the surface's reflectance and emittance, as well as solar radiation. The SRI is used to determine the effect of the reflectance and emittance on the surface temperature and varies from 100, for a standard white surface, to zero for a standard black surface. The SRI is calculated using ASTM E1980, “Standard Practice for Calculating Solar Reflectance Index of Horizontal and Low-Sloped Opaque Surfaces.” Materials with the highest SRI are the coolest and the most appropriate choice for mitigating the heat island effect.
- Surface roughness:** Used in the context of heat island mitigation to refer to the presence of buildings, trees, and other irregular land topography in an urban area.
- Sustainable development:** Development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of the future generations to meet their own needs.
- Urban fabric analysis:** Method for determining the proportions of vegetative, roofed, and paved surface cover relative to the total urban surface in the city.
- Urban heat island effect:** Measurable increase in ambient urban air temperatures resulting primarily from the replacement of vegetation with buildings, roads, and other heat-absorbing infrastructure. The heat island effect can result in significant temperature differences between rural and urban areas.

## REFERENCES

- Bass, B. and B. Baskaran. 2003. Evaluating Rooftop and Vertical Gardens as an Adaptation Strategy for Urban Areas. Institute for Research and Construction, NRCC-46737, Project no. A020, CCAF. Report B1046. Ottawa, Canada: National Research Council.
- Berdahl P. and S. Bretz. 1997. Preliminary survey of the solar reflectance of cool roofing materials. *Energy and Buildings* 25:149–158.
- Bhattacharya, S., and A. P. Mitra. A scientific analysis of greenhouse gas emissions trend in India. Center for Global Change, National Physical Laboratory, India.
- Bornstein, R., and Q. L. Lin. 1999. Annual Meeting of the Association of American Geographers, Honolulu, Hawaii, March 24, 1999.
- Brabec, E. et al. 2002. Impervious surfaces and water quality. *Journal of Planning Literature* 16(4):499–514.
- Buechley, R. W., J. Van Bruggen, and L. E. Trippi. 1972. Heat island = death island? *Environmental Research* 5 (1): 85–92.
- Cardelino, C. A., and W. L. Chameides. 1990. Natural hydrocarbons, urbanization, and urban ozone. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 95 (D9):13971–13979.
- Center for the Study of Carbon Dioxide and Global Change.
- Chandler, T. J. 1965. *The climate of London*. London: Hutchinson & Co.
- Environment Ministry of Japan. 2001.
- EPA. Heat island effect, what can be done. <http://www.epa.gov/heatisland/index.htm> (accessed Aug. 7, 2007).
- Golden, J., and K. Kaloush. 2007. Alternative pavements ease urban-heat effect. *The Arizona Republic*, Aug. 4, 2007 (accessed Aug. 7, 2007).
- Houghton, J. T., L. G. Meira Filho, B. A. Callander, N. Harris, A. Kattenberg, and K. Maskel, eds. 1996 *The science of climate change*. IPCC (International Panel on Climate Change). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Howard, L. 1818–1820. *The climate of London, deduced from meteorological observations, made at different places in the neighborhood of the metropolis*, 2 vols. London: Brewster Press.
- IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). 1995.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2001. Third assessment report—Climate change 2001. [http://www.grida.no/publications/other/ipcc\\_tar/?src=/climate/ipcc\\_tar/wg1/052.htm#222](http://www.grida.no/publications/other/ipcc_tar/?src=/climate/ipcc_tar/wg1/052.htm#222)
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2007. IPCC issues comprehensive report on science of climate change. February 2, 2007.
- Kohler, M. et al. 2002. Green roofs in temperate climates and in the hot humid tropics—far beyond aesthetics. *Environment and Health* 13:392.
- Landsberg, H. E. 1981. *The urban climate*. New York: National Academy Press, 275 pp.
- Lilian, T. Y. C., C. S. Ho, and S. Ismail. Some planning consideration of garden city concept towards achieving sustainable development. Faculty of Built Environment, University of Technology, Malaysia.
- Linden, R. 2006. Commentary: Climate of fear. *Wall Street Journal*, April 12, 2006, p. A14.
- Liu and Baskaran. 2003. Thermal performance of green roofs through field evaluation. In *Proceedings of First North American Green Roof Conferences*, Washington, DC. May 4–6.
- McPherson, E. G. 1994. Cooling urban heat islands with sustainable landscapes. In R.H. Platt, R. A. Rowntree, and P.C. Muick (eds.) *The ecological city: Preserving and restoring urban biodiversity*. Amherst MA: University of Massachusetts Press. 151–171.
- Oke, T. R. 1982. The energetic basis of urban heat islands. *Journal of Royal Meteorological Society* 108 (455): 1–24.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1987. *Boundary layer climates*. New York: Routledge.

- Oke, T. R. 1995. The heat island of the urban boundary layer: Characteristics, causes and effects. In: J. E. Cermak, A. G. Davenport, E. J. Plate, and D. X. Viegas (eds.), *Wind Climate in Cities*. NATO ASI Series E: Applied Sciences—Vol. 277, Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 81–108.
- Onmura, S., M. Matsumoto, and S. Hokoi, 2001, Study on evaporative cooling effect of roof lawn gardens, *Energy and Buildings* 33:653–666.
- Peck, S. W., C. Callaghan, M. E. Kuhn, and B. Bass. 1999. Greenbacks from green roofs: Forging a new industry in Canada. Status report, March 1999.
- Quattrochi, D. 1999.
- Shukla, P. R. 2006. India's GHG emission scenarios: Aligning development and stabilization paths. *Current Science* 90:384–395.
- Smith, W. H. 1990. *Air pollution and forests*. New York: Springer.
- Somers, G. F. 1978. The role of plant residues in the retention of cadmium in ecosystems. *Environmental Pollution* 17:287–295.
- Steitz, D. E., and D. Drachlis. 1997. NASA studying how to use Mother Nature's air-conditioners to keep our cities cool. Huntsville, AL: Global Hydrology and Climate Center, Marshall Space Flight Center, National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).
- Streutker, D. 2001. *Remote Sensing of the Environment*.
- Taha, H. 1997. Urban climates and heat islands: Albedo, evapotranspiration, and anthropogenic heat. *Energy and Buildings* 25:99–103.
- Tennis, P. D., Leming, M. L., and Akers, D. J. 2004. Pervious concrete pavements, Special publication by the Portland Cement Association Skokie, IL, and National Ready Mixed Concrete Association, Silver Spring, MD.
- UN. 1992.
- US Department of Energy. Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy. 1993. Tomorrow's energy today for cities and counties. Technical information program, under the DOE Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, DOE/CH10093-211, DE93010001, Washington, DC.
- US Department of Energy. 1996.
- Warren, J. L. 1973. Green space for air pollution control. North Carolina State University, School of Forest Resources, Raleigh, NC, technical report no. 50.
- Wong, N. H. et al. 2003. Investigation of thermal benefits of the rooftop garden in the tropical environment. *Building and Environment* 38:261–270.
- World Resources Institute. 1990.

## ADDITIONAL READING

### Books

- American Forests. 1997. The state of the urban forest: Assessing tree cover and developing goals. Washington, DC.
- Damon, A. 1977. The residential environment, health, and behavior: Simple research opportunities, strategies, and some findings in the Solomon Islands and Boston, Massachusetts. In *The effect of the man-made environment on health and behavior: A report of the Inter-University Board of Collaborators*, ed. L. Hinkle, Jr. and W. Loring, 241–262. DHEW publication no (CDC) 77-8318. Athens, GA: Center for Disease Control, Public Health Services, US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.
- Drake, F. 2000. *Global warming: The science of climate change*. New York: Oxford University Press Inc.

- Dupont V. 2003. Urban development and population redistribution in Delhi: Implications for categorizing population. In T. Champion, G. Hugo (eds.), *New forms of urbanization: Beyond the urban-rural dichotomy*, Aldershot, Ashgate, 2004, pp. 171–190.
- Dupont, V. and A. Mitra. 1995. Population distribution, growth and socio-economic spatial patterns in Delhi: Findings from the 1991 Census Data, *Demography India* 24(1).
- Environmental Council of Concrete Organizations, Illinois. 1998. Shining a light on “cool communities.”
- FAQs about trees and air pollution. 1999. Prepared by the Galveston-Houston Association for Smog Prevention.
- Ghosh, S. 1998. *Introduction to settlement geography*. Orient Blackswan.
- Girardet, H. 1992. *The Gaia atlas of cities: New directions for sustainable urban living*. London: GAIA Books.
- Givoni, B. 1989. *Urban design in different climates*. World Meteorological Organization.
- Kinney, P., D. Shindell, and E. Chae. 2000. Climate change and public health: Impact assessment for the NYC metropolitan region. In *Climate change and a global city: An assessment of the metropolitan east coast region*, ed. C. Rosenzweig and W. D. Solecki. New York: Columbia Earth Institute.
- Maitra, A. K. *Environmental quality of human settlements*.
- McHarg, L. I. 1992. *Design with nature*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Pacione, M. 2005. *Urban geography: A global perspective*. New York: Routledge.
- Rao, V. P. 2005. *Principles of environmental science and engineering*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall Publications.
- Scholz-Barth, K. Green roofs: Storm water management from the top down. Tremco Sealant/Weatherproofing Division.
- Simonds, J. O. 1976. *Earthscape: A manual of environmental planning*. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1976. *Landscape architecture: A manual of site planning and design*. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.
- Watson, D. 1995. 1995. *Guiding principles of sustainable design*. National Park Service, Denver Service Center.
- \_\_\_\_\_, ed. 2001. *Time saver standards for urban design*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

## CONFERENCE PAPERS

- Agarwal, A. Climate change—A challenge to India’s economy. Center for Science and Environment.
- Estes, M. G., Jr., V. Gorsevski, C. Russell, D. Quattrochi, and J. Luvall. 1999. Urban heat island phenomenon and potential mitigation strategies. *1999 National Planning Conference Proceedings*.
- Urban heat island summit: Mitigation of and adaptation to extreme summer heat. Agenda and presentations. Toronto Atmospheric Fund and the Clean Air Partnership, May 1–4, 2002, Toronto, Canada.

## JOURNALS/PUBLICATIONS

- Akbari H., A. Rosenfeld, S. Bretz, B. Fishman, D. Kurn, and H. Taha. 1994. Energy analysis program (heat island project), in the *CBS Newsletter*.
- Alexandri, E., and P. Jones. Sustainable urban future in southern Europe—What about the heat island effect? Welsh School of Architecture, Cardiff University, Wales, United Kingdom.
- Anderson, R. Local government and urban heat island mitigation. *Environmental Sciences*, UC Berkeley.

- Brusse, M., and C. J. Skinner. Rooftop greening and local climate: A case study in Melbourne. University of Bochum, Institute for Geography, Universitaetsstrasse 150, D-44780 Bochum, Germany, and Bureau of Meteorology, GPO Box 1289K, Melbourne 3001, Australia.
- Chakre, O. J. Choice of eco-friendly trees in urban environment to mitigate airborne particulate pollution. The Wealth of India Project, National Institute of Science Communication and Information Resources, CSIR, 14 S. V. Marg, New Delhi.
- Cool Pavement Report. 2005. EPA cool pavements study prepared for heat island reduction initiative. US Environmental Protection Agency.
- Croxtan Collaborative Architects. March 25, 2005. Heat island effect mitigation sustainable design guidelines reference manual. WTC Redevelopment Projects.
- De, U. S., and P. G. S. Rao, 2004. Urban climate trends—The Indian scenario. *Journal of Industrial Geophysics Union* 8 (3): 199–203.
- Development and climate: An assessment for India report. National Development Plans and Sustainable Development.
- Health and Energy. Global warming; prestigious science association issues warning on human-induced global warming. February 17, 2007 ([http://www.healthandenergy.com/global\\_warming.htm](http://www.healthandenergy.com/global_warming.htm))
- Hardi, P., and T. Zdan. Assessing sustainable development: Principles in practice. International Institute for Sustainable Development.
- Harris, A. M. 2004. Designing with climate: Using parking lots to mitigate urban climate. Master's thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.
- Kowal, C. Measuring urban green. College of Urban Planning and Public Administration, University of Illinois at Chicago.
- Kumar, R., and S. C. Kaushik. 2005. Performance evaluation of green roof and shading for thermal protection of buildings. *Building and Environment* 40 (11): 1505–1511.
- Mata, L. J., and Nobre C. 2006. Impacts, vulnerability and adaptation to climate change in Latin America. Background paper, United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, Lima, Peru, April 18–20, 2006.
- Nowak, D. J. The effects of urban trees on air quality. USDA Forest Service, Syracuse, NY.
- Osmond, P. Rooftop “greening” as an option for microclimatic amelioration in a high-density building complex. University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia.
- Outline of the policy framework to reduce urban heat island effects decided in March 2004 by Inter-Ministry Coordination Committee to Mitigate Urban Heat Island.
- Peretti, G., D. Marino, and E. Montacchini. 2005. Green areas in open urban spaces. Department of Human Settlements Science, Polytechnic University of Turin, Italy. International Conference, “Passive and Low Energy Cooling for the Built Environment, May 2005, Santorini, Greece.
- Pilot study on the role of trees in mitigating air pollution and the heat island effect 2006–2007. SECON Pvt. Ltd, Bangalore.
- Quattrochi, D., J. Luvall, D. Rickman, M. Estes, C. Laymon, and B. Howell. 2000. A decision support information system for urban landscape management using thermal infrared data. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 66 (10): 1195–1207.
- Ren, G. Y., Z. Y. Chu, Z. H. Chen, and Y. Y. Ren. 2007. Implications of temporal change in urban heat island intensity observed at Beijing and Wuhan stations. *Geophysical Research Letters* 34: 5 pp.
- Report on the environmental benefits and costs of green roof technology for the city of Toronto. Prepared by Ryerson University.
- Rosenzweig, C., and B. Solecki. Urban heat island research studies.
- Solecki, W. D., C. Rosenzweig, G. Pope, M. Chopping, R. Goldberg, and A. Polissar. Urban heat island and climate change: An assessment of interacting and possible adaptations in the Camden, New Jersey, region. Division of Science, Research and Technology.

- Stone, B., Jr., and M. O. Rodgers. 2001. Urban form and thermal efficiency: How the design of cities influences the urban heat island effect. *Journal of American Planning Association* 67:186–198.
- Sunil Kumar, C. S., A. U. Mahajar, N. Sharma, V. P. Deshpande, and S. D. Bandrinath. 1997. A comparative study on the formation of heat islands in industrial and urban centers. *Pollution Research* 16 (1): 15–18.
- Vasishth, A. 2006. An integrative ecosystem approach to a more sustainable urban ecology: Heat island mitigation, urban forestry, and landscape management can reduce the ecological footprint of our cities. Presented at the Association of the Collegiate Schools of Planning 47th Annual Conference, November 9–12, 2006, Fort Worth, TX.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2006. Green infrastructure lets nature help carry the load of our cities. Department of Urban Studies and Planning, California State University, Northridge.
- Weng, Q. Role of urban canopy composition and structure in determining heat islands: A synthesis of remote sensing and landscape ecology approach. <http://isu1.indstate.edu/heatisland/>
- Whitaker, S. Urban trees in Bangalore City: Literature review and pilot study on the role of trees in mitigating air pollution and the heat island effect 2006–2007. SECON Pvt. Ltd. Whitefield, Bangalore.

## REPORTS ON CLIMATE CHANGE

Australian Climate Change  
 Beijing declaration on renewable energy for sustainable development  
 Center for International Earth Science Information Network  
 Center for Weather Forecast and Climate Studies, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation  
 Chicago's Urban Heat Island Initiative  
 Climate change mitigation in developing countries  
 Cool communities (publication information)  
 Heat Island Group—Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory  
 Impacts of Europe's changing climate  
 International Institute for Environment and Development  
*International Journal of Coal Geology*  
 Profitable environmental and energy solutions through urban heat island mitigation—global environmental management (GEM)  
 Renewables 2005—Global status report (World Watch Institute)  
 Stern Review Report on the Economics of Climate Change  
 United Nations Development Program  
 United Nations Environment Program  
 US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)  
 US National Assessment of the Potential Consequences of Climate Variability and Change

## NEWS ARTICLES

- 1990s were millennium's warmest years. *Times of London*, March 24, 2007.
- Byrne, S. G. 2002. NASA Goddard Space Flight Center: Rising heat and cloud formation as a result of the urban heat island effect. NASA news archive, June 18, 2002.
- Chang, K. 2005. British scientists say carbon dioxide is turning the oceans acidic. *New York Times*, July 1, 2005.
- Ewins, P. 1999. Meteorologists issue climate warning. British Meteorological Office, December 24, 1999.
- Global warming. Prestigious science association issues warning on human-induced global warming. February 17, 2007.

The global warming dropout. <http://www.pewclimate.org/>  
 Goldes, M. 2006. Global warming—New reports detail human causes and devastation of warming. Scientific reports released June 22, 2006.  
 Grice, A. Global warming “will cancel out Western aid and devastate Africa.” *The Independent*, July 13, 2006.  
 Make global warming an issue: Walter Cronkite. *Philadelphia Enquirer*, March 15, 2004.  
 Soot particles responsible for floods and drought: NASA report. *Times of India*, October 11, 2002.  
 Taylor, J. M. February 1, 2004. In *Environment News*. Publisher: The Heartland Institute.  
 UN climate meet stresses funds to combat global warming. *Times of India*, *The Hindu*, *The Statesman*, *Hindustan Times*, October 23, 2002.  
 US refuses to adopt Kyoto Protocol. *The Pioneer*, October 25, 2002.

## WEBSITES

[ccsr.columbia.edu/cig/mec/ongoing](http://ccsr.columbia.edu/cig/mec/ongoing)  
[CityofChicago.org](http://CityofChicago.org)  
[earthobservatory.nasa.gov/](http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/)  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mitigation\\_of\\_global\\_warming](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mitigation_of_global_warming)  
[http://metroeast\\_climate.ciesin.columbia.edu/reports/health.pdf](http://metroeast_climate.ciesin.columbia.edu/reports/health.pdf)  
<http://www.aaastudies.org/>  
<http://www.asu.edu/caed/proceedings99/ESTES/ESTES.HTM>  
<http://www.cleanairpartnership.org/agenda.htm>  
<http://www.coolcommunities.org/>  
[http://www.csun.edu/~vasishth/Vasishth-Green\\_Infrastructure.html](http://www.csun.edu/~vasishth/Vasishth-Green_Infrastructure.html)  
<http://www.cumc.columbia.edu/dept/sph/ehs/NYCHP1.html>  
[http://www.environmentaldefense.org/documents/493\\_HotNY.pdf](http://www.environmentaldefense.org/documents/493_HotNY.pdf)  
<http://www.ghcc.msfc.nasa.gov/urban/>  
[http://www.gisdevelopment.net/application/natural\\_hazards](http://www.gisdevelopment.net/application/natural_hazards)  
<http://www.gtz.de/climate>  
[http://www.iserp.columbia.edu/research/seed\\_grants/policy/cool\\_city.html](http://www.iserp.columbia.edu/research/seed_grants/policy/cool_city.html)  
<http://www.nasa.gov/home/www.asusmart.com>  
<http://www.pewclimate.org/>  
[http://www.sciencefriday.com/pages/2004/Jan/hour2\\_012304.html](http://www.sciencefriday.com/pages/2004/Jan/hour2_012304.html)  
<http://www.state.nj.us/dep/dsr/research/urbanheat.pdf>  
<http://www.zodiak.com/>  
[http://yosemite.epa.gov/oar/globalwarming.nsf/content/ActionsLocalHeat\\_IslandEffect.html](http://yosemite.epa.gov/oar/globalwarming.nsf/content/ActionsLocalHeat_IslandEffect.html)  
[info@worldviewofglobalwarming.org](mailto:info@worldviewofglobalwarming.org)  
[www.cdavid.org/.../urban forestry](http://www.cdavid.org/.../urban_forestry)  
[www.ddadelhi.com](http://www.ddadelhi.com) (draft Delhi Master Plan 2021)  
[www.delhiplanning.nic.in](http://www.delhiplanning.nic.in)  
[www.lexcan.com/.../HeatReflectiveRoofs](http://www.lexcan.com/.../HeatReflectiveRoofs)  
[www.stopglobalwarming.org](http://www.stopglobalwarming.org)  
[www.teriin.org/bcsd](http://www.teriin.org/bcsd)  
[www.urban heat island effect.EPA.gov](http://www.urban_heat_island_effect.EPA.gov)



---

# 9 Future Sustainable City

## *The Case of Masdar City\**

*Gajanan M. Sabnis*

### CONTENTS

|       |                                                                                      |     |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 9.1   | Introduction .....                                                                   | 227 |
| 9.2   | Historical Background.....                                                           | 230 |
| 9.3   | Development of Masdar City.....                                                      | 231 |
| 9.4   | Current Status of Masdar City (2010).....                                            | 232 |
| 9.4.1 | Significance of Masdar City .....                                                    | 233 |
| 9.5   | Masdar Vision and Various Features.....                                              | 233 |
| 9.6   | Principles for a Low-Carbon Masdar City .....                                        | 235 |
| 9.7   | Concluding Remarks .....                                                             | 237 |
|       | References.....                                                                      | 239 |
|       | Industry Standards .....                                                             | 239 |
|       | Masdar's ISO Integrated Management System (IMS), which is under<br>Development ..... | 239 |
|       | Applicable Sustainability Standards .....                                            | 239 |

### 9.1 INTRODUCTION

Masdar City was one of the most ambitious sustainable developments in the world in 2010. It aimed to be one of the world's most sustainable cities. The Emirate of Abu Dhabi in the United Arab Emirates (UAE) has established its leadership position by launching Masdar, a different kind of energy company. Masdar is focused on developing commercially scalable, sustainable energy solutions by working with global partners to integrate new research with proven technologies into the development of efficient systems and processes that may be replicated globally. As a result, it would contribute to the search for solutions for some of mankind's most pressing issues: energy security and climate change (Figure 9.1).

As one of Masdar's most ambitious project development business units, Masdar City seeks to become a global hub for renewable energy and clean technology, where

---

\* The editor first approached Mr. Khaled Awad, from Masdar City, as an expert for this chapter. He provided all relevant information but could not complete it due to other commitments. After the chapter was written, it was cleared for publication from Masdar City officially with useful comments. Thanks to Khaled Awad for his assistance in various ways and to Mark Bone-Knell, manager, intellectual property, Masdar City, PO Box 54115, Abu Dhabi, UAE; +971 2 653 1048; +971 2 653 3333; Fax: +971 2 653 1002; Mobile +971 50 5580295; <http://www.masdarcity.com>; [mboneknell@masdar.ae](mailto:mboneknell@masdar.ae).



**FIGURE 9.1** Masdar City perspective.

current and future technologies will be showcased, marketed, funded, researched, developed, tested, and implemented. Through Masdar City, Masdar will identify and share with the world the lessons learned from developing a city that meets some of the highest environmental, social, and economic goals of sustainability. As a clean-tech cluster, Masdar City has already attracted some of the world's top organizations across a wide range of sectors, from smart appliances to sustainability consulting to sustainable building materials. All types of companies—from multinationals to startups—that are engaged in marketing, servicing, product demonstration, and research and development will be a part of this journey to create, work, and live in this one-of-a-kind place.

Masdar's mission<sup>1</sup> to "pursue solutions to some of mankind's most pressing issues: energy security, climate change, and truly sustainable human development" will be founded with the evolution of a new-order research institute called the Masdar Institute of Science and Technology (MIST). Its structure will be based on the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), and planning calls for MIT to assist in recruitment and faculty training. A significant area of the city will be left vacant and will be made available for future technologies, such as biofuels, as they mature. Original plans execute the site plan as a raised city. This would allow for easier access for utilities and energy storage, alternate transportation, piping, and wiring, as well as flexibility for the installation of new schemas without affecting current services.

Masdar City<sup>2</sup> (Figure 9.2) will be built on 6-km land near Abu Dhabi International Airport, not far from Saadiyat and Yas Islands. Construction on the city began in 2008 and only 2 years later, during the third quarter of 2010, the first buildings to realize this vision were completed. The master-plan design meshes the centuries-old learning of traditional Arabic urban planning and architecture with leading-edge



**FIGURE 9.2** Location of Masdar City indicated by square near Abu Dhabi.

technologies to create a sustainable, high-quality living environment for all residents. The city will be built in successive carefully planned and designed phases, each of which will incorporate the latest technological advances generated in its clean-tech cluster and globally, as well as Masdar City's own learning regarding development of a city that integrates the full range of sustainable technologies.

The city is strategically located at the heart of Abu Dhabi's transport infrastructure and will be linked to surrounding communities, as well as to the center of Abu Dhabi and the international airport, by a network of existing roads and new rail and public transport routes. The city will be pedestrian friendly. Because cars will be forbidden, the compact network of streets will encourage pedestrians and community social life, while innovative transportation options will knit the city together and link it to the wider metropolis. Utility services in the city will include energy, district cooling, wet utilities (water, waste water, reuse water, and storm water), telecommunication, telephony, research infrastructure, and waste management. Infrastructure support projects at the city include landscaping, common areas, leisure areas, access roads, bridges, tunnels, and information and communication technology (ICT) services, as well as development management. Masdar City is using a number of leading-edge thinkers and companies through mutually beneficial partnerships. The city is currently embarking on a global drive to attract industry partners to participate in this historic endeavor.

Masdar is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Mubadala Development Company (Mubadala), the strategic investment vehicle of the Abu Dhabi government. Abu Dhabi, with Masdar City, aims to become a world-class center of excellence and expertise, and—through MIST as well as other organizations—a research and development hub for new renewable energy and clean-tech technologies. This will not only complement Abu Dhabi's leading role in the conventional energy sector but also contribute to the emirate's strategic goal of diversifying its economy away from reliance on fossil fuels and transforming itself into a knowledge-based economy.

Thus, the goal is the establishment of an entirely new economic sector in Abu Dhabi around these new industries, which will assist economic diversification and

the development of knowledge-based industries, while enhancing Abu Dhabi's existing record of environmental stewardship and its contribution to the global community. The rest of this chapter is devoted to various aspects of this historic step to shape the future of mankind.

## 9.2 HISTORICAL BACKGROUND<sup>3</sup>

In Arabic, *masdar* means "source." The company seeks to be a source on many fronts: a source of knowledge, investment capital, human capital, and innovation in the fields of renewable energy and clean technology; a source of sustainable development for Abu Dhabi and the world; and a source of solutions to help address the twin global challenges of energy security and climate change. With more than 9% of proven global oil reserves and 5% of proven gas reserves, Abu Dhabi understands the dynamics and economics of fossil-fuel-based energy and has the vision to transform some of that oil wealth into a sustainable future energy resource through Masdar.

Before diving into a more detailed discussion of Masdar City, it is useful to learn more about Abu Dhabi in order to gain additional perspective. Abu Dhabi began its evolution into a modern city between 1960 and 1970; today, it enjoys a very developed infrastructure much like any leading capital city. This includes wide roads, comprehensive infrastructure in water and power, and high-caliber ICT networks and services. So, in roughly 40–50 years, it has grown to be quite a modern city. But this has come at a price. Today, the UAE has one of the highest carbon footprints per capita of any country in the world and also is one of the highest per capita consumers of water, energy, and cement. In fact, it is on a par with the United States. This represents the hefty environmental price for all this growth and development.

The main assumption is that all people on the planet would like to learn and replicate Abu Dhabi's development and living style. However, unless the world moves in the direction of a Masdar City-style of development, we will need several planets to sustain such a lifestyle. Abu Dhabi has achieved substantial progress in terms of infrastructure. But, in the future, the environment will be at the top of the agenda, and thus development, too, must be more sustainable.

Furthermore, the Abu Dhabi economy has always been based on oil (more than 60% of total GDP). But in the long term, this is not sustainable. Thus, Abu Dhabi has to move toward a knowledge-based economy, where knowledge, research, and innovation become the source of prosperity. Finally, Abu Dhabi does not want to remain just an importer of technology. Importing knowledge and technology from outside is not a sustainable future. Therefore, it is the right time for Abu Dhabi, using the solar potential that it has and its knowledge of and energy from its oil resource, to work toward the beginning of a new era in this future city.

The deficiency in the current design lies in night operations. Due to the reliance on solar panels, the consumption at night will have to switch to traditional gas-fired utilities via Abu Dhabi's current grid. In the near future, this gap is due to expire through attrition via improved storage capacity and technology. Corporations and companies that use excessive amounts of energy will not be allowed to locate within

the city, which will encourage local manufacturing and smaller, more efficient companies to compensate for the losses.

Thus, the mission of Masdar is a truly grand initiative; not just Masdar City, but also the Mubadala Development Company as owner, is contributing to the development of the Abu Dhabi economy and reflects that the environment is a priority on Abu Dhabi's agenda for the coming decades. Masdar will contribute to making Abu Dhabi a knowledge-based economy with a proper adoption of renewable energy using mainly the everlasting source of solar energy.


### 9.3 DEVELOPMENT OF MASDAR CITY

The Masdar City master plan was developed based on traditional Arabic city design. Despite the very harsh and hot environment in Abu Dhabi, with essentially two seasons—winter, which feels like a traditional summer in northern Europe, and hot summer—Masdar City is designed so that it is workable and livable for much more of the year than elsewhere in Abu Dhabi. A review of old cities showed that narrow streets meant buildings were closer together and thus provided shade to one another. They created neighborhoods that were mixed and multiuse places. Some of these features are seen even today in Morocco and Aleppo. One finds that these streets have their charm because of the shading and that environment of mixed use. For a clean-tech cluster, it is even better because while a person is walking, he or she is talking to another friend or even a competitor and exchanging ideas and knowledge in this environment (Figure 9.3).

Foster + Partners brought this theme into its design and developed the master plan for Masdar City. The company also has designed the previously discussed Masdar Institute campus, which is located within the city. In its design and planning, it considered the orientation of the city and the buildings to make the wind flow inside the



**FIGURE 9.3** Traditional Arabic design (*shibam*).



**FIGURE 9.4** Sources of energy in Masdar City.

city—to save lot of energy just by basic passive design (and reducing the demand). *The principle of renewable energy generation* was used to get most of the required energy from photovoltaics, as well as some from solar thermal and some from evacuated thermal tubes. Even waste to energy is a possibility, and initial testing of deep subsurface geothermal energy is promising and could provide heat for thermal cooling (Figure 9.4).

#### 9.4 CURRENT STATUS OF MASDAR CITY (2010)<sup>4</sup>

Masdar City is an attempt to tie a high quality of life with a low environmental footprint. Perhaps no other development in the world brings these two together. If one thinks in terms of real estate, then one has to think of how to make people happy and able to enjoy a place with a high quality of life. One does not always think about the extent of the carbon footprint regarding that development. Masdar City is perhaps the first serious attempt to get these two things together to maximize the quality of life. If overall designs do not have to compromise on lifestyles, quality of life should be better and individuals should be proud to be part of such a community.

At the same time, Masdar City is a center for innovation in a different way, by making a new model of the city itself a hub of innovation and a green place for living at the same time. It will be powered partly by renewable energy, with substantially reduced waste. In short, it aims to be highly sustainable. Finally, the city will become a global center of excellence, with the International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA)\* contributing to making the city a center of knowledge, “Think Tank” leadership, and innovation. In world competition, Abu Dhabi competed for and won this opportunity, which is an invaluable tool for future development. IRENA is needed for future energy crises, and it will be the vehicle taking renewable energy to different places in the world, thus increasing its adoption.

\* The International Renewable Energy Agency was officially established in Bonn on January 26, 2009. To date, 142 states and the European Union have signed the Statute of the Agency, among them 48 African, 37 European, 33 Asian, 15 American, and 9 Australia/Oceania states. These governments worldwide have mandated that IRENA will promote the widespread and increased adoption and sustainable use of all forms of renewable energy. Acting as the global voice for renewable energies, IRENA will facilitate access to all relevant renewable energy information, including technical data, economic data, and renewable resource potential data. IRENA will share experiences on best practices and lessons learned regarding policy frameworks, capacity-building projects, available finance mechanisms, and renewable-energy-related energy efficiency measures.

### 9.4.1 SIGNIFICANCE OF MASDAR CITY

This city is very important because it will provide an opportunity for people to participate in a project that will help define and determine the future of urban development. For the first time in history, more than 50% of the world lives in cities. This makes city development very important and, because people are the main part of a city and social interactions are an important contribution to the quality of city life. Masdar City will be a destination for professionals and for the clean-tech world, whether one works in waste management, renewable energy, sustainable building, power storage, or water management. Therefore, it would be advantageous to be near professionals in renewable energy or waste or in carbon emission reduction who understand corporate responsibility. This synergy in the clean-tech world is very important.

Finally, Masdar City offers individuals access to people and to leading technologies, solutions, and policies, as well as the unique opportunity to be part of the Masdar City story. This is an open source for innovation. Good and valuable ideas with clearly demonstrable possible benefits or applications to the development of Masdar City can be tested here. For sponsoring companies, it is even more important because, while in the laboratory environment, they may find investors who will take the project to a different level. Eventually, the idea will become fully commercialized; an innovative idea is just the beginning. In the meantime, the product prototype is created and the investors will be ready to provide a live platform in Masdar City. This is exciting for the innovation of mankind and the future, and Masdar City is the destination.

The plan for different pilots is in progress and many have already started. This idea of the living laboratory will continuously be an innovation hub, which is an interesting concept for companies such as GE, ABB, Siemens, BASF, etc. Many others are in the planning stage to have a presence in Masdar City. Thus, with IRENA very close, this exciting city will have different types of clean-tech value-added chains of energy, water and wastewater, green building, and energy storage.

Finally, the city is close to a market growing at a very rapid pace. The whole market growth has shifted to the East, as has innovation, with an easy access from Abu Dhabi to India and China. Masdar City will be the place where intellectual property is protected and will give the full return value to the innovator. The city has a definite growth pattern. One can see the forecast: economic growth for the next few decades. There is a clear reason to consider Masdar City as a destination, even from the business point of view.

## 9.5 MASDAR VISION AND VARIOUS FEATURES

Masdar consists of three project development business units: Masdar City (discussed earlier), Masdar Carbon, and Masdar Power, as well as a venture capital arm, Masdar Capital, and the independent Masdar Institute.

The *Masdar Institute*, developed in collaboration with MIT, is the world's first graduate-only institute focused on research into alternative energies and sustainable technologies as an independent, research-driven, graduate-level program. With world-class faculty and students, it focuses its research on developing, transferring,



**FIGURE 9.5** Masdar Institute under construction.

and adapting sustainable technologies, systems, and policies to create viable energy solutions. It will be the R&D nucleus of Masdar and Masdar City. It accepted its first intake of master's degree students in September 2009, with 88 students from many parts of the world. The students enjoy a full financial package and will be the first residents of Masdar City in the university's campus housing, thereby living the sustainable lifestyle envisioned for the city (Figure 9.5).

*Masdar Carbon* focuses on reducing carbon emissions locally and globally through management of clean fossil-fuel power and greater industrial energy efficiency by offering technical assistance, project management, carbon financing, and emissions trading advice to high carbon producers. The unit operates a joint venture with E.ON Carbon Sourcing, called E.ON Masdar Integrated Carbon (EMIC), which seeks to monetize emissions reductions resulting from improving the energy efficiency of industrial facilities. It also is developing the Abu Dhabi carbon capture and storage (CCS) network in partnership with ADNOC and ADCO, which will capture  $\text{CO}_2$  from industrial sources and re-inject it into oil fields for enhanced oil recovery. Phase 1 will involve four industrial and power facilities in Abu Dhabi and capture 5 mt  $\text{CO}_2$  per year.

*Masdar Power* develops utility-scale renewable energy power plants around the world through direct investment in specific projects or ownership in companies manufacturing renewable energy generating equipment. Investments include the 1GW London Array, the world's largest offshore wind farm project; Torresol, a Spanish Concentrated Solar Plant (CSP) plant designer, developer, and operator that is a joint venture with SENER; WinWind, a Finnish wind turbine manufacturer; and Hydrogen Power Abu Dhabi (HPAD), a joint venture with BP to build a 400 MW hydrogen-fired power plant.

*Masdar Capital* is building with a portfolio of top-tier investments across the renewable energy and clean-tech sector, primarily through two funds; one has fully

deployed its \$250 million fund and the other has made its first close of \$265 million. Masdar Capital seeks to generate solid returns while also pursuing portfolio investments that offer synergies with other Masdar business units. Masdar also hosts the annual World Future Energy Summit (WFES) and the Zayed Future Energy Prize, which aims to inspire innovation and the development of potential solutions in the global race to address the crisis of climate change and the scarcity of sustainable energy alternatives. By creating a prize that recognizes and awards these future solutions, the Zayed Future Energy Prize hopes to inspire scientists, institutions, and energy and technology students to innovation and marketing of their ideas.

*Masdar City's business model* recognizes that only by collaborating with the best innovators and leading companies, universities, and others operating across a wide range of renewable energy and clean technology fields can it achieve its sustainability goals. For companies locating in Masdar City, there are enormous benefits to locating within an environment that inspires innovation, offers business development opportunities, provides a living lab and test bed for new technologies, encourages informal knowledge sharing among like-minded professionals, and serves as a magnet for world-class clean-tech talent. The city will serve as a convenient window to tap the enormous business opportunities in a number of nearby fast-growing markets within the Middle East and Asia—countries hungry for clean technology and renewable-power products and services.

Finally, Masdar City is unique in being the first clean-tech cluster to be built in what aims to be one of the most sustainable cities in the world, as well as perhaps the largest city-scale integration of the full range of renewable energy and clean-tech technologies, systems, and policies. Demand reduction is one of the most important ways in which the city will achieve its goals; this has been done through the orientation of the city and streets to maximize natural shading, by mandating high energy efficiency standards for building, and through “smart” technologies that will allow appliances and residents, workers and students to adjust their energy use during periods of high consumption. A focus on reduce, reuse, and recycle, as well as the potential waste of energy, will seek to substantially reduce the waste sent to landfills.

## 9.6 PRINCIPLES FOR A LOW-CARBON MASDAR CITY

In order to achieve the city's goal of being one of the most sustainable cities in the world—as well as a great place in which to live and work—every aspect of the city's urban planning and architecture must be approached with sustainability in mind. More specifically, design should seek to facilitate energy generation where applicable (such as the angling and shape of roofs) and reduced consumption of electricity and water. As a result, seven overriding characteristics define Masdar City:


- Optimally oriented (previously discussed)
- Integrated in the sense that there are no separate zones for industry, commerce, residences, leisure, etc. so that everything people need will be close at hand
- Low-rise, high-density areas that are essential to reduce both energy demand and transportation costs

- A vibrant urban realm where public spaces are as important as buildings
- Pedestrian friendly
- High quality of life
- Convenient public transportation

*Low carbon footprint.* In order to minimize the embedded carbon footprint in constructing Masdar City and its footprint from operations and maintenance, a life cycle assessment has been done on all major component materials, equipment, and systems used to construct or operate the city. This ensures, as much as possible, that materials used to build the city are as sustainable as possible, while technologies, equipment, and systems that will allow the city to run are also among the most sustainable currently available. Because buildings represent a major portion of a city's carbon footprint, highly efficient buildings will go a long way toward helping the city achieve a 70% energy reduction compared to cities of a similar size in the region. Water-saving specifications will enable a 70% reduction in potable water consumption compared to the UAE average, with separate gray- and black-water drainage (Figure 9.6).

*Waste management.* The waste management strategy, in brief, is to reduce, reuse, recycle, and recover. The lifestyle within Masdar City will encourage less use of disposables in order to reduce what goes into the waste stream in the first place. Residents and office workers will separate waste at the point of disposal. Then, all waste will be sorted by the city into compostable, nonrecyclable, and recyclable waste. All appropriate biowaste will be composted and the product used to enrich the landscaping. The recyclable waste will be recycled in the city or as close to the city as possible. By using this integrated system, the unnecessary use of landfill will be avoided (Figure 9.7). Masdar City has strict targets during the construction process that include the recycling of steel and other metals. Concrete is ground into rubble and reused, primarily as infill, while wood is stockpiled for reuse.

*Integrated mobility* is the most important part of transportation; however, it is not just about new technology, but also about designing the city so that people can walk (even to work) comfortably for as much of the year as possible. Similarly, the city is studying a number of low-carbon transportation options, including a personal rapid transit (PRT) system being piloted by a Dutch company in the Masdar Institute buildings. It functions as a personal metro and is capable of delivery on an almost door-to-door service. The technology has already been used in Amsterdam. The system



**FIGURE 9.6** Net zero carbon city boundaries.



**FIGURE 9.7** Waste management strategies: (a) 60% of materials recycled; (b) 30% waste to energy; (c) 10% for composting.

- Masdar City will be the first land-based city to operate without fossil-fueled vehicles.
- Walking, electric vehicles, cycling, PRT, and LRT are the modes of transportation within Masdar City.
- With 40,000 commuters per day, Masdar City will have strategically placed parking areas for fossil-fueled vehicles.

Personal Rapid Transit (PRT)

| PRT Fast Facts                        |              |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| PRT Vehicles:                         | 3,000        |
| PRT Stations:                         | 85-100       |
| FRT Vehicles                          | 810          |
| PRT Trips per day:                    | 135,000      |
| Max. Walking Distance to PRT Station: | 150m         |
| LRT Trips per hour:                   | 5,000 people |

March 09

**FIGURE 9.8** Integrated transportation (personal rapid transit).

comprises automated vehicles, similar to driverless taxis, to take passengers at the touch of a button between PRT stations. The vehicles run on electricity and are guided by a computer to which they are linked by a wireless connection (Figure 9.8).

*Green living* is the place that people feel as livable. It is not science fiction or an inhumane place. The idea of narrow streets, vibrant places, and high density, among other attributes, hopefully will bring charm to this place, and people should feel it as they enter Masdar City or the Masdar Institute buildings. There is something very unique about how a city is designed. Companies operating in Masdar City will have a highly supportive business infrastructure and environment, as well as a lifestyle that makes it a comfortable place to work. By operating in such a highly sustainable environment, these companies will be able to demonstrate their commitment to sustainable operations and practices (Figures 9.9–9.11).

**9.7 CONCLUDING REMARKS**

The Masdar City project started with ambitious ideas for the ideal future city. It also continued to the extent that there were ideal targets. At the time of publication of this




FIGURE 9.9 Conventional city (left) versus Masdar City (right).




FIGURE 9.10 Passive design reduces demand: (a) NE/SW orientation; (b) natural wind towers; (c) roof day-shading.




FIGURE 9.11 Water-saving strategy: (a) reduce consumption to 80 L per day; (b) recycle 90% of gray water; (c) reduce water leakage to 3%.

book, only the Masdar Institute of Technology has been started—with a number of foreign students, as planned. The construction of other parts of the city, however, is not on target for dates of completion. Delivery time lines have also been extended, with phase one scheduled for completion by 2015 and the final completion by 2020–2025. Advances in energy technology integration will now more closely follow the ongoing physical development of the city's sections.

The original ideology to engage technologists, visionaries, consultants, and investors will still form the nexus; however, the financial crisis has brought the new deliverables in step with market realities and technology priorities. It is anticipated and possibly is destined for late completion. The experiment can be considered a valuable lesson to our future generations to show how certain things can and should be done and eventually will help others learn from this historical background. Whether Masdar City is completed on time or its vision actually implemented, history will always credit one of the largest oil-producing governments, Abu Dhabi, which has one of the highest carbon footprints in the world, for inspiring humanity with a vision of future cities.

## REFERENCES

1. <http://www.masdar.ae/en/home/index.aspx>
2. <http://www.esri.com/industries/facilities-management/pdfs/masdar.pdf>
3. Awad, K., "Masdar City," Presentation at the ACI Convention, San Antonio, Texas, March 2009
4. <http://www.constructionweekonline.com/article-9719-first-residents-move-into-22bn-masdar-city/>

## INDUSTRY STANDARDS

### MASDAR'S ISO INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (IMS), WHICH IS UNDER DEVELOPMENT

ISO 9001:2000 (ISO 9001:2008 [draft])—Quality Management Systems

ISO 14001:2004—Environmental Management Systems

OHSAS 18001:2007—Occupational Health and Safety Management Systems

EHSMS Framework: 2008—Environment, Health and Safety Management System (EHSMS)

Abu Dhabi Framework Guidelines and Industry Best Practices (2008, draft).

### APPLICABLE SUSTAINABILITY STANDARDS

ISO 14020:2000—Environmental Labels and Declarations—General Principles

ISO 14021:1999—Environmental Labels and Declarations—Self-Declared Environmental Claims (Type II Environmental Labeling)

ISO 14024, 140025:2006—Environmental Labels and Declarations—Type I and III Environmental Labeling—Principles and Procedures

ISO 14040:2006—Environmental Management—Life Cycle Assessment—Principles and Framework

ISO 14044:2006—Environmental Management—Life Cycle Assessment

ISO 15392:2008—Sustainability in Building Construction—General Principles

- ISO 15686-1—Building and Constructed Assets—Service Life Planning—Part 1: General Principles
- ISO 15686-8—Building and constructed assets—service life planning—Part 1: Reference Service Life and Service Life Estimation—General Principles
- ISO 21929-1—Sustainability in Building Construction—Sustainability Indicators—Part 1: Framework for Development of Indicators for Buildings
- ISO 21930:2007—Sustainability in Building Construction—Environmental Declaration of Building Products
- ISO 21931-1—Sustainability in Building Construction—Framework for Methods of Assessment for Environmental Performance of Construction Works—Part 1: Buildings
- ISO 21932—Building and Constructed Assets—Sustainability in Building Construction—Terminology

---

# 10 Sustainability and Rehabilitation of Concrete Structures

*Gopal Rai*

## CONTENTS

|         |                                                                                      |     |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 10.1    | Introduction .....                                                                   | 242 |
| 10.2    | The 3Rs: Important Components of Sustainability .....                                | 242 |
| 10.3    | What Is Rehabilitation? .....                                                        | 243 |
| 10.4    | Motivation of Work .....                                                             | 244 |
| 10.4.1  | Concept of Axial Strengthening by Confinement .....                                  | 244 |
| 10.4.2  | Stress–Strain Relationship for Confined Concrete .....                               | 245 |
| 10.5    | Confinement by FRP Wrapping .....                                                    | 246 |
| 10.5.1  | Advantages of FRP Wrapping over Other Methods .....                                  | 249 |
| 10.6    | Strengthening Techniques for RC Columns Other Than FRP Wrapping .....                | 249 |
| 10.6.1  | Concrete Jacketing .....                                                             | 249 |
| 10.6.2  | Steel Jacketing .....                                                                | 251 |
| 10.6.3  | Precast Concrete Jacketing .....                                                     | 251 |
| 10.6.4  | External Prestressing .....                                                          | 252 |
| 10.7    | Strengthening of RC Columns by FRP Composites .....                                  | 253 |
| 10.7.1  | Advantages of FRPs for Strengthening RC Columns .....                                | 253 |
| 10.8    | The Concept of Confinement .....                                                     | 255 |
| 10.9    | Design of FRP Strengthening .....                                                    | 256 |
| 10.10   | Corrosion Protection by FRP .....                                                    | 256 |
| 10.11   | On-Site Application of FRP Wrapping .....                                            | 257 |
| 10.11.1 | Flexural Enhancement .....                                                           | 259 |
| 10.11.2 | Prestressing System .....                                                            | 261 |
| 10.12   | Future Opportunities for Rehabilitation and Repair of Concrete Structures .....      | 264 |
| 10.12.1 | Cost of Repair, Protection, and Strengthening of Concrete in the United States ..... | 265 |
| 10.12.2 | Unified Approach to Sustainability and Rehabilitation .....                          | 266 |
| 10.12.3 | Unified Vision and Goals .....                                                       | 267 |
| 10.13   | Concluding Remarks .....                                                             | 268 |
|         | References .....                                                                     | 268 |

|                                                                              |     |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Websites Consulted.....                                                      | 269 |
| Appendix: Design Example for Strengthening of Circular Concrete Column ..... | 270 |
| Given.....                                                                   | 270 |
| Required.....                                                                | 270 |
| Design by Concrete Jacketing.....                                            | 270 |
| Design by Steel Jacketing .....                                              | 271 |
| Percentage Increase in Column Weight .....                                   | 272 |
| Percentage Increase in Column Stiffness.....                                 | 272 |
| Design by FRP Wrapping .....                                                 | 272 |

10.1 INTRODUCTION

Various definitions of sustainability have been presented in earlier chapters. Its meaning in the context of rehabilitation has been integrated with the 3Rs: repair, recycle, reuse. The 3Rs classify waste management strategies according to their desirability. The waste hierarchy has taken many forms over the past decade, but the basic concept has remained the cornerstone of most strategies for minimizing wastes. The aim of the waste hierarchy is to extract the maximum practical benefits from products and to generate the minimum amount of waste and thus help sustainability.

In sustainability and the 3Rs, the problem is to identify technology or information and to determine the best and most appropriate manner in which to use it. A database on 3R technologies with a proper search engine can be created and will be useful. It must be acknowledged that the purpose of the database should be to provide information toward standardization and to improve the quality of work as related to its durability, rather than to impose one-sided standards.

10.2 THE 3RS: IMPORTANT COMPONENTS OF SUSTAINABILITY

Sustainability has become the word we use in relation to the environmental movement. But it means more than recycling, planting trees, or driving less. Sustainability encompasses three pillars, or spheres: the ecological, the social, and the economic. It is a model that looks at all areas of life, the natural and the man-made, and recognizes that one cannot look at any one of these without considering the others. Sustainability and sustainable development are about developing an ecologically aware, socially just, and economically responsible society.

Western nations’ dependence on nonrenewable resources has contributed to global warming and climate change, which in turn has contributed to increases in disease, poverty, and violence. Short-sighted nonrenewable resource development policies, such as the development of the tar sands in northern Alberta, Canada, have led to water pollution, health problems, clear cutting, and social problems. Government should shift its focus from unsustainable energy sources and, instead, invest in long-term clean renewable energy sources that would not only help combat climate change but also create green jobs and build new industries.

The 3R Initiative was launched by the Office of the Prime Minister of Japan Koizumi on June 8, 2004. As a follow-up a conference and senior-level meetings were held in March 2006, in which the author participated. Important steps for

implementation of the 3Rs were discussed. It was proposed to integrate the 3Rs with environmentally sound waste management. Also important is the integration with circular economy, sound material cycle economy, cleaner production and technologies, material flows and resource productivity, sustainable materials management, zero-waste economy, waste management hierarchy, product design, life cycle assessment, sustainable production and consumption models, extended producer responsibility, green growth, green procurement, and overcoming trade barriers (taking into account the existing rules of transboundary movement of hazardous wastes). It was emphasized that commonalities clearly exist among countries at the regional level, and continued work on the 3Rs, particularly in a well-structured forum, would prove valuable. Delegates emphasized that regional cooperative efforts are one of the areas where further efforts are clearly needed.

### 10.3 WHAT IS REHABILITATION?

The prospects and sustained development of any nation are strongly associated with potency and consistency of the nation's infrastructure services. In the current scenario, challenges faced by developed countries are to sustain the existing infrastructure using limited available capital.

Concrete repair is a skill that has been practiced for several centuries. Due to demand for repairs and maintenance of breakdown infrastructure, ongoing repair and rehabilitation of existing concrete structures that satisfy a variety of design and construction constraints are the challenge facing us today.

Rehabilitation of existing structures has received much attention during the past two decades. Several major research projects were launched to investigate the feasibility of using composites in both seismic and corrosion repair of structural systems made of reinforced concrete, steel, and wood materials. The overwhelming experimental and analytical results have encouraged practicing civil engineers and the construction industry to consider polymer composites as an alternative construction material and system. One of the successful applications of polymer composites is the seismic repair and retrofit of reinforced concrete (RC) columns. Fiber-reinforced polymer composites (FRPC) material has a number of favorable characteristics, including ease of installation, immunity to corrosion, extremely high strength, availability in convenient "to apply" forms, etc.

More than a decade ago, a new technique for strengthening structural elements emerged. The technique involves the use of FRPC as externally bonded reinforcement in critical regions of RC elements. FRPC materials, which are available today in the form of strips or in situ resin impregnated sheets, are being used to strengthen a variety of RC elements (including beams, slabs, columns, and shear walls) to enhance the flexural, shear, and axial capacity of such elements.<sup>2</sup>

Because composites are very promising material in repair and rehabilitation, they are increasingly used worldwide. In Japan, the driving interest appears to be in construction materials and methods that enhance prefabrication, automation, labor savings, and, in general, cleaner, more efficient construction processes. In North America, the major interest is to find a solution to the durability problem caused by steel reinforcement corrosion in infrastructure. Europe may have a combination of

all the above, coupled with a keen interest in strengthening/rehabilitation as a result of its large number of invaluable historical structures in need of repair.<sup>3,4</sup>

## 10.4 MOTIVATION OF WORK


Rehabilitation and retrofits of reinforced concrete framed structures are a big concern of the present construction community. The typical lacuna in the present structure is improper detailing of reinforcements at the joints that leads to their brittle failure. The use of reinforced concrete jackets and steel plate jackets to strengthen the joints has been reported earlier. However, execution of such rehabilitation is disruptive to the operation of the facility, labor intensive, and very time consuming. The FRPC material has promise in alleviating these difficulties. The efficiency of FRPC as a device for enhancement of bending and shear capacities of flexure elements and enhancement of confinement of concrete in compression elements has been well established.

This chapter describes the importance of composite materials in axial and flexural rehabilitation works in comparison with the conventional rehabilitation methodology and case studies in the Indian environment, as well as the preliminary design concept with working methodology.


### 10.4.1 CONCEPT OF AXIAL STRENGTHENING BY CONFINEMENT

Concrete is relatively weak in tension and strong in compression. The concrete tensile strength is of the order of 1/10 of that of the compressive strength. A typical Poisson ratio value for concrete is 0.20. Thus, it is many a time argued that concrete always fails in tension. However, if the lateral extension of concrete is restricted by external confining pressure, it can withstand higher axial stress. As shown in Figure 10.1, under low confining stress, concrete cylinders fail by crushing of the concrete, sometimes along with splitting tension cracks parallel to the direction of the applied load. A single major shear crack is formed at failure for an intermediate level of confinement. Under high confining stress, no major cracks form and inelastic deformation is distributed within the concrete specimen. In any event, strength and deformability of a concrete cylinder increase as confining stress increases.

By confining the concrete with a continuous FRP jacket, the fibers resist the transverse expansion of the concrete. This resistance provides a confining pressure to the concrete. At low levels of longitudinal stress, the transverse strains are so low that



**FIGURE 10.1** Failure modes of concrete cylinders under various confining stresses: (a) 100 psi; (b) 500 psi; (c) 1000 psi; (d) 2000 psi.



**FIGURE 10.2** Stress–strain curves for concrete cylinders under different confining stress. (From Mukherjee, A., and G. L. Rai. 2009. *Construction & Building Materials* 23:822–828.)

the wrap induces little confinement. However, at longitudinal stress levels above the critical stress, the dramatic increase in transverse strains engages the FRP jacket and the confining pressure becomes significant. The effect of the confining pressure is to induce a triaxial state of stress in the concrete. It is well understood that concrete under triaxial compressive stress exhibits superior behavior in both strength and ductility compared to that of concrete in uniaxial compression. Typical experimental stress–strain curves for concrete cylinders under different confining stress are given in Figure 10.2.<sup>5</sup>

**10.4.2 STRESS–STRAIN RELATIONSHIP FOR CONFINED CONCRETE**

Apparently, the most widely accepted stress–strain relationship for confined concrete is that proposed by Mander (Figure 10.3), which was originally developed for confinement by steel hoops. ACI 440.2R-02,<sup>6</sup> EuroCode8 part3,<sup>7</sup> and CEB-FIP bulletin 14<sup>8</sup> also recommend that the same relationship be used for FRP confined concrete.


The shaded area in the stress–strain relationship of Figure 10.3<sup>9</sup> characterizes the additional energy that can be absorbed in a confined section. The Mander model is applicable to all section shapes and all levels of confinement.

The cylinder strength of the confined concrete,  $f'_{cc}$ , which is generally taken as 0.8 times the cubed strength of the confined concrete, is given by

$$f'_{cc} = f'_c (2.254\sqrt{1 + 7.94f'_l/f'_c} - 2f'_l/f'_c - 1.254) \tag{10.1}$$

where

- $f'_c$  is the cylinder strength of unconfined concrete =  $0.8f_{ck}$
- $f'_{cc}$  is the cylinder strength of confined concrete
- $f'_l$  is the confining stress




**FIGURE 10.3** Stress–strain relationship for confined concrete. (From Mukherjee, A., S. P. Bagadi, and G. L. Rai. 2009. *Journal of Composites for Construction* 13 (2): 74–81.)

The confining stress depends on the thickness, strength, and spacing of the confining reinforcement/wrapping.


In Equation (10.1), the peak confined cylinder strength,  $f'_{cc}$ , is a function of the effective lateral confining pressure  $f'_l$ . With  $f'_l = 0$ ,  $f'_{cc} = f'_c$  (peak unconfined cylinder strength).

10.5 CONFINEMENT BY FRP WRAPPING

As mentioned earlier, by wrapping the concrete with a continuous FRP jacket (Figure 10.4), the fibers resist the transverse expansion of the concrete. This resistance provides a confining pressure to the concrete. The improvement to the behavior of concrete is quantified based on the observation that concrete wrapped with an FRP jacket exhibits a bilinear stress–strain response. Initially, the stress–strain behavior is unchanged from that of unconfined concrete. However, beyond the peak stress for unconfined concrete, the stress level in confined concrete continues to



**FIGURE 10.4** FRP wrapping of concrete column.



**FIGURE 10.5** Confined strength ratio for rectangular sections.

increase with increasing strain. The rate of increase is roughly proportional to the stiffness of the confining jacket.

To quantify the behavior of concrete encased by an FRP jacket, it is necessary to determine the amount of confining pressure that the FRP jacket supplies. The confining pressure is a function of the stiffness of the jacket and the transverse expansion of the concrete. By strain compatibility, the strain in the jacket is equal to the transverse strain in the concrete. The confining pressure may then be found by analyzing the statics of a thin-walled cylindrical cylinder (Figure 10.5).

This analysis yields the confining pressure  $f_{cp} = f'_p$ , as given by

$$f_{cp} = f_f \rho_f / 2$$

(10.2)

where

$$\rho_f = 4t_f/h$$

(10.3)

The apparent increase in the compressive strength of concrete under the confining pressure supplied by the jacket is again quantified by Equation (10.1).

For rectangular section, confining stresses in two directions is different. Therefore,  $f'_l$  is a function of  $f'_{lx}$  and  $f'_{ly}$  and is to be found out from Figure 10.6. The effective confining stresses in two directions are given by

$$f'_{lx} = k_e f_{lx}$$

(10.4)


$$f'_{ly} = k_e f_{ly}$$

(10.5)

For continuous fiber wrap,

$$f_{lx} = 2f_f t_f/b'$$

(10.6)



**FIGURE 10.6** Effective confined area in a rectangular column.

$$f_{ly} = 2f_f t/d' \tag{10.7}$$

$k_e$  is the effectiveness coefficient given by

$$k_e = 1 - (b^2 + d^2)/3bd \tag{10.8}$$

where

$$b' = b - 2r_c \tag{10.9}$$

$$d' = d - 2r_c \tag{10.10}$$

$r_c$  is the rounding-off radius, which is introduced to prevent the high stress concentration and tear-off of wrap at sharp edges (Figure 10.6).

### 10.5.1 ADVANTAGES OF FRP WRAPPING OVER OTHER METHODS




FRP wrapping has got several advantages over conventional methods of strengthening. A few of them are listed here:

- It provides a highly effective confinement to columns.
- The original size, shape, and weight of the members are practically unaltered.
- Due to the orthotropic built in by the fiber orientation, the wraps essentially provide confinement only, without interfering with the axial load, which is taken completely by concrete column.
- No drilling of holes is required.
- The use of FRP for strengthening has become attractive because of its easiness and the speed of application due to its lightweight and minimal thickness requirement, due to its high strength.
- The FRPs have extremely good corrosion resistance, which makes them highly suitable for marine and coastal environments
- The wraps are available in long rolls, so construction joints can be easily avoided.

## 10.6 STRENGTHENING TECHNIQUES FOR RC COLUMNS OTHER THAN FRP WRAPPING

### 10.6.1 CONCRETE JACKETING

- Involves addition of a thick layer of RC in the form of a jacket, using longitudinal reinforcement and transverse ties.
- Additional concrete and reinforcement contribute to strength increase.
- Minimum allowable thickness of jacket = 100 mm.
- The sizes of the sections are increased and the free available usable space becomes less.
- Huge dead mass is added.
- The stiffness of the system is greatly increased.



- Requires adequate dowelling to the existing column.
- Longitudinal bars need to be anchored to the foundation and should be continuous through the slab.
- Requires drilling of holes in existing column, slab, beams, and footings.
- Increase in size, weight, and stiffness of the column.
- Placement of ties in beam column joints is not practically feasible.
- The speed of implementation is slow.

### 10.6.2 STEEL JACKETING

- The column is encased with steel plates and the gap filled with a non-shrink grout.
- This provides passive confinement to core concrete.



- Its resistance in axial and hoop direction can be neither uncoupled nor optimized.
- Its high Young's modulus causes the steel to take a large portion of the axial load, resulting sometimes in premature buckling of the steel.
- General thickness of grout = 25 mm.
- Rectangular steel jackets on rectangular columns are not generally recommended and use of an elliptical jacket is recommended.
- Since the steel jacket is vulnerable to corrosion and impact from floating materials, it is not used for columns in rivers, lakes, and seas.

### 10.6.3 PRECAST CONCRETE JACKETING


- This helps speed up construction.



- New longitudinal reinforcement is set around the existing column, and pre-cast concrete segments are set around the new reinforcement.
- All segments are tied together by strands.
- After injecting nonshrinkage mortar between the existing concrete and precast concrete segment, prestressed force is introduced in the strands to ensure the contact of the segments.

#### 10.6.4 EXTERNAL PRESTRESSING

- This involves prestressing the columns with external strands to provide active confinement.
- It is efficient and can be more economical than steel jacketing.



- Installation of such a system can be less disturbing to building occupants.
- The technique is newly developed and on-site implementation is not known.
- Shear strength increase is only due to increase in concrete strength against the jacketing, where the jacket contributes significantly toward shear strength.

## **10.7 STRENGTHENING OF RC COLUMNS BY FRP COMPOSITES**


- This involves wrapping of RC columns by high-strength, low-weight fiber wraps to provide passive confinement, which increases both strength and ductility.
- FRP sheets are wrapped around the columns, with fibers oriented perpendicularly to the longitudinal axis of the column, and are fixed to the column using epoxy resin.
- The wrap not only provides passive confinement and increases the concrete strength but also significant strength against shear.

### **10.7.1 ADVANTAGES OF FRPs FOR STRENGTHENING RC COLUMNS**

- It provides a highly effective confinement to columns.



- The original size, shape, and weight of the members is unaltered (unlike any other jacketing), and they thus do not attract higher seismic forces.
- Because the original shape and size of the members are practically unaltered, this method is particularly useful for strengthening historic and artistic masonry structures.
- Due to the orthotropy built in by fiber orientation, the wraps essentially provide only confinement, without interfering with the axial load, which is borne completely by the concrete column, unlike steel jacketing, where the jacket takes on most of the axial load and becomes susceptible to buckling.



- No drilling of holes is required in contrast to concrete and steel jacking.
- The FRPs have extremely good corrosion resistance, which makes them highly suitable for marine and coastal environments.
- FRP wraps prevent further deterioration of concrete and inside reinforcement.
- The wraps are available in long rolls, so construction joints can be easily avoided.
- Ease of installation, similar to putting up wallpaper, makes the use of FRP sheets a very cost-effective and efficient alternative in the strengthening of existing buildings.
- FRP provides minimal disturbance to existing structure and the strengthening work can generally be performed with normal functioning of the structure.

10.8 THE CONCEPT OF CONFINEMENT<sup>10</sup>

- As concrete is uniaxially compressed, Poisson’s effect induces transverse strains that result in radial expansion of the concrete.
- This increase in transverse strain results in volumetric expansion.
- By confining the concrete using a continuous FRP jacket, the fibers resist the transverse expansion of the concrete.

- The confining pressure provided by wrap induces a triaxial state of stress in the concrete, which thus exhibits behavior in both strength and ductility superior to those of concrete under uniaxial compression.
- Since the FRP jacket acts to contain damaged sections of concrete, the maximum usable strain level in the concrete is limited only by the ultimate strain obtainable in the FRP jacket rather than by concrete crushing.
- To increase the effectiveness of wrap, the sharp edges of the rectangular sections must be rounded.

## 10.9 DESIGN OF FRP STRENGTHENING

The design of FRP strengthening follows the well-established principles of mechanics. Most major codes, like ACI, CEB-FIP, EuroCode, Japanese code, Swedish bridge code, Chinese standard, Turkish code, etc., give guidelines for the design of an FRP system for wrapping of concrete columns to increase their capacity. Various institutes, like NCHRP, Caltrans, CPWD, etc., recommend the use of FRP composites for strengthening of concrete structures.

For design of strengthening, a composite action is assumed between fiber and existing concrete. The design is based on the following assumptions:

- There is no slip between FRP and concrete.
- Shear deformation within adhesive layer is neglected.
- Tensile strength of concrete is neglected.
- The FRP jacket has a linear elastic stress–strain relationship up to failure.

## 10.10 CORROSION PROTECTION BY FRP

- Corrosion in reinforced concrete structures causes deterioration of infrastructure.
- Structures in or near marine environments are especially vulnerable.
- A widely promoted method for protecting structures in corrosive environments is the application of FRP composite wraps over the surface of the concrete elements.
- Corrosion due to chloride ingress is purportedly arrested by the prevention of further chloride contamination and penetration by the oxygen and water needed to continue a corrosion process that has begun or has caused damage.

A design example following this process is presented in the Appendix.

## 10.11 ON-SITE APPLICATION OF FRP WRAPPING

A proper application procedure involves following steps:

- Surface preparation includes
  - Grinding to remove the loose cement particle from the column surface
  - Repair of hairline cracks, if any



Grinding the Concrete Surface



Repair of Hairline Cracks

- Rounding off of column corners to specified rounding radius
- Application of primer

Once the surface is prepared and primer dried, the next step is application of saturant:

- The fiber wrap is then wetted with saturant.



Application of Saturant



Wetting of Wrap with Saturant

- Fiber is then wrapped on the column skillfully so that there are no undulations in the wrap.



Wrapping with Carbon Fiber



Wrapping with Glass Fiber

- After wrapping, the fiber is again wetted with one more layer of saturant to make sure that the fiber is soaked fully.



Application of Saturant on FRP Wrapping



RC Columns after Completion of FRP Wrapping

| Description                             | Concrete Jacketing                                                                                                                    | Steel Jacketing                                                                                                    | FRP Wrapping                                                                                                                     | Remarks                                                  |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Mode of strengthening                   | Increase in concrete and steel area                                                                                                   | Confinement                                                                                                        | Confinement                                                                                                                      |                                                          |
| Preparation of column for strengthening | Significant dismantling of cover concrete; at least 40 mm cover concrete to be removed; epoxy primer to be applied on exposed surface | No major dismantling work involved; mainly plaster to be removed and epoxy primer to be applied on exposed surface | Only plaster to be removed and epoxy primer to be applied on exposed surface; for rectangular columns, corners to be rounded off | FRP involves minimum surface preparation                 |
| Drilling of holes                       | Large amount of drilling required                                                                                                     | Large amount of drilling required                                                                                  | No drilling required                                                                                                             | FRP involves minimum work since no drilling is required  |
| Additional weight                       | Extremely high (in example shown, the weight becomes 225% for just 50% increase in strength)                                          | Very high (in example shown, the weight becomes 169% for 50% increase in strength)                                 | Negligible; no increase in weight at all                                                                                         | FRP does not increase the dead weight of the structure   |
| Size increase                           | Very high (in example shown, the diameter of column increases from 400 to 600 mm for 50% increase in strength)                        | High (in example shown, the diameter of column increases from 400 to 450 mm for 50% increase in strength)          | Negligible; the total increase in diameter is less than 5 mm                                                                     | The size remains unaltered, thus retaining the free area |

10.11.1 FLEXURAL ENHANCEMENT

The efficacy of fiber reinforced composite (FRC) in improving confinement of concrete and thus improving its performance in extreme loading is well established.<sup>11</sup> FRC has also been effective in augmenting the reinforcement in flexural members.<sup>12</sup> The seismic performance of RC frame structures can also be dramatically improved by externally bonding FRC at the beam–column joints.<sup>13</sup> The advantages of resistance to corrosion and higher specific strength make these materials ideal for reinforcing existing structures with minimum intrusion. The popular method adopted in such cases is

adhesively bonding FRC on concrete structures. However, the superior strength of FRC can seldom be fully utilized due to poor capacities of the concrete and the interfaces.

Prestressing of concrete has been an effective method in exploiting its relatively higher compression capacity. Moreover, the permanent deformations in the structure can be recovered by prestressing. Although the technique is well established in new structures, external prestressing of existing structures has always been difficult, especially in view of reinforcement corrosion, lateral instability, end anchorages, and space restrictions. In this chapter, we explore an external prestressing technology with FRC that alleviates all these problems. We also address concerns such as substrate failure, edge peeling, stress relaxation, and durability.

Prior research on some aspects of prestressed FRC is available. Triantafyllou and Deskovic<sup>14</sup> determined the limiting prestress levels to avoid edge peeling and Triantafyllou et al.<sup>15</sup> provided limited experimental verification of their analytical work. However, this limit can be exceeded if the concrete in the edge zone is reinforced in tension. It was concluded that FRC prestressed concrete members exhibit excellent strength, stiffness, and ductility characteristics, as long as the external reinforcement is adequately anchored at its ends. Saadatmanesh and Ehsani<sup>16</sup> provided prestress to RC beams by cambering them with hydraulic jacks while the composite plate was bonded and cured. The authors reported that this resulted in improved cracking behavior. Raafat El-Hacha<sup>15</sup> strengthened precracked RC beams with CFRC (carbon fiber reinforced composite) sheets and investigated the effect of temperature on them. He concluded that beams strengthened at low temperature failed at higher loads than those at room temperature. Quantrill et al.<sup>17</sup> studied flexural strengthening of reinforced concrete beams externally prestressed with CFRC laminates using a mechanical anchorage system.

The key issues on this topic are safe levels of prestress, anchorage system, application methods, durability, and modeling and design methods. In this chapter, we address some of these issues. Reinforced concrete beams that have been loaded to failure have been restored with CFRC laminates that are prestressed at different levels. A method of anchorage of the laminates has been investigated. An experimental program on long-term performance of the prestressed beams has been described and intermediate results have been reported.

### 10.11.2 PRESTRESSING SYSTEM

1. Surface preparation is the basic treatment necessary before any application process. For this purpose, the surface is thoroughly cleaned using a grinder; it is important for strong bonding between concrete and laminate.



2. Marking for plate and machine area. Marking should be precise and free from even small approximations; otherwise, it can cause damage to laminate and machinery. Meanwhile, the cleaned area is also applied with primer to further smooth the surface.



3. The end plates (i.e., anchor plates, which are used to avoid the prestressed laminates peeling off from the ends when kept in a perpetually stressed position) are fastened to the concrete surface with the help of heavy-duty anchor bolts, which are bolted in after drilling sufficiently deeply into concrete and being aligned properly.



4. Behind the anchor plate, a clamping device on both sides, with supporting L-clamps, is present; it holds the laminate with the help of high-tension bolts (Figure 10.7).
5. The laminate is cut to the required length so that it can be clamped in the clamping devices at both ends.
6. The laminate is now fixed, with adhesive applied along its length, and the piston body is put into position, after which the clamping device is pushed back to achieve the required design load (Figure 10.8).



**FIGURE 10.7** Positioning of laminate clamping device between L-clamps.



**FIGURE 10.8** Fixing of laminate with adhesive in the machine. The pump for giving pressure is attached.




**FIGURE 10.9** The final look of the slab after fixing all the prestressed laminate.

7. After the final setting of adhesive, the mobile anchorage (clamping device and L-clamps) is removed and excess laminate length is cut off (Figures 10.9 and 10.10).

Many times, to provide more strength against peeling, laminates are further secured at the ends by means of CFRC sheet. The fiber wraps are aligned  $90^\circ$  to the longitudinal axis of the member.

The first repair work of a concrete bridge using these CFRC laminates was carried out at IBach Bridge, Lucerne, Switzerland. The bridge, with a 228-m span, was designed as a continuous beam with a 39-m span. Prestressing tendons prevented the bridge from operating at full capacity, hence further improving the strength. The bridge was repaired with  $2 \times 150$ -mm CFRC laminates. The major observations noted were as follows:



**FIGURE 10.10** Prestressing system: (1) fixed anchor; (2) mobile anchor; (3) prestressing system. Many times, to provide more strength to avoid peeling, laminates are further secured at the ends by means of CFRC sheet. The fiber wraps are aligned  $90^\circ$  to the longitudinal axis of the member.

- Due to its very low weight, 175 kg of steel was repaired with just 6.2 kg of CFRC.
- Hence, the scaffolding was avoided and the whole project was carried out with hydraulic lift alone.
- Composites were positioned and held with the help of vacuum bags, thus avoiding big closets for steel plates.
- Even though CFRC laminate was 40 times more expensive than steel plates, it saved 20% cost due to ease in execution of the project.

For effective bonding of concrete and the strengthening element, Sadatmandesh and Ehsani<sup>14</sup> found that epoxies should have sufficient stiffness and strength to transfer the shear force between the composite plate and concrete. They should also prevent brittle bond failure as a result of cracking of concrete. Thus, they recommended the use of epoxies with toughened rubber for this purpose.

Prestressing has many observed advantages because it increases the effective usage of composite properties:

- Prestressing is effective in closing cracks in damaged structures and thus increasing the life of the structure.
- Also, prestressing reduces the stress developed in the structural members' reinforcing steel by giving a back force to the structure. This is useful when the rebars are weakened due to corrosion.
- Another significant advantage of prestressing is that it reduces the tendency of delamination at the crack front.

## 10.12 FUTURE OPPORTUNITIES FOR REHABILITATION AND REPAIR OF CONCRETE STRUCTURES

The future of the concrete repair industry appears to be promising and bright, judging from the projections based on current trends in repair, rehabilitation, restoration, and strengthening of existing infrastructure. However, this optimism must be

tempered in light of the need to change the image of the industry from one that is often self-serving—the industry that is repairing the repairs. Due to the increasing public concern about durability of concrete structures in general and repaired concrete structures in particular, the subject of steel corrosion and corrosion protection in repaired concrete structures is discussed with reference to the deficiencies in our knowledge of corrosion and corrosion protection in concrete repair, methods of testing, and the science of repair durability.<sup>18</sup>

The concrete repair, protection, and strengthening industry is driven by the defects of, damage to, and deterioration in concrete structures along with changes in use and code requirements. Over 500 million cubic yards of concrete are placed every year in the United States. Much of the concrete is custom made for almost every job, using local materials of varying quality, some designs that are not standard, and accelerated construction processes that sometimes sacrifice quality in the interest of meeting a schedule. The annual cost to owners for repair, protection, and strengthening is estimated at \$18 billion–\$21 billion in the United States. The result is the industry of “rehabilitation” that supports engineers, architects, equipment suppliers, material manufacturers, researchers, educators, testing companies, and contractors.<sup>19</sup>

Among the global forces that are shaping the repair and restoration industry are a shift in the manner that scientific research is carried out on the deterioration process and the evaluation of repair materials. The compatibility of these materials in repair systems, the emphasis on the environmental safety aspects of materials, and the increased need for performance specifications are the main considerations.

The process of degradation that affects durability is very complex. Each is non-linear and often irregular and interacts physically, chemically, and sometimes biologically with other processes and the environment. As a result, simple solutions addressing each process in isolation are inadequate. A holistic approach that provides an understanding of a phenomenon or a structure in terms of an integrated whole is required. A holistic model for deterioration takes into account the effect of both the scientific facts and the experimental knowledge of environmental factors and how they affect each component of the structure. The model suggests that, to achieve durable repairs, it is necessary to consider the factors affecting the design and selection of repair systems as parts of a whole or as components of a composite system.

### **10.12.1 COST OF REPAIR, PROTECTION, AND STRENGTHENING OF CONCRETE IN THE UNITED STATES**

The United States consumes over 100 million metric tons of cement annually, with a large portion used for the production of concrete. It is estimated that over 500 million yd<sup>3</sup> of concrete (almost 2 yards per person) are installed each year to support the US infrastructure. The volume of in-place concrete is estimated at 9 billion yards (32 yards per person). Most of this concrete is older than 20 years. Sometimes exposed to freezing–thaw cycles, carbonation, chlorides, and other aggressive chemicals, concrete can have a useful life of 50 years or longer. More recent developments in the use of low-permeability concrete mixtures, proper use of air entrainment, epoxy-coated

reinforcement, protective coatings, and corrosion-reducing admixtures have greatly increased the service life of concrete structures beyond 30 years.

However, some concrete structures being built today may require repairs after as few as 5 years of service. The original design and construction of these structures did not take advantage of these technologies, instead often emphasizing low first cost. More efficient designs may be less tolerant of workmanship and design errors, and fast-track construction methods may make it more difficult to incorporate the quality needed for a long service life. As a result, some new structures, in spite of durability enhancements, undergo early-age deterioration and require repair. Likewise, repairs intended to extend the service life of structures often fail prematurely due to the improper use of repair materials.

It is estimated that the total cost for repair, rehabilitation, strengthening, and protection (including waterproofing) of the concrete structures in the United States is \$18 billion to \$21 billion a year. Assuming that there are 9 billion cubic yards of concrete in these structures, the annual cost is between \$2.00 and \$2.33 per cubic yard of in-place concrete.

### **10.12.2 UNIFIED APPROACH TO SUSTAINABILITY AND REHABILITATION<sup>20</sup>**

In 2004, concrete repair industry leaders came together to develop an industry-wide strategic plan (Vision 2020). The Strategic Development Council (SDC), an inter-industry development group dedicated to supporting the concrete industry's strategic needs, facilitated Vision 2020 at the request of the concrete repair and protection industry. The purpose of Vision 2020 was to establish a set of goals to improve the efficiency, safety, and quality of concrete repair and protection activities. By focusing on the most important industry goals, it is hoped that the repair industry will achieve these goals faster than if the industry is left to evolve on its own. The focus on goals for repair is also related to the major issue of sustainability because extending the useful life of existing installations is a key factor in producing a sustainable environment. Over 100 industry leaders, including contractors, engineers, material manufacturers, researchers, educators, owners, and industry association executives, participated in focused workshops to define the most important industry issues and needs used to establish the goals in Vision 2020.

A vision provides a glimpse of the future state of the industry. If most key people in the repair industry believe that no improvements are necessary and that there are no significant problems to solve, their vision will result in a future state of the industry no different from what we see today. Repair industry leaders have spoken in the Vision 2020 workshops, and they envision a great need for improvement. These improvements include reducing mistakes during repair, miscalculations, and poor workmanship and performance as well as finding better repair methodologies that reduce costs while improving quality. This vision and the goals related to achieving it are the basis for moving forward and helping industry organizations, research establishments, and educational institutions to accelerate progress in the repair industry.

As part of the "visioning" process, each goal has been "road mapped" to establish strategies and action plans. A major part of the road-mapping task was to examine critically the suggested dates by which completion of strategies related to the goals

could be reasonably expected and then to construct a timetable of goals. The timetable is needed because many goals are dependent on achieving other goals; thus, the timetable will help define the order in which goals must be achieved.

Industry leadership teams will use the Vision 2020 documents (goals and road maps) to guide industry activities by prioritizing efforts and resources to the established goals and action plans. Research and materials organizations will use the established needs to prioritize research and development projects. Contractors and engineers will use this document to better understand the current state of the concrete repair industry and develop ideas for implementation of industry-envisioned improvements. Owners will understand that we take our industry very seriously and will use these tools to help them understand their structures and continued investments in repair and protection.

### 10.12.3 UNIFIED VISION AND GOALS

The rehabilitation in the concrete industry is very diversified. More often than not, the work is not done properly, causing a bad image of the profession. This makes a proper and unified approach more meaningful to secure its future. This gave rise to Vision 2020, which was discussed by leaders in various aspects of the industry. Vision 2020 is a model for achieving the goal of clear vision. This will happen only once, in the year 2020, as leaders representing a cross section of the industry, such as materials, equipment, industry cooperation, research and funding, professional practice, design methodology, environmental impact, workforce supply and client's education meet to achieve the perfect vision. One unified approach will result in overall improvements in repair quality, reduced repair cost, enhanced safety of workers, and, most importantly, the public by providing a cost-effective solution.

The detailed discussion led to the Vision 2020 in 13 key goals and related strategies. The areas they represent, are given here to summarize the document:

- Establish the mechanism(s) for industry cooperation to facilitate better and faster worldwide education of concrete rehabilitation technology and transfer the knowledge to all those who need it.
- Ways to accelerate the process of such dissemination within the industry.
- Create a standard and Code, if possible, to carry the investigation, design, use of materials, field and inspection practice. Such documents will help establish clear responsibilities and authorities of all participants and will provide local building officials regulate the process from the perspective of public safety.
- Develop performance-based specifications, which will allow for generic and imaginative repair tools in designs to improve product.
- Improved repair material design and performance to minimize and to improve structural capacity following the requirements of the construction.
- Development of user-friendly repair materials and methods minimize the adverse effects on the environment and also on workers and the public.
- Developing methods to estimate repair system performance based upon models with experience.

- Establish ways to eliminate duplication and to focus resources on important projects.
- Bring together as many professionals from the rehabilitation practice to support the growing need of the industry.
- Develop proper documentation to reduce conflicts, rework, and claims resulting from disagreements among the various parties involved to avoid lawsuits.
- Implement proper client education/training by using recent technology to increase awareness of the effects of deterioration to reduce the risks to protect their investments.
- Develop suitably improved methods for accurate and thorough condition assessment, and finally
- Device suitable repair systems for efficiency in work and to reduce failure.

Additional information and the full report is found in Vision 2020: A Vision for the Concrete Repair Protection and Strengthening Industry.<sup>20</sup>

### 10.13 CONCLUDING REMARKS

Fiber reinforced polymers have become increasingly popular due to their various advantages over other conventional methods of strengthening. In this chapter, the columns found unsafe against axial loads were strengthened using a fiber wrapping system. Both carbon fibers are used for the purpose of wrapping. The complete theoretical concepts are provided along with a sample calculation showing the details of the design of strengthening. Prestressing helps achieve a linear load deflection curve for higher levels of loading, thus extending the operating levels of the beam.

### REFERENCES

1. The 3R Initiative, Chairman's Summary of Senior officials Meeting on 3R Initiative, March 6-8, 2006, [http://www.env.go.jp/recycle/3r/s\\_officials.html](http://www.env.go.jp/recycle/3r/s_officials.html), 11pp.
2. Mosallam, A. S. 2000. Strength and ductility of reinforced concrete moment frame connections strengthened with quasi-isotropic laminates, *Composites Part B: Engineering* 31: 481–497.
3. Nanni, A. 2000. FRP reinforcement for bridge structures. *Proceedings, Structural Engineering Conference*, University of Kansas, Lawrence, March 2000, 5 pp.
4. Shahrooz, B. M., S. Boy, and T. M. Baseheart. 2002. Flexural strengthening of four 76-year-old T-beams with various fiber reinforced polymer systems: Testing and analysis. *ACI Structural Journal* 99 (5): 681–691.
5. Mukherjee, A., and G. L. Rai. 2009. Performance of reinforced concrete beams externally prestressed with fiber composites. *Construction & Building Materials* 23:822–828.
6. ACI 440.2R-08. 2008. Guide for the design and construction of externally bonded FRP systems for strengthening concrete structures. Technical committee document 440.2R-08, 76 pp.
7. EuroCode 8, part 3. 2006. Strengthening and repair of buildings.
8. CEB-FIP bulletin 14. 2001. Externally bonded FRP reinforcement for RC structures, 138 pp.

9. Mukherjee, A., S. P. Bagadi, and G. L. Rai. 2009. Semianalytical modeling of concrete beams rehabilitated with externally prestressed with composites. *Journal of Composites for Construction* 13 (2): 74–81.
10. Mukherjee, A., and M. V. Joshi. 2002. Seismic retrofitting using fiber composites. *Indian Concrete Journal* 76 (8): 496–502.
11. Mukherjee, A., C. E. Bakis, T. E. Boothby, S. R. Maitra, and M. V. Joshi. 2004. Mechanical Behavior of FRP wrapped concrete—Complicating effects. *ASCE Journal of Composites in Construction* 8 (2): 97–103.
12. Ramana, V. P. V., T. Kant, S. E. Morton, P. K. Dutta, A. Mukherjee, and Y. M. Desai. 2000. Behavior of CFRPC strengthened reinforced concrete beams with varying degrees of strengthening. *Composites: Part B* 31:461–470.
13. Mukherjee, A., and M. Joshi M. 2005. FRPC reinforced concrete beam–column joints under cyclic excitation. *Composite Structures* 70 (2): 185–199.
14. Triantafillou, T. C., and N. Deskovic. 1991. Innovative prestressing with FRP sheets: Mechanics of short-term deflection. *Journal of Engineering Mechanics* 117 (7): 1653–1673.
15. Triantafillou, T. C., N. Deskovic, and M. Deuring. 1992. Strengthening of concrete structures with prestressed fiber reinforced plastic sheets. *ACI Structural Journal* 89 (3): 235–244.
16. Sadaatmanesh, H., and M. R. Ehsani. 1991. RC beams strengthened with GFRP plates. I—Experimental study. *Journal of Structural Engineering* 117 (11): 3417–3433.
17. El-Hacha, R., R. G. Wight, and M. F. Green. 2004. Prestressed carbon fiber reinforced polymer sheets for strengthening concrete beams at room and low temperatures. *Journal of Composites for Construction* 8(1): 3–13
18. Quantrill, R. J., and L. C. Hollaway. 1998. The flexural rehabilitation of reinforced concrete beams by the use of prestressed advanced composite plates. *Composites Science and Technology* 58:1259–1275.
19. Emmons, P., and D. Sordyl. 2006. The state of the concrete repair industry and a vision for its future. *Concrete Repair Bulletin* July–August: 7–14.
20. Emmons, P., Strategic Development Council (SDC). 2004, Vision 2020: A Vision for the Concrete Repair Protection and Strengthening Industry,

## WEBSITES CONSULTED

<http://144.171.11.40/cmsfeed/TRBNetProjectDisplay.asp?ProjectID=251>  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Life\\_cycle\\_assessment](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Life_cycle_assessment)  
<http://ezinearticles.com/?Benefits-of-High-Rise-Living---Considering-Total-Value&id=1472453>  
[http://www.advanceconcreteproducts.com/1/acp/precast\\_vs\\_cast\\_in\\_place.asp](http://www.advanceconcreteproducts.com/1/acp/precast_vs_cast_in_place.asp)  
[http://www.cement.org/pavements/pv\\_cp\\_highways.asp](http://www.cement.org/pavements/pv_cp_highways.asp)  
<http://www.concretethinker.com/applications/Masonry-Versatile-Block-Construction.aspx>  
<http://www.concretethinker.com/applications/Pervious-Paving.aspx>  
<http://www.concretethinker.com/solutions/Recycled-Content.aspx>  
<http://www.ga.wa.gov/EAS/green/LEED.html>  
<http://www.mybuiltenvironment.com/?p=54>  
<http://www.sincerelysustainable.com>  
<http://www.icri.org>  
<http://www.masterbuilder.co.in/ci/444/FRP-Composites/>

## APPENDIX: DESIGN EXAMPLE FOR STRENGTHENING OF CIRCULAR CONCRETE COLUMN

Consider a problem of column strengthening frequently encountered in real-life situations. The problem is to design the strengthening using concrete jacketing, steel jacketing, and FRP wrapping.

### GIVEN

Diameter of column,  $D = 400 \text{ mm}$

Area of reinforcement,  $A_{sc} = 8-16\Phi$  ( $1608 \text{ mm}^2$ )

Thus, area of concrete,  $A_c = \pi \cdot 400^2 / 4 - 1608 = 124,055.77 \text{ mm}^2$

Grade of concrete = M15 ( $f_{ck} = 15 \text{ MPa}$ )

Grade of steel = HYSD ( $f_y = 415 \text{ MPa}$ )

### REQUIRED

To increase the axial load capacity by 50%

Existing axial load capacity,  $P_u = 0.4f_{ck}A_c + 0.67f_yA_{sc}$

Thus,  $P_u = 0.4 \cdot 15 \cdot 124,055.77 + 0.67 \cdot 415 \cdot 1608 = 1191.44 \text{ kN} = 1200 \text{ kN}$  (say)

Required axial load capacity,  $P_{u, \text{reqd}} = 1.5 \cdot 1200 = 1800 \text{ kN}$

### DESIGN BY CONCRETE JACKETING

For concrete jacketing, the minimum allowable jacket thickness = 100 mm and minimum grade of concrete in jacket = M20 (at least 5 MPa in excess of existing concrete grade). Let us provide a 100 mm thick jacket of M20 grade concrete. Thus,

New diameter of column =  $400 + 100 + 100 = 600 \text{ mm}$

Area of jacket,  $A_j = \pi \cdot (600^2 - 400^2) / 4 = 157,079.5 \text{ mm}^2$

Assuming 1% reinforcement in jacket:

Area of longitudinal reinforcement in jacket,  $A_{scj} = 1570.80 \text{ mm}^2$

Let us provide 8–16 $\Phi$  bars in jacket ( $1608 \text{ mm}^2$ ). Now,

Total area of reinforcement,  $A_{sc} = 1608 + 1608 = 3216 \text{ mm}^2$

New area of concrete in jacket,  $A_{cj} = 157,079.5 - 1608 = 155,471.5 \text{ mm}^2$

$$P_u = 0.4 \cdot 15 \cdot 124,055.77 + 0.4 \cdot 20 \cdot 155,471.5 + 0.67 \cdot 415 \cdot 3216 = 2882.32 \text{ kN} > 1800 \text{ kN (OK)}$$

*It may be noted that although we have some margin to reduce the area, according to codal recommendations, this is the minimum possible design.*

$$\text{Percentage increase in weight of the column} = 100 * (600^2 - 400^2) / 400^2 = 125.0\%$$

Thus, the weight of the column has become *more than twofold* to increase the capacity by 1.5-fold.

$$\text{Percentage increase in stiffness of column} = 100 * (600^4 - 400^4) / 400^4 = 406.25\%$$

Thus, the stiffness of the column has become *more than fivefold* to increase the capacity by 1.5-fold.

### DESIGN BY STEEL JACKETING

Diameter of existing column,  $D = 400$  mm

Minimum allowable thickness of nonshrinkable grout = 25 mm

Therefore, jacket diameter,  $d_j = 450$  mm. Now,

$$P_{u,\text{reqd}} = 1800 \text{ kN}$$

The existing axial load capacity is to be increased by increasing the compressive strength of concrete by providing confinement. The required cube strength  $f_{\text{ck,reqd}}$  can be found as

$$P_{u,\text{reqd}} = 0.4f_{\text{ck,reqd}}A_c + 0.67f_yA_{sc}$$

$$1800 * 10^3 = 0.4 * f_{\text{ck,reqd}} * 124,055.77 + 0.67 * 415 * 1608$$

Thus,

$$f_{\text{ck,reqd}} = 27.26 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

Therefore,

$$f'_{cc} = 0.8f_{\text{ck,reqd}} = 21.81 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

and

$$f'_c = 0.8f_{\text{ck}} = 12 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

Now, effective cylinder strength of confined concrete is

$$f'_{cc} = f'_c (2.254 \sqrt{1 + 7.94 f'_l / f'_c} - 2 f'_l / f'_c - 1.254)$$

$$21.81 = 12 * (2.254 \sqrt{1 + 7.94 * f'_l / 12} - 2 f'_l / 12 - 1.254)$$

$$3.072 = 2.254\sqrt{(1 + 0.6617f'_1) - 0.1667f'_1}$$

Solving, we get effective lateral confining pressure as

$$f'_1 = 1.907 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

Considering allowable stress in steel jacket as  $0.6 \times 250 = 150 \text{ MPa}$  and taking a factor of 0.67 for corrosion, we get

$$1.907 = 0.67 \times 2 \times (0.6 \times 250) \times t_j / 450$$

Thus, thickness of steel jacket  $t_j = 4.27 \text{ mm}$ .

*Let us provide a steel jacket of 5 mm thickness around the column with 25 mm grout between the column surface and jacket.*

#### PERCENTAGE INCREASE IN COLUMN WEIGHT

Additional weight per meter run =  $78.7(\pi \times 0.45 \times 0.005) + 24(\pi \times 0.425 \times 0.05) = 2.16 \text{ kN/m}$

Original weight per meter =  $25 \times (\pi \times 0.40^2) / 4 = 3.14 \text{ kN/m}$

Percentage weight increase =  $100 \times 2.16 / 3.14 = 68.78\%$

Thus, the increase in weight is less than that for concrete jacketing but is still very significant. We require increasing the weight by two-thirds of original weight in order to increase the axial load capacity by 1.5-fold.

#### PERCENTAGE INCREASE IN COLUMN STIFFNESS

E for concrete =  $5000 \times (15)^{0.5} = 19,365 \text{ MPa}$

Initial EI = 25,000

Thus, the increase in weight is less than that for concrete jacketing but is still very significant. We require increasing the weight by two-thirds of original weight in order to increase the axial load capacity by 1.5-fold.

#### DESIGN BY FRP WRAPPING

As calculated in the previous section, effective confining pressure required

$$f'_1 = 1.907 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

For R&M C-sheet 240, we have ultimate tensile strength,  $f_{tu} = 3800 \text{ MPa}$ . Assuming only 50% strength development, we have

$$1.907 = 2 \cdot (0.5 \cdot 3800) \cdot t_j / 400$$

which gives required jacket thickness,  $t_j = 0.201$  mm.

*Provide one layer of 430 gsm R&M C-sheet jacket ( $t_j = 0.234$  mm) around the column.*

Weight density of fiber =  $17 \text{ kN/m}^3$

Additional weight per meter run due to fiber =  $17(\pi \cdot 0.4 \cdot 0.000176) = 0.00376 \text{ kN/m}$

Original weight per meter (as calculated in previous section) =  $3.14 \text{ kN/m}$

Percentage weight added to the column =  $100 \cdot 0.00376 / 3.14 = 0.12\%$  (negligible!)

These calculations indicate that there is a negligible mass increase in column due to fiber wrapping.



---

# 11 Global Sustainability and Concrete

*Edward J. Martin*

## CONTENTS

|        |                                                                                                        |     |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 11.1   | Preview .....                                                                                          | 276 |
| 11.2   | Quantitative Sustainability of Concrete.....                                                           | 276 |
| 11.2.1 | The ATHENA Ecocalculator .....                                                                         | 276 |
| 11.3   | Quantitative Sustainability of Concrete Comparing Two Beams .....                                      | 277 |
| 11.3.1 | The NIST BEES Calculator.....                                                                          | 278 |
| 11.3.2 | Using the WARM Model.....                                                                              | 280 |
| 11.3.3 | Recycled Content (ReCon) Tool .....                                                                    | 281 |
| 11.3.4 | Beneficial Reuse Models (BenReMod) for Road Construction.....                                          | 281 |
| 11.3.5 | Life Cycle Analysis and Factors Affecting Sustainability .....                                         | 284 |
| 11.3.6 | The Green Highways Program and Highway Sustainability<br>Considerations .....                          | 286 |
| 11.4   | Inspection, Maintenance, and Preservation Aspects of Sustainability .....                              | 287 |
| 11.4.1 | Comments on the Program in Germany.....                                                                | 287 |
| 11.4.2 | The Swiss KUBA: A Comprehensive Road Structure<br>Management System—Swiss Federal Roads Authority..... | 289 |
| 11.4.3 | Bridge Management System for the Western Cape Provincial<br>Government, South Africa.....              | 289 |
| 11.4.4 | Considerations for Sustainability in New Zealand .....                                                 | 290 |
| 11.4.5 | Concrete Sustainability Progress in China.....                                                         | 292 |
| 11.4.6 | Testing in the Persian Gulf Region.....                                                                | 293 |
| 11.4.7 | Japanese and European Standards for Self-Compacting<br>Concrete (SCC).....                             | 293 |
| 11.4.8 | SCC Experience in India .....                                                                          | 293 |
| 11.4.9 | SCC Case in Cambodia .....                                                                             | 294 |
| 11.5   | Some Global Considerations of Concrete Sustainability.....                                             | 294 |
| 11.6   | New International Considerations .....                                                                 | 295 |
| 11.7   | Other Environmental Considerations for Concrete Sustainability .....                                   | 295 |
| 11.7.1 | Supplementary Cementitious Materials.....                                                              | 295 |
| 11.7.2 | Greenhouse Gas Production from Manufacture of Cement/<br>Concrete .....                                | 295 |
| 11.7.3 | Proposed Legislation for GHG Emissions Control.....                                                    | 297 |
|        | References.....                                                                                        | 299 |

## 11.1 PREVIEW

The term *sustainability* is amorphous in the sense that it has fallen into general use in maintenance of the environment and preserving environmental quality, while actually having little chance of being achieved. The term generally carries the meaning of no negative impact on the environment. Achieving no negative impact could only apply in the case of renewable resources, since even minor utilization rates of nonrenewable resources would suggest sustainability is not possible, unless the resource in question was no longer required to support life, health, environmental quality, or the economy.

The environment could only be perfectly sustained (i.e., supported continuously for a very long time)\* by zero utilization of nonrenewable resources and controlled use of renewable resources limited to the rate of reproduction. Neither of these outcomes is likely to occur. Indeed, while the term is in general use, the community has probably conceded that true sustainability is not possible. The trend in analysis presently is to compare options and to choose for implementation, whenever possible, one or more that result in less utilization of resources and energy and lower impact on environmental quality. Often the utilization and impacts cannot be quantitatively assessed, although some tools exist that can assist in arriving at decisions about design and construction choices even through, perhaps, assisted intuitiveness.

## 11.2 QUANTITATIVE SUSTAINABILITY OF CONCRETE

### 11.2.1 THE ATHENA ECOCALCULATOR

An analysis was conducted to estimate concrete sustainability in a quantitative sense by comparing the environmental impact of using concrete and steel in an admittedly small fictional building of 1000 ft<sup>2</sup>. The analysis was done using ATHENA Ecocalculator;† the free version of the full-scale ATHENA Impact Estimator. The Impact Estimator has many more options than are available on the “Calculator,” and includes the ability to estimate the “warming potential” in kilograms of equivalent CO<sub>2</sub>.

The Calculator allows consideration of six construction elements (shown in Table 11.1). A wide range of choices are available within each element in an Excel spreadsheet format. The spreadsheet calculates the totals as shown in Table 11.2. The largest impact in choosing concrete over steel options is the 47% savings in energy expended for the manufacture and use of the resources represented in the elements chosen. The air and water pollution indexes are significantly lower; the water pollution index for the steel options is over five times greater than for the concrete options. Finally, for the options chosen, there is even an overall insulation “R” value advantage for the concrete options.

---

\* This definition is found in the Oxford American Dictionary, Oxford University Press, 1999. Interestingly, this dictionary (among others) does not define *sustainability* separately.

† ATHENA<sup>®</sup> is a registered trademark of the Athena Sustainable Materials Institute, Merrickville, Ontario, Canada. The Ecocalculator can be downloaded at <http://www.athenasmi.org/tools/ecocalculator/index.html>.

**TABLE 11.1**  
**Options Chosen for the ATHENA Ecocalculator Exercise**

| Construction Elements | Concrete Options                                                                            | Steel Options                                                                                                                          |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Columns/beams         | Concrete/concrete                                                                           | Wide flange steel/wide flange steel                                                                                                    |
| Intermediate floors   | Concrete hollow core slab                                                                   | Open web, steel joist, steel decking, concrete topping                                                                                 |
| Exterior walls        | CIP concrete, EIFS, latex paint; R = 15.99                                                  | 2 × 4 Steel stud, 16 in. o/c, brick cladding, gypsum board sheathing, vapor barrier, latex paint; R = 11.86                            |
| Windows               | Curtain wall viewable glazing; R = 1.67                                                     | Curtain wall viewable glazing; R = 1.67                                                                                                |
| Interior walls        | 6 in. concrete block, latex paint each side                                                 | Steel stud, 16 in. o/c gypsum board, latex paint                                                                                       |
| Roof                  | Precast double T, modified bitumen, vapor barrier, rigid insulation, latex paint; R = 20.74 | Open web steel joist with steel decking, 4-ply built up roofing, vapor barrier, rigid insulation, gypsum board, latex paint; R = 21.88 |

**TABLE 11.2**  
**Results of Applying ATHENA Ecocalculator to a Fictional High-Rise Building**

|                                                     | Primary<br>Energy Total<br>(MMBtu) | GWP Total<br>(tons) | Weighted<br>Resource Use<br>Total (tons) | Air Pollution<br>Index Total | Water<br>Pollution<br>Index Total |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1000 ft <sup>2</sup> High rise;<br>concrete options | 2475                               | 255                 | 980                                      | 51,054                       | 29.41                             |
| 1000 ft <sup>2</sup> High rise;<br>steel options    | 3632                               | 267                 | 646                                      | 60,069                       | 182.63                            |
| Concrete versus steel                               | −47%                               | −5%                 | +34%                                     | −18%                         | −520%                             |

**11.3 QUANTITATIVE SUSTAINABILITY OF  
CONCRETE COMPARING TWO BEAMS**

A similar analysis by Struble and Godfrey<sup>1</sup> examined the difference in impact for a pair of hypothetical beams, one of reinforced concrete and one of flanged steel. In this case, the resource use difference corresponds to the previous results: over twice as high for reinforced concrete. The energy use for the steel beam was 64% higher than for the reinforced concrete beam. The steel beam water pollution index was almost three times that for concrete, and the corresponding air pollution index was 22% higher; the latter was virtually the same as that of the more complex example given before. The so-called “warming potential” (kilograms of equivalent CO<sub>2</sub>) was actually slightly lower for steel (about 13%), but since CO<sub>2</sub> is only partially

responsible—allegedly at best—an analysis should include potentially more important greenhouse gases such as water vapor and methane.


It is useful to examine the relative impacts of construction materials carefully when design and construction options are being considered, given the following:<sup>2</sup> “The production of one ton of Portland cement generates approximately one ton of carbon dioxide and requires up to 7000 MJ of electrical power and fuel energy. It is evident that the concrete industry significantly impacts the ecology of our planet.”

On the other hand, aluminum and steel and other construction materials possess significant impacts as well and can be shown to be higher than those of concrete in many cases.

11.3.1 THE NIST BEES CALCULATOR

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) BEES (building for environmental and economic sustainability) overall performance of three selections for *beams* equally weighted for economic and environmental performance is given in Figure 11.1 for 15% fly ash cement beam, 20% slag cement, Lafarge SFC (silica fume cement; [http://www.lafargenorthamerica.com/Lafarge\\_sustainable\\_development-Sustainable\\_report\\_2009.pdf](http://www.lafargenorthamerica.com/Lafarge_sustainable_development-Sustainable_report_2009.pdf))—all at 4KSI. The numerical values for the BEES alternative evaluation calculations are shown in the table in Figure 11.1. The Lafarge environmental advantage is quite striking even compared to the 15% fly ash option.

Lafarge SF<sup>TM</sup> cement is Portland silica fume cement produced by intergrinding Portland cement clinker, silica fume, and gypsum. Lafarge SF cement can reportedly




Note: Lower values are better

| Category                  | 15% Fly Beam | 20% Slg Beam | Lafarge SFC |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| Economic Perform–50%      | 16.2         | 16.5         | 17.4        |
| Environmental Perform–50% | 25.2         | 23.8         | 1.0         |
| Sum                       | 41.4         | 40.3         | 18.4        |


FIGURE 11.1 BEES evaluation calculations for a three-beam set.

be used to produce concrete with a significantly higher compressive strength than that of normal concrete. The use of these materials in concrete production consumes less energy and offers improved efficiency and building performance. The environmental performance is apparent from the analysis. These materials can also be used to achieve LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) points.<sup>3</sup>

For this example, the details of the environmental performance are provided in Figure 11.2. The weighting factors shown for the environmental categories are from the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) by its Science Advisory Board (SAB) for use during the development of BEES. Of course, a wide range of choices could be made for the weighting factors. The SAB highest-impact categories are



**FIGURE 11.2** Details of the BEES environmental performance evaluation.



**FIGURE 11.3** Embodied energy for the beam set.

clearly global warming and habitat alteration. The relative weights for the other categories are apparent from the values shown.

Energy considerations are important when considering construction materials and techniques. Fuel usage for production of cement may be either renewable or non-renewable. See Figure 11.3 for comparisons. While the total energy utilization for production of Lafarge cement is higher, the use of nonrenewable energy (coal, oil, etc.) is lower than the other two and renewable energy utilization is higher (apparently Lafarge makes significant use of solar energy, especially in foreign plants), providing a degree of offset in terms of energy utilization against the higher overall requirement.

**11.3.2 USING THE WARM MODEL**

The EPA’s *waste reduction model* (WARM)\* is another life cycle tool capable of evaluating the greenhouse gas and energy impacts of coal fly ash substitution in concrete. For comparison with BEES results for coal fly ash substitution, the avoided greenhouse gas and energy impacts per metric ton of coal fly ash substitution

\* See the WARM model at [http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/wycd/waste/calculators/Warm\\_Form.html](http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/wycd/waste/calculators/Warm_Form.html).

**TABLE 11.3**  
**Comparison of WARM and BEES Unit Impacts**

|                                                     | Impact per 1 MT<br>Coal Fly Ash as<br>Cement Replacement |                   |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
|                                                     | WARM <sup>b</sup>                                        | BEES <sup>c</sup> |
| Avoided energy (million Btu)                        | 5.26                                                     | 4.45              |
| Avoided CO <sub>2</sub> (MT)                        | NA <sup>a</sup>                                          | 0.70              |
| Avoided CH <sub>4</sub> (MT)                        | NA <sup>a</sup>                                          | 0                 |
| MT CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent (MTCO <sub>2</sub> E) | 0.96                                                     | 0.71              |
| MT carbon equivalent (MTCE)                         | 0.26                                                     | 0.20              |

- <sup>a</sup> WARM does not report these values.
- <sup>b</sup> WARM impacts on a short-ton, coal fly ash basis were converted to a metric ton basis by multiplying each impact by 1.102 short tons/MT.
- <sup>c</sup> BEES impacts for avoided CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> were converted to MTCO<sub>2</sub>E and MTCE using the greenhouse gas equivalency calculator.

were calculated using WARM. Table 11.3 shows a comparison of the energy and greenhouse gas unit impacts derived from WARM and BEES.<sup>4</sup>

**11.3.3 RECYCLED CONTENT (ReCon) TOOL**

Emission factors that drive both the ReCon tool and WARM have been updated in WARM as of August 2008, but not yet updated in ReCon. The version of ReCon currently available therefore may produce outputs inconsistent with the latest version of WARM but does not include concrete as an option. ReCon is to be updated in 2011.\*

**11.3.4 BENEFICIAL REUSE MODELS (BenReMod) FOR ROAD CONSTRUCTION**

BenReMod is a suite of *beneficial reuse models* developed by the US EPA specifically for comparing different materials that can be used in road construction. The models were developed by the University of Toledo (Ohio) and are currently available for use, except for the human risk model portion of the suite, which is under development.<sup>5</sup> The life cycle assessment (BenReMod-LCA) module of the model was used to compare several types of road construction material combinations that could be used in road construction, largely comparing asphalt, asphalt concrete, and

\* Personal communication, Jennifer Brady, US EPA, December 2009.

**TABLE 11.4**  
**BenReMod LCA Comparison Simulation<sup>a</sup>**

| Composition                            | Natural Aggregate | Asphalt | Cement | Fly Ash | Bottom Ash |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|--------|---------|------------|
| Asphalt (HMA) <sup>b</sup>             | 94                | 4       | 0      | 0       | 0          |
| Fly ash + cement + aggregate           | 75                | 0       | 5      | 20      | 0          |
| Bottom ash + cement + aggregate        | 75                | 0       | 5      | 0       | 20         |
| Asphalt (HMA) + fly ash + aggregate    | 90                | 4       | 0      | 6       | 0          |
| Asphalt (HMA) + bottom ash + aggregate | 90                | 4       | 0      | 0       | 6          |

<sup>a</sup> Volume percent.  
<sup>b</sup> HMA = hot mix asphalt.


concrete combinations with recycled materials. Five simulations were run with the composition characteristics shown in Table 11.4.

For each composition case, the simulations were done for a road surface 4 in. thick, 10 m wide, and 1600 m long. Sources of recycled material were always assumed to be 20 km distant, while cement/asphalt and/or natural aggregate were always assumed to be 10 km away. A 14-ton truck was assumed for all transport and a landfill was assumed to be in Ohio (used only for cost calculations). By keeping all the factors other than composition the same, a useful comparison may be made.

The system calculates associated electricity and oil consumption for production and transportation of the material, and cost, energy consumption, and contaminant emissions associated with use of a given material in road construction are determined. Contaminant emissions are then aggregated in various impact categories such as energy, global warming potential (GWP), acidification potential (AP), human toxicity potential (HTP), fresh aquatic ecotoxicity potential (FAETP), fresh sediment ecotoxicity potential (FSETP), and terrestrial ecotoxicity potential (TETP).

The resultant graphics for all the determinations are similar to those given for “cost” in Figure 11.4. The lowest cost simulation is item 3 in Table 11.4, the *bottom ash + cement + aggregate* option and the second lowest is the second: *fly ash + cement + aggregate*. Thus, both concrete options exhibit the lowest cost for materials but not necessarily for transportation. The transport distances were kept at a minimum so as not to dominate the analysis. The asphalt and asphalt concrete options exhibit about the same costs and are at least one-third higher than concrete. Energy utilization for both concrete options is lower than asphalt and asphalt concrete (Table 11.5).

Table 11.5 lists the rankings for the other aspects calculated. Although the model is supposed to perform LCA analysis, lifetime of concrete versus asphalt options is not listed in the manual for operation of the models. The toxicity aspects listed in the last four columns of Table 11.5 may be expected to be higher for concrete than for asphalt and asphalt concrete options because of the potential leaching of heavy metals from the ash components, while these components are expected to be bound for the asphaltic options. Concrete may be expected to be more durable than asphaltic



**FIGURE 11.4** Cost comparisons for the simulations given in Table 11.5. (The order of the results shown on the abscissa is the same as that given in Table 11.4.)

**TABLE 11.5**  
**Rankings for Simulations**

| Composition                            | Cost | Energy | GWP <sup>a</sup> | AP <sup>b</sup> | HTP <sup>c</sup> | FAETP | FSETP | TETP |
|----------------------------------------|------|--------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------|-------|------|
| Asphalt (HMA)                          | 3    | 3      | 2                | 1               | —                | 1     | 1     | 1    |
| Fly ash + cement + aggregate           | 2    | 2      | 1                | 1               | 1                | 3     | 3     | 3    |
| Bottom ash + cement + aggregate        | 1    | 1      | 1                | 1               | 1                | 2     | 2     | 2    |
| Asphalt (HMA) + fly ash + aggregate    | 3    | —      | 2                | 1               | —                | —     | —     | —    |
| Asphalt (HMA) + bottom ash + aggregate | 3    | —      | 2                | 1               | —                | —     | —     | —    |

<sup>a</sup> The GWP for concrete is very much higher because of the large energy requirement for producing cement.

<sup>b</sup> The AP for all options is the same; includes both SO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub>—thus, the comparability.

<sup>c</sup> From leaching into the groundwater only.


composites, so replacement of concrete road options may be expected to be less frequent. Thus, over the long term, the toxicity of asphaltic options may approach those of concrete options. This same consideration may apply to the link slab versus conventional bridge options discussed in the next section. Life cycle analysis must *truly* compare alternatives over the long term.

### 11.3.5 LIFE CYCLE ANALYSIS AND FACTORS AFFECTING SUSTAINABILITY

Life cycle analysis (LCA) is particularly important in the case of systems that have long lives—characteristic of concrete systems—because of the factors that may change over long periods and the potential effect these changes may have on the assessment of sustainability. In the case of most built-environment systems, concrete has a long lifetime. Bridges, for example, are expected to survive for decades and, during these extended periods, many changes will likely occur. Vehicle mileage rates may improve and vehicle miles traveled may increase or decrease, both of which will impact energy considerations and, of course, vehicle emissions.

A recent study examined LCA factors related to sustainability.<sup>6</sup> Engineered cementitious composite (ECC) materials used for link slab replacements were compared to conventional concrete designs (with steel expansion joints). ECC is a family of high-performance fiber-reinforced composites that have the benefits of concrete, such as great compressive strength, but eliminate a key failure mode for concrete: its brittleness. ECC materials are capable of ductile behavior much like a metal and can thus be used in place of a conventional expansion joint.

The ECC link slab design generally performs better from energy consumption, environmental pollution, and life cycle cost perspectives. It consumes about 40% less total primary energy and produces about 40% less carbon dioxide. The ECC link slab design also produces a total life cycle cost advantage of 14%, although this advantage decreases as the discount rate used in the model rises. The schematic representation is shown in Figure 11.5. Link slabs are a strategic application of ECC. By replacing the steel expansion joints found in most reinforced concrete bridge decks, the link slabs eliminate key failure modes for bridge deck deterioration. The



**FIGURE 11.5** The link slab and conventional bridge slab design.

**TABLE 11.6**  
**Composition of ECC and Conventional Concrete**  
**Mix Designs**

| Component Material<br>(g/L) | ECC Material | Conventional Concrete |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| Cement                      | 578          | 474                   |
| Gravel                      | —            | 938                   |
| Sand                        | 462          | 655                   |
| Fly ash                     | 393          | —                     |
| PVA fiber <sup>a</sup>      | 26           | —                     |
| HRWR <sup>b</sup>           | 7.5          | —                     |
| Water                       | 298          | 200                   |

*Source:* Kendall, A. 2007. Concrete infrastructure sustainability: Life cycle metrics, materials design, and optimized distribution of cement production. Center for Sustainable Systems, University of Michigan. At: [http://css.snre.umich.edu/css\\_doc/CSS07-07.pdf](http://css.snre.umich.edu/css_doc/CSS07-07.pdf).

<sup>a</sup> Polyvinyl alcohol.  
<sup>b</sup> Formaldehyde-based water reducer.

replacement of expansion joints is possible because of ECC’s ultrahigh ductility, which can accommodate thermal expansion and contraction of the bridge along with bending deformation and thus eliminate the need for expansion joints. The ECC compositions used for the analyses are given in Table 11.6.<sup>7</sup>

The study illustrates the advantages of using a life cycle approach over a short-term analysis. The conventional bridge design would be the choice, although the LCA of the link slab design possesses clear cost advantages. For example, the material energy intensity—the amount of energy consumed in the production of the material, beginning with raw material extraction through the processing and manufacturing, along with the feedstock energy, which is the energy embodied within the material itself—is about 110% higher for ECC defined in this study than for conventional concrete. This finding alone would lead to the conclusion that the conventional design is preferred over the ECC, using a short-term analysis.

However, the global warming potential (equivalent CO<sub>2</sub> for CO<sub>2</sub>, methane, and nitrous oxide) is 40% less for ECC than for conventional concrete. The total primary energy (refers to energy directly consumed, but also the upstream energy requirements to produce and deliver the fuel or energy carrier directly consumed) is also about 40% higher for conventional concrete. The LCA for both systems includes traffic, construction, materials, distribution, and end of life. The components of the analysis include total primary energy, CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, NMHC (nonmethane hydrocarbons), methane, PM10 (particulate matter, 10 μm), NO<sub>x</sub> (nitrous oxides), nitrous oxide, ammonia, BOD (biochemical oxygen demand), COD (chemical oxygen demand), dissolved matter, nitrates, and phosphates.

### 11.3.6 THE GREEN HIGHWAYS PROGRAM AND HIGHWAY SUSTAINABILITY CONSIDERATIONS

In 2005, the US EPA started the Green Highways Initiative as an instrument for coordinating environmentalism and transportation. Longevity is a key aspect of the use of concrete in pavements. It is common to find 50-year lifetimes for US concrete highways. I-10 east of Los Angeles was originally constructed in 1946 as part of US Route 66. It was ground in 1965 as a part of the first continuous grinding project in North America in order to correct joint spalling and faulting. It was reground in 1984, and in 1997 the 51-year-old was ground again. Today, the concrete is carrying 240,000 vpd (vehicles per day). Route 23 in Minnesota was constructed in 1948. The present serviceability rating (PSR) after about 50 years is 3.1 against a PSR of 4.1 rated as “very good.” Some examples are even older, with one example in San Antonio built in the early 1900s and still serviceable today.<sup>8</sup>

The rigid structure of concrete in highway applications is claimed to have a positive impact on highway vehicle mileage and, consequently, fuel consumption. The National Research Council of Canada conducted a detailed study of heavy trucks and passenger cars on concrete, asphalt, and composite (concrete with an asphalt coating) highways. Some significant conclusions are as follows:<sup>9</sup>

At 100 km/h, on smooth roads, fuel consumption reductions were realised on all concrete roads when compared to asphalt. The savings ranged from 0.4 L/100 km to 0.7 L/100 km (0.8% to 1.8%) when compared to asphalt roads. These savings were realised for both empty and fully loaded vehicle conditions for four of the five seasons. All these differences were found to be statistically significant at the 95% level. The savings during the fifth season, Summer Night, were 0.25 L/100 km (0.4%); however, these data were found to be not statistically significant.

When comparing concrete roads to composite roads at 100 km/h, the results showed that fuel consumption savings ranged from 0.2 L/100 km to 1.5 L/100 km (0.8% to 3.1%) in favour of concrete. However, under Summer Day conditions, less fuel was consumed on the composite roads, as compared to concrete. The value of these savings was roughly 0.5 L/100 km (1.5%). All composite to concrete comparisons were found to be statistically significant except the Spring data, which was not statistically significant.

Both full and empty trailers showed fuel savings advantages for concrete pavements. All results were statistically significant.

There are a number of advantages accruing to the use of concrete on highway construction, including:<sup>10</sup>

- Concrete highways exhibit high sustainability because they last for long periods—many sections and full-length highways for several decades and a number for 50 years.
- A long-lasting highway requires less maintenance and fewer rehabilitation events. Less interruption in consistent traffic flow results in significant fuel savings.
- Pervious shoulders constructed with recycled concrete or pervious concrete shoulders reduce runoff. Also, pervious concrete paving for parking lots provides for some degree of groundwater recharge.

**TABLE 11.7**  
**Properties and Material Sustainability Indicators (MSIs) for Concrete and Engineered Cementitious Composite**

|          | Compressive<br>Strength<br>(MPa) | Tensile Stain<br>Capacity<br>(%) | Total<br>Energy Use<br>(MJ/L) | Solid<br>Waste<br>(kg/L) | Carbon<br>Dioxide<br>(g/L) |
|----------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Concrete | 35                               | 0.02                             | 2.68                          | 0.152                    | 407                        |
| ECC      | 65                               | 5.0                              | 8.08                          | 0.373                    | 975                        |

*Source:* Li, V. C. et al. 2004. In *Proceedings, International Workshop on Sustainable Development and Concrete Technology*, Beijing, China, ed. E. K. Wang.

Table 11.7<sup>11</sup> illustrates that while ECC has superior mechanical properties, production of ECC imposes significantly higher environmental burdens due to the increased cement content of ECC, replaced by aggregate in concrete (see Table 11.7). It would seem on the basis of the table data that the ECC system causes too much environmental stress to result in consideration. However, the ECC system uses fewer days of construction. User delay, vehicle operating, and traffic crash costs were all lower with the ECC system. Also, while the lifetime of the conventional bridge system might be expected to be about 30 years, the expected lifetime of the ECC system could be twice as long.

The detailed life cycle cost analysis included the following segments: materials, construction, use, and end of life. The LCC analysis included eight modules of assessment:


- Material cost, including distribution
- Construction costs
- End-of-life costs
- Emissions costs from the preceding three modules of assessment
- Vehicle congestion emissions costs
- User delay costs
- Traffic crash costs
- Vehicle operating costs

*For each of the life cycle cost stages, the ECC system had lower costs than those of the conventional system.* Upfront costs, often used to compare alternatives, are a poor indicator of long-term costs. While the unit material costs for the ECC system are substantially higher, it exhibits lower material production and distribution costs over the 60-year lifetime examined.<sup>12</sup>

**11.4 INSPECTION, MAINTENANCE, AND PRESERVATION ASPECTS OF SUSTAINABILITY**

**11.4.1 COMMENTS ON THE PROGRAM IN GERMANY**

Inspections of roads and bridges are a critical part of the sustainability program in Germany.<sup>13</sup> The German standard DIN 1076 (3) requires inspection at certain



**FIGURE 11.6** A scheme for road maintenance in Germany.


intervals in order to manage infrastructure in an efficient and sustainable way: main inspections, simple inspections, inspections on special occasions, inspections according to special regulations, and regular observations. Main inspections are regularly carried out every 6 years; simple inspections are to be carried out 3 years after a main inspection. Besides the regular checks, special checks are required after special events or after a claim. The main inspections are executed as visual inspections with a hand near examination of the complete structure. Some field tests for deeper examination are frequently undertaken using special nondestructive testing (NDT) equipment (e.g., Schmidt hammer to detect concrete strength, simple hammer to detect delaminations, and a test to determine concrete cover, primarily radar and sonar devices). See Figure 11.6.

The management system is life cycle oriented. Most of the bridge and road network is relatively new, having been constructed between 1960 and 1980. Thus, while the expectation is for 70-year lifetimes, much of the transportation network requires extensive maintenance and rehabilitation. This aging aspect makes the German system considerably different from other systems in the developed world, which represent older systems for which replacement may be a dominant component of the sustainability effort. In addition to common corrosion damages, older prestressed concrete bridges show some severe weak points:

- Fatigue effects of tendons in coupling joints
- Low shear force capacity due to marginal shear reinforcement
- Rupture of tendons due to stress corrosion associated with imperfectly grouted ducts

The result is a program largely directed at repairing and preventing faults.

Similarly, the technology requirements are for those that are applicable for rehabilitation as opposed to new construction. Methods will include a multiplicity of



**FIGURE 11.7** Condition states (CS) of bridges on national road network (CS 1 best, CS 5 worst).

different methods (e.g., prestressed or slack carbon fiber reinforced polymer [CFRP] sheets, external prestressing, additional reinforcement, injection of cracks and voids, and extension of concrete cross section by shotcrete).


**11.4.2 THE SWISS KUBA:<sup>14</sup> A COMPREHENSIVE ROAD STRUCTURE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM—SWISS FEDERAL ROADS AUTHORITY**

The Swiss program is characterized by concern for the conditions of tunnels; this results in a somewhat unique consideration. Also, there is special concern for highways that are exposed to winter conditions for a significant portion of the year.

Figure 11.7 shows that the overall condition of the structures is good. It includes only bridges that were in existence before December 2006. The feeling is that the condition of the road structures in the system will remain stable as it has during the recent past. Thus, the amount of resources being spent on maintenance is likely to be found to be adequate. There is currently public pressure to reduce spending on transportation infrastructure, so conditions may change as time passes.

**11.4.3 BRIDGE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR THE WESTERN CAPE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT, SOUTH AFRICA**

As can be seen from Figure 11.8, the system is quite extensive. There are 2,300 structures (850 bridges and 1,450 major culverts) in the province’s five district municipality regions and the Cape Town Unicity. (This does not include those under the jurisdiction of the city of Cape Town.) The rehabilitation strategy is to address the structures in the worst condition and within the highest use portions of the system. A



**FIGURE 11.8** Map showing all structures in the bridge management system database.

priority index value approach has been developed and those with a PIV of below 60 are those requiring attention. About 175 bridges are in this category.


An attempt was made to “group” bridges requiring construction rehabilitation so that contracts could be awarded, constituting a “project.” In order to accomplish the grouping, it was necessary to include within groups those bridges that scored a low priority but possessed a high benefit–cost rehabilitation score. The grouping results in environmental benefits from the point of view of fuel and resource utilization while the program is being implemented. Figure 11.9 illustrates the impact of focusing geographically for 65 bridges and major culverts in the Calitzdorp, Oudtshoorn, and De Rust areas.

After completion of the repair work, all 65 of the structures were reinspected using the BMS assessment approach and reprioritized as part of the main database. As far as the priority rankings are concerned, more than 80% of these structures are now in the lower 60% of the priority list. The structures that were originally in the priority index  $< 60$  category required structural repairs; all of these structures are now in the lower 60% of the priority list. As far as the condition rankings are concerned, all of the 65 repaired structures are in the lower 50% of the priority list.<sup>15</sup>

#### 11.4.4 CONSIDERATIONS FOR SUSTAINABILITY IN NEW ZEALAND

There has been a recent surge of interest in sustainability in New Zealand:

The government’s commitment to sustainable development is stronger than ever, with the Prime Minister’s recent opening address to Parliament firmly positioning it at the heart of the Government’s policy platform. Comparing the quest for sustainability with New Zealand’s nuclear free stance, the Prime Minister outlined a range of Government priorities designed to make New Zealand the “first nation to be truly sustainable.” While critical of the Government’s sustainable development policy, opposition leader



**FIGURE 11.9** Map showing structures included in the rehabilitation project.

John Key has also embraced it as a strategic issue by emphasizing the importance of balancing sustainable resource management with economic development.”<sup>16</sup>

The paper from which the preceding quote was taken describes progress in the areas of durability, fire performance, thermal mass, acoustic performance, recycling, storm-water management, road construction, and demolition. There are limitations to implementation of the program. For example, supplementary cementitious materials are not widely available in New Zealand. However, fly ash is available, complying with the provisions of Type C according to the classification method contained in ASTM C 618-03. It has found general use at reasonable replacement levels in New Zealand. General-purpose cement is the most common cement type in New Zealand; blending of up to 5% mineral fillers and up to 1% processing additives is allowed. This has the benefit of reducing the embodied energy of finished cement.

In the period 1990–2000, the New Zealand cement industry reduced carbon dioxide emissions from thermal energy by some 18% and is still continuing to do so. Local research has shown that the combination of rigidity and the smooth surface of concrete paving reduces vehicle fuel consumption for heavy vehicles. Opus International Consultants and the Department of Mechanical Engineering at Canterbury University have established that the coarser the road surface is, the more the fuel used by cars. These results are similar to findings elsewhere.

It happens that New Zealand’s reinforcing steel is made from 100% recycled, locally sourced scrap. The New Zealand steel reinforcement industry recycles some half a million tonnes of scrap steel per year, which is then used in reinforced concrete. Earthquake design requirements in the country have forced special considerations for the use of precast structures and appurtenances. Shortage of aggregate as a resource has undoubtedly enhanced the use of recycled concrete and crushing operations.

#### 11.4.5 CONCRETE SUSTAINABILITY PROGRESS IN CHINA

Although fly ash concrete is increasingly utilized, the rate of consumption of fly ash by the cement and concrete industry is estimated to be very low and some serious problems still exist in China. For example, in the north of China, a number of electric power plants are often located not far from coal mines, but far from large urban areas and big projects. At the same time, many cement factories are also centralized in that region around mines, so in large urban areas, there is a lack of fly ash resources. Transport of fly ash, of course, increases its cost and there is a concurrent lack of interest in using fly ash concrete. There is “a misconception among some engineers that the use of fly ash in concrete increases the...[likelihood] of reinforcement corrosion because the pozzolanic reaction...[may reduce] the pH.”<sup>17</sup> There is another similar misconception in China that the use of fly ash in concrete makes the pavement poor in abrasion resistance. Both misconceptions result from accelerated evaluation testing methods used in the laboratory. This has blocked fly ash application in concrete.

There are some institutional barriers as well, but change is occurring. For example, the maximum fly ash content of 25%–50% by mass (significantly fewer limits elsewhere) of cementitious material (for reinforced concrete the limit is only 15%–25%) is prescribed in a specification published by the National Transportation Department in 2000. There is movement to change the existing fly ash limitations by replacement with performance-based limits on fly ash content.<sup>18</sup>

About 700 million tons of cement were manufactured and consumed in China in 2002. Along with the vigorous development of electric power plants and ironworks, more than 150 million tons of coal ash and about 80 million tons of blast furnace slag were produced per year. Most of the latter was used in manufacturing normal and blended cement in China beginning in the late 1950s. Until recently, because cement with high early strength is favored on the market, a water–cement ratio of 0.44 was replaced by 0.50 for grading cement by the new national standard corresponding to the ISO standard. Ground slag powder is increasingly used as supplementary material in concrete, as it is elsewhere.

Weizu<sup>18</sup> states that the paradigm shift in scientific research and education has to be changed from a reductionist to a holistic model. China has a civilization spanning several millennia, and Confucian thinking emphasizes the unification of nature and humans. He hopes that the mentioned shift will be realized as soon as possible.

China currently produces one-half of the world's cement output. The Three Gorges Dam project is using Belite (dicalcium silicate with other contaminant oxides)-based Portland cement, which can be produced while emitting 10% less carbon dioxide and exhibits an improvement in durability.<sup>19</sup> Dicalcium silicate is formed at a lower operating temperature (~1200°C–1300°C) than conventional Portland cement manufacturing temperatures (~1400°C). Results showed a lower clinkering temperature of about 100°C with corresponding lower CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The 7-day cure strength was higher than for similar Portland cement concrete configurations. Durability testing showed “good properties” of freeze–thaw resistance, carbonation, and permeability resistance compared to Portland cement concrete.

#### 11.4.6 TESTING IN THE PERSIAN GULF REGION

The hot and aggressive climate of the Persian Gulf poses special problems for durability of concrete structures and has a definite impact on maintenance as well as lifetime considerations. A study investigated the performance of concrete specimens containing various pozzolanic materials blast furnace slag, trass, and silica fume.<sup>20</sup> Tests included compressive strength, permeability, chloride diffusion, corrosion of reinforcing bars (a special regional problem), and carbonation depth at various ages. All concrete mixtures containing pozzolans showed better performance when compared to control concrete mixtures.

The overall best performance was obtained with mixtures with trass cement, type II Portland cement, and silica fume. Severe reinforcement corrosion was observed with type V Portland cement after 4 years.

#### 11.4.7 JAPANESE AND EUROPEAN STANDARDS FOR SELF-COMPACTING CONCRETE (SCC)<sup>21,22</sup>

Guidelines for self-compacting concrete published by the Japanese Society of Civil Engineers<sup>23</sup> and European guidelines published by the EFNARC<sup>24</sup> are available. A typical application example of SCC in Japan includes the two anchorages of the Akashi-Kaikyo (Straits) Bridge opened in April 1998, a suspension bridge with the longest span in the world (1991 m).<sup>25</sup>

SCC has been used commonly in precast and preformed concrete but much less in on-site applications, probably because SCC requires more sophisticated preparation and handling than conventional vibrated concrete. Additional complications occur because the mix must be properly designed and tested to assure compliance with the project specifications and the ingredients and equipment used in developing the mix and testing should be the same ingredients and equipment used in the final mix for the project. Common concrete mixers can be used for SCC preparation and transport, but SCC is more sensitive to proper water content. Pozzolan admixtures may be added at the plant or at the site, but preparation at the plant increases the cost to the supplier.

#### 11.4.8 SCC EXPERIENCE IN INDIA

Most Indian applications use the ENFARC guidelines for self-compacting concrete (see preceding section).<sup>26</sup> Addition of fly ash in SCC increases fluidity of concrete, whereas rice-husk ash (RHA) increases viscosity to concrete, improving segregation resistance of concrete mix. The experimental study showed that fly ash and RHA blend well and improve overall workability, which is the objective of SCC. Increase in RHA content in SCC increases water demand and reduces the compressive strength of concrete samples tested. Increases in RHA content from 7.5 to 30 kg/m<sup>3</sup> raised the water requirement of the mix, thereby decreasing the 28-day strength of concrete from 38 to 27 MPa.

Rice-husk ash is a common agricultural waste in India, whereas fly ash is an industrial waste from thermal power stations. Utilization of these waste products in concrete will help achieve an economical SCC mix, and it is felt that it may improve the microstructure and, consequently, the durability of concrete. Use of RHA and fly ash in concrete provides a solution to disposal problems and environmental pollution resulting from these wastes.

#### 11.4.9 SCC CASE IN CAMBODIA<sup>27</sup>

It was determined that it was possible to produce SCC using raw materials found in Cambodia. Limestone powder is available in Cambodia in Kompot and Battambang provinces, and was used in the study. Blast furnace slag and silica fume are available but, apparently, are high-cost items. Rice-husk ash and rice-straw ash are commonly available but it was felt that more research was needed to use these successfully. Many types of sand are available, and river sand was used. Coarse aggregate gravel and crushed stone is available from basalt, granite, limestone, or rhyolite. Crushed rhyolite was used because it is found near Phnom Penh.

“Ordinary Portland cement” available in Cambodia (type not given) was used. Superplasticizers are not available in Cambodia, but Viscocrete HE-10 was ordered from Vietnam. Thus, somewhat of a struggle was necessary to assemble the ingredients and pursue an acceptable SCC strategy, where sources are limited, and successfully test SCC in application.

### 11.5 SOME GLOBAL CONSIDERATIONS OF CONCRETE SUSTAINABILITY

The burgeoning development and construction programs in India and China and other high-growth countries, as well as repair and replacement in more developed countries, will result in a very high demand for cement and concrete. Among the primary concrete-making materials, the emission of carbon dioxide is significantly attributable to cement production:

Modern cements contain an average of about 84% Portland cement clinker, and the clinker manufacturing process releases 0.9 tonne of CO<sub>2</sub> per tonne of clinker. Worldwide, the concrete industry consumed nearly 2.77 billion tonnes (3.05 billion tons) of cement in 2007, so the carbon footprint of the industry is obviously quite large.<sup>28</sup>

Kumar suggests three “tools” for global sustainability: tool 1—consume less concrete (e.g., radically increase service life of structures); tool 2—consume less cement in concrete mixtures (e.g., specify longer-term compressive strengths); tool 3—consume less clinker in cement (e.g., concrete containing even as high as 50%–60% fly ash by mass of the total cementitious material shows high strength, low thermal and drying shrinkage, high resistance to cracking, high durability, and, consequently, excellent potential for use as a sustainable structural material for general construction).

Combined use of tools 1 and 2 is claimed to reduce cement utilization by 30%. If the average clinker factor for cement (mass ratio of clinker to cement) is reduced

from 0.83 to 0.60, for example, the clinker requirement falls from 2.30 billion tonnes (2.50 billion tons) in 2010 to 1.18 billion tonnes (1.30 billion tons) per year in the year 2030.

## 11.6 NEW INTERNATIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

The information available at this time on the international platform relative to sustainability is minimal. Previous research over the past 10–15 years on plasticizers and waste-derived additives has now become applicable to the sustainability concept and movement in concrete structures and infrastructure development. The tenth ACI International Conference on Advances in Concrete Technology and Sustainability Issues was held in October 2009 in Seville, Spain, and the schedule is available online.<sup>29</sup> The proceedings were reviewed for this chapter. In addition to the technical matters presented that relate directly to sustainability, presenters were from Italy, Iran, the Czech Republic, Belgium, Japan, Canada, Spain, Norway, Australia, Croatia, Denmark, South Korea, Northern Ireland, China, Switzerland, France, Saudi Arabia, Luxembourg, United Arab Emirates, and Turkey. This indicates the extent to which the attention to sustainability is spreading. Various topics of the proceedings are summarized above.

## 11.7 OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR CONCRETE SUSTAINABILITY


### 11.7.1 SUPPLEMENTARY CEMENTITIOUS MATERIALS

Supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) are extensively used in concrete production and, subsequently, in virtually every type of concrete construction project.

### 11.7.2 GREENHOUSE GAS PRODUCTION FROM MANUFACTURE OF CEMENT/CONCRETE

Among the industrial sources of greenhouse gases (GHG), cement production ranks relatively high in the ranking, as shown on Figure 11.10. Steel GHG production is considerably higher; however, some portion of the lime production category must be included for assessing *concrete* production impact. It is important to understand that the warming potential of water vapor, easily as important as CO<sub>2</sub> as a GHG, is still not included in the estimates of global warming potential by the IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change)—consequently, the estimates in the Ecocalculator in the footnote at the beginning of this chapter claiming that water vapor is short-lived and spatially inhomogeneous (while other GHGs are allegedly not). The inset in the figure is a reminder that the whole industrial production category accounts for less than 5% of the total GHG emissions; fossil fuel power production accounts for the highest fraction.

Figure 11.10<sup>30</sup> does not illustrate trends. The worldwide data gathered through the United Nations in Table 11.8 do to a certain extent. While CO<sub>2</sub> production in the industrial sector presents a definite downward trend and that from iron and steel



**FIGURE 11.10** GHG production in the United States of various industrial sources in terragrams of equivalent CO<sub>2</sub> between 1990 and 2007. (Portland Cement Association photo; [http://www.cement.org/Briefingkit/image\\_captions.asp](http://www.cement.org/Briefingkit/image_captions.asp); US EPA, 2009. Inventory of US greenhouse gas emissions: Sources and sinks: 1990–2007; <http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/downloads09/InventoryUSGhG1990-2007.pdf>.)

**TABLE 11.8**  
**Worldwide Emissions from Industrial Processes<sup>a</sup>**

| Gas/Source                 | 1990  | 1995  | 2000  | 2005  | 2006  | 2007  |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| CO <sub>2</sub>            | 197.6 | 198.6 | 193.3 | 171.1 | 175.9 | 174.9 |
| Iron and steel production  | 109.8 | 103.1 | 95.1  | 73.2  | 76.1  | 77.4  |
| Cement production          | 33.3  | 36.8  | 41.2  | 45.9  | 46.6  | 44.5  |
| Lime production            | 11.5  | 13.3  | 14.1  | 14.4  | 15.1  | 14.6  |
| Limestone and dolomite use | 5.1   | 6.7   | 5.1   | 6.8   | 8.0   | 6.2   |
| Aluminum production        | 6.8   | 5.7   | 6.1   | 4.1   | 3.8   | 4.3   |

*Source:* Adapted from Inventory of US greenhouse gas emissions: Sources and sinks: 1990–2007. US EPA, April 2009.

<sup>a</sup> Terragrams of equivalent CO<sub>2</sub>.

production does as well, CO<sub>2</sub> from cement production decreases only in 2007. Again, some portion of the lime production and limestone use emissions must be taken into account for consideration of concrete production.

The data reflect iron and steel *use* and cement *use* as well as changes to production emissions resulting from process changes. Decreases in production quantities will result in decreases in GHG production.

**11.7.3 PROPOSED LEGISLATION FOR GHG EMISSIONS CONTROL**

There is agreement that the legislation that affects the cement industry as a result of the several proposed bills will include carbon credits and most will be “cap-and-trade” types. Optimally, there are a number of options for management of GHG emissions. These include:<sup>31</sup>

- Use of more blended cements: slag, fly ash, fume, etc.
- Use of carbon-neutral fuels, renewables
- Adopting energy efficiency measures
- Carbon dioxide capture and storage
- Hybrid cement energy facilities—utilization of waste heat
- Use of non-cement binders with lower specific CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (e.g., geopolymers)

Of these, the last three are costly and are not likely to become available in the short-term future. Therefore, a strategy that seems doable includes the following:<sup>32</sup>

- Conversion to clean alternative fuels
- Improvements in energy efficiency and technology in cement production
- Use of blended cements

Indeed, the use of blended cements/concrete looks very promising, as shown in Table 11.9,<sup>33</sup> largely because of the impetus for use of recycled material given by

**TABLE 11.9**  
**Estimated Environmental Benefits of Using Coal Fly Ash, GGBFS,<sup>a</sup> and Silica Fume as a Substitute for or Supplement to Portland Cement in Federal Concrete Projects**

| Metric Units                                                                 | Historical Environmental Benefits: 2004–2005 | Projected Environmental Benefits: Baseline Scenario 2005–2015 <sup>b</sup> |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Energy savings (billion MJ)                                                  | 31.5                                         | 212.1                                                                      |
| Water savings (billion L)                                                    | 2.1                                          | 14.1                                                                       |
| Avoided CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent (million metric tons)                     | 3.8 <sup>c</sup>                             | 25.7 <sup>c</sup>                                                          |
| Passenger cars not driven for 1 year <sup>d</sup> (million)                  | 0.8 <sup>c</sup>                             | 5.7 <sup>c</sup>                                                           |
| Passenger cars and light trucks not driven for 1 year <sup>d</sup> (million) | 0.7 <sup>c</sup>                             | 4.7 <sup>c</sup>                                                           |
| Avoided criteria pollutants (air) (thousand metric tons)                     | 31.3                                         | 209.7                                                                      |
| Avoided mercury (air) (metric tons)                                          | 0.3                                          | 1.9                                                                        |
| Avoided soil emissions (metric tons)                                         | 0 (negligible)                               | 0 (negligible)                                                             |
| Avoided end-of-life waste (metric tons)                                      | 0                                            | 0                                                                          |

<sup>a</sup> Ground granulated blast furnace slag.

<sup>b</sup> Calculated as the sum of impacts for coal fly ash current use baseline and GGBFS and silica fume current use scenarios.

<sup>c</sup> Results reflect only coal fly ash impacts.

<sup>d</sup> These metrics are equivalent expressions of the avoided greenhouse gas metrics and do not represent additional benefits.

Section 6017(a) of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users, P.L. 109-59, Aug. 10, 2005 (SAFETEA-LU), which directs the US EPA to “conduct a study to determine the extent to which procurement requirements, when fully implemented...may realize energy savings and environmental benefits attainable with substitution of recovered mineral components in cement used in cement or concrete projects.” There are, of course, barriers to use of recycled materials; however, the following are in significant excess supply, so availability is not one of the barriers: coal fly ash, foundry sand, FGD (flue gas desulfurization) gypsum, FGD dry scrubber material, power plant bottom ash, and CKD (cement kiln dust).

Federal guidelines allow that such recovered mineral components (RMC) do not have to be procured if they (1) are not available within a reasonable period of time, (2) fail to meet the performance standards set forth in the applicable specifications or fail to meet the reasonable performance standards of the procuring agencies, or (3) are only available at an unreasonable price.

There are significant benefits to heavy use of RMCs in addition to the impact on GHG emissions, as shown in Table 11.9. Incidentally, the beneficial use modeling for the purposes of the EPA RMSC study utilized the BEES model referred to earlier in this chapter.

## REFERENCES

1. Struble, L. and J. Godfrey. How sustainable is concrete? University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign (<http://www.cptechcenter.org/publications/sustainable/strublesustainable.pdf>).
2. Wang, K., ed. 2004. *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Sustainable Development and Concrete Technology*, Beijing, China, May 20–21, 2004 (<http://www.ctre.iastate.edu/pubs/sustainable/index.htm>); Center for Transportation Research and Education Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa.
3. <http://www.lafargenorthamerica.com/wps/portal/lna/products/cement>
4. Greenhouse gas equivalency calculator (<http://www.epa.gov/RDEE/energy-resources/calculator.html>).
5. <http://benremod.eng.utoledo.edu/BenReMod/input.do>
6. Kendall, A. 2007. Concrete infrastructure sustainability: Life cycle metrics, materials design, and optimized distribution of cement production. Doctoral dissertation, University of Michigan.
7. Kendall, A. 2007. Concrete infrastructure sustainability: Life cycle metrics, materials design, and optimized distribution of cement production. Center for Sustainable Systems, University of Michigan ([http://css.snre.umich.edu/css\\_doc/CSS07-07.pdf](http://css.snre.umich.edu/css_doc/CSS07-07.pdf)).
8. Wathne, L. 2009. Concrete Pavements and Sustainability. 39th MCPA Workshop, Plymouth, Michigan, February 2009 (<http://www.durableroads.com/documents/ConcretePavementsandSustainability-Wathne.pdf>).
9. Taylor, G. W. and J. D. Patten. 2006. Effects of pavement structure on vehicle fuel consumption—Phase III, CRC, CNRC (<http://www.cement.org/bookstore/profile.asp?store=&pagenum=&pos=0&catID=&id=12011>).
10. Wathne, L. and T. Smith. 2006. Green highways: North American concrete paving industry's perspective. *European Roads Review* (ERR No 8 RGRA Spring 2006AS).
11. Li, V. C. et al. 2004. In *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Sustainable Development and Concrete Technology*, Beijing, China, ed. E. K. Wang.
12. Chandler, R. F. 2004. Life-cycle cost model for evaluating the sustainability of bridge decks—A comparison of conventional concrete joints and engineered cementitious composite link slabs. MS (natural resource and environment), University of Michigan, Report no. CSS04-06, 2004.
13. Haardt, P. and R. Holst. 2008. The German approach to bridge management. Current status and future development. In *International Bridge and Structure Management Tenth International Conference on Bridge and Structure Management*, October 20–22, 2008 (<http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/circulars/ec128.pdf>).
14. Hajdin, R. 2008. KUBA (from the German “KUnstBAuten” or road structures), the Swiss road structure management system. Can be found in <http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/circulars/ec128.pdf>
15. Nell, A. J., P. A. Nordengen, and A. Newmark. A bridge management system for the western cape provincial government, South Africa (<http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/circulars/ec128.pdf>).
16. Gaimster, R. and C. Munn. 2007. The role of concrete in sustainable development (<http://www.sustainableconcrete.org.nz/page/nz-concrete-industry-paper.aspx>).
17. Malhotra, V. M. 2002. High-performance high-volume fly ash concrete. *Concrete International* 24 (7): 30–34.
18. Weizu, Q. What role could concrete technology play for sustainability in China? *International Workshop on Sustainable Development and Concrete Technology* (<http://www.cptechcenter.org/publications/sustainable/qinrole.pdf>).
19. Tongbo, S., F. Lei, W. Zhajun, and W. Jing. 2009. Low energy and low emission Belite-based cements. In *Tenth ACI International Conference on Recent Advances in Concrete Technology and Sustainability Issues*, supplementary papers, Seville, Spain, October 2009.

20. Ramezaniapour, A. A. 2009. Durability and sustainability of concrete containing supplementary cementing materials. In *Tenth ACI International Conference on Recent Advances in Concrete Technology and Sustainability Issues*, supplementary papers, Seville, Spain, October 2009.
21. Masahiro, O., S. Nakamura, T. Osterberg, S.-E. Hallberg, and M. Lwin. 2003. Applications of self-compacting concrete in Japan, Europe and the United States. US Dept. of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration (<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/BRIDGE/scc.htm>).
22. Roncero, J., S. Moro, S. Rabinder, S. Khurana, and R. Magarotto. 2009. Innovative viscosity-modifying admixture for smart dynamic concrete. In *Tenth ACI International Conference on Recent Advances in Concrete Technology and Sustainability Issues*, supplementary papers, Seville, Spain, October 2009.
23. Japan Society of Civil Engineers. 1999. Recommendations for self-compacting concrete. Tokyo, Japan, August 1999 (<http://www.jsce.or.jp/publication/e/list.html>).
24. ENFARC (European Federation for Specialist Construction Chemicals and Concrete Systems). 2005. European guidelines for self-compacting concrete, specification, production and use (<http://www.academpublisher.com/ijrte/vol01/no06/ijrte0106041045.pdf>).
25. Sood, H., R. K. Khitoliya, and S. S. Pathak. 2009. Incorporating European standards for testing self compacting concrete in Indian conditions. *International Journal of Recent Trends in Engineering* 1 (6) (<http://www.academpublisher.com/ijrte/vol01/no06/ijrte0106041045.pdf>).
26. Masahiro, O. and H. Makoto. Development, applications and investigations of self-compacting concrete ([www.infra.kochi-tech.ac.jp/scenet/scc2/format/sample.doc](http://www.infra.kochi-tech.ac.jp/scenet/scc2/format/sample.doc)).
27. Vong, S. and H. Shima. 2004. Self-compacting concrete (SCC) in developing countries: A case in Cambodia. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Concrete Technology in Developing Countries*, Kuala Lumpur, 2004 ([http://management.kochi-tech.ac.jp/PDF/COEReport\\_2007/2.2-1/2.2-1-1.pdf](http://management.kochi-tech.ac.jp/PDF/COEReport_2007/2.2-1/2.2-1-1.pdf)).
28. Kumar, M. P. 2009. Global concrete industry sustainability February 2009 (<http://www.allbusiness.com/environment-natural-resources/pollution-environmental/11783531-1.html>).
29. <http://www.concrete.org/EVENTS/conferences/10th-Inter-Tech-Sustainability.htm>
30. US EPA. 2009. Inventory of US greenhouse gas emissions: Sources and sinks: 1990–2007.
31. [http://www.cement.org/manufacture/mtc\\_climate.ppt#290](http://www.cement.org/manufacture/mtc_climate.ppt#290) (Available from the Portland Cement Association.)
32. California's strategy. CA Climate Control Legislation, Assembly Bill 32.
33. Study on increasing the usage of recovered mineral components in federally funded projects involving procurement of cement or concrete to address the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users, June 2008 (<http://www.epa.gov/osw/conserve/tools/cpg/pdf/rtc/report4-08.pdf>). See also <http://www.epa.gov/osw/conserve/tools/cpg/pdf/rtc/chap3.pdf>

# GREEN BUILDING WITH CONCRETE

## Sustainable Design and Construction

With superior fire resistance, strength, and a long service life, concrete is the most widely used construction material in the world. A sustainable material, concrete is also easily and affordably reused and rehabilitated. The first book to provide an overview of sustainability and concrete, **Green Building with Concrete: Sustainable Design and Construction** surveys the material's history in the green building movement and presents state-of-the-art methodologies and best practices.

From the manufacturing of cement to the rehabilitation of concrete, this comprehensive book explains how concrete can be used for sustainable design and construction. It offers insight into new technological and social developments guiding the introduction of green buildings and examines the attributes that concrete has to offer the green building movement. The text also highlights research on economic analysis—particularly life cycle costing—to provide a full picture of the economic benefits of concrete. Expert contributors from around the world offer diverse viewpoints on global sustainability.

### Topics covered include:

- Principles of sustainable design
- Benefits of concrete's thermal mass
- Mitigation of urban heat island effects
- Surface runoff and the application of pervious concrete for sidewalks and parking areas
- Reduction of construction waste
- Leadership in energy and environmental design (LEED) standards

Emphasizing environmental impact and occupational and consumer health and safety, this book explains how to make the most of concrete in sustainable design. Written for university and concrete industry continuing education courses, it also serves as a reference for building owners and industry professionals who recognize the value of green building.

 **CRC Press**  
Taylor & Francis Group  
an informa business  
[www.crcpress.com](http://www.crcpress.com)

6000 Broken Sound Parkway, NW  
Suite 300, Boca Raton, FL 33487  
711 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10017  
2 Park Square, Milton Park  
Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN, UK

K10658

ISBN: 978-1-4398-1296-9

